Senders Initials___
MEETING AGENDA
NMENTAL PROTECTION

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION WALLACE STATE OFFICE BUILDING September 18-19, 1989

Meeting convenes at 10:00 a.m., September 18, 1989 in the fourth floor conference room and reconvenes on September 19, 8:30 a.m.

Public Participation	3:00 p.m.
Break Gwen Wesley + Marty Strauss (#6) Appointments:	3:15 p.m.
Gene Parkin Dr. Peter Isasson (#14)	4:00 p.m.
Dennis Keeney (#15)	4:15 p.m.

Meeting reconvenes 8:30 a.m., September 19, 1989

Appointments:

Representative Jack Hatch	8:30 a.m.
Stickle Enterprises, Ltd. (A.G. Referral)	9:30 a.m.
Gordon Sevig (A.G. Referral) Albert Schultz (A.G. Referral)	10:15 a.m.

- 1. Approve Agenda
- 2. Approve Minutes of July 17-18, 1989 and August 21-22, 1989.
- 3. Director's Report. (Wilson) Informational.
- 4. Orientation. Informational.
- 5. Budget Request FY 91 and FY 92. (Kuhn) Decision.
- 6. Groundwater Cleanup Guidelines Workplan. (Combs) Decision.
- 7. 1990 Legislation Package. (Combs) Decision.
- 8. Monthly Reports. (Stokes) Informational.
- 9. Final Rule--Chapter 91, Criteria for Award of Grants. (Stokes) Decision.
- 10. Approval of FY 90 Construction Grant Priority List. (Stokes) Decision.
- 11. Proposed Rule--Chapter 39 Revisions, Requirements for Properly Plugging Abandoned Wells. (Stokes) Informational.
- 12. Update in Air Quality Rules. (Stokes) Informational.

EPC Agenda - Page 2

- Proposed Amendments to Solid Waste Rules on Farmer Exemptions. (Stokes) Informational.
- Gene Parkin 14. Dr. Peter Isacson - CHEEC, Budget Discussion. Informational.
- Dennis Keeney Leopold Center, Budget Discussion. Informational.
- 16. Representative Jack Hatch.
- 17. Referrals To The Attorney General. (Combs) Decision
 - Lehigh Clay Products, et al. (Lehigh)
 - Stickle Enterprises, Ltd., et al. (Cedar Rapids)

 - Gordon Sevig (Walford)
 Land O' Lakes, Inc. (Ellsworth)
 Milo Chalfant, et al. (Webster City)
 - City of Graf

 - Bell Watchers, Inc. (Vinton) Albert Schultz/Iowa Iron Works, Inc. (Ely)
- 18. General Discussion Items.
- 19. Address Items for Next Meeting.

NEXT MEETING DATES

October 16-17, 1989 November 20-21, 1989 December 11-12, 1989

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION

Mona	Lay September 18, 19 COMPANY OR AGENCY	89
NAME	COMPANY OR AGENCY	CITY
(please print)		_
Ter: Dean	Personal	Carlisle, IA
CAROL ROSE	D.M. Register	D.M.
JEFF Robinson	LFB	DC on la
Theony Kelline	Sin De mo Caercus	DSM, 1A
Frank Wewer	Town Power	Dhe
Cherryl Dar	Personal	Carlisle, la
Jane McAllister	Ahlers Law Firm	Day
LED YANELEK	FARN BUREAU	wssm
JACK SOENER	IA ASSOC BUS. & IND	D.M.
Do Bolvan	Hardin le Sup	Hubbard
W.M.STRAUSS	STURZUOM	Sr. Loois
DAN VEST	CROWMARIC, INIS	BLUCMINGFON, 5-
Keith Linkt	tel Othy - Monsants) en Morris
Sum Wesley	1M -and L	Soform
Sum Vister	La Dana Sportsners &	Ted Des Moinis
Robert andle	Ish Dana Sportsners & Levered Center	ISU Amer
Atonnie Leeney		Sn
NAN FRIEBERG	(tak)	

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION

	Juesday September 19 COMPENY OR AGENCY	1989
NAME	COMPANY OR AGENCY	CITY
(please print)		BloomiNGTON, IC
DAN VES?	GROWMARK, INC	
Maynard Jayne	Iowa Cattlemen	Ames Ja
Carol Rose	Don Register	0~
Teri Dean	Personal	Carlisle, IM
JACK SOENER	AB1	D.M
Theresa Kellon	e Sen Denio Carre	is PSM
Syl Rapinson	Fiscal Budan	DSM
JANE MCALLISTER	AHLERS LAW FIRM	DSM
Mark Truesdell	Beving, Swonson + Forest	D.M.
Keviu Shea		CE
Beth Evans	for Albert Schult	2 CR
quer Wesley	Monsanto ag Co.	St. Louis, Me
Frank Weaver		

RECORD COPY EPC Meeting File Name ADM -/-/- Sgot. 1989
Senders Initials

Minutes of the Environmental Protection Commission Meeting

September 18-19, 1989

Wallace State Office Building, Des Moines, Iowa

TABLE OF CONTENTS

S.	EPTEMBER 198	39 COM	MISSI	ON	ME	ETI	NG	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	E89Sep)-1
	MEMBERS PRE	ESENT		•		•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	E89Sep	-1
	MEMBERS ABS	SENT	• •	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	E89Sep) - 1
	ADOPTION OF	' AGENI	DΑ		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	E89Sep	-1
	ADOPTION OF	יטמוא י	res	• •	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	E89Sep	-1
	DIRECTOR'S	REPORT	r .		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	E89Sep	-2
	ORIENTATION	ı			•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	E89Sep	-3
	BUDGET REQU	EST, E	FY91	ANI) FY	92	-	PRE	CLI	MI	NA	RY	A	PF	RC	VA	L		E89Sep	-3
	GROUNDWATER	CLEAN	NUP G	UII	ELI	NE	s W	ORK	(PL	ΑN	Ī	•	•	•	•	•	•	E	89 S ep-	62
	APPOINTMENT	- GWE	en we	SLE	EY (Mo	nsa	ntc)		•	•		•	•	•	•	E	89Sep-	64
	APPOINTMENT	- DR.	WAR	REN	J (M	IAR'	TY)	ST	'RA	US	S	(M	on	sa	nt	0)		E	89Sep-	64
	PUBLIC PART	CIPAT	ON	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	E	89 S ep-	64
	GENE PARKIN	- CE	IEEC	BUL	GET	D	ISC	USS	SIO	N		•	•	•	•	•	•	E	89Sep-	65
	DENNIS KEEN	EY - I	EOPO	LD	CEN	ITE	R BI	JDG	ET	D	IS	CU	SS	IO	N		•	E	89Sep-	68
	LEGISLATION	PACKA	GE -	19	90			•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	E	89Sep-	68
	RECESS .				• ,	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	E	89Sep-	94
	MEETING REC	ONVENE	S 8:	30	A.M	Ι.,	TUI	ESD	ΑY	,	SE	PΤ	EM	BE	R	19	,			
	1989				•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	E	89Sep-	94
	REPRESENTAT	IVE JA	CK H	АТС	H	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	E	89Sep-	94
	MONTHLY REP	ORTS			•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		E	89Sep-	96
	FINAL RULE-	-СНАРТ	ER 9	1,	CRI	TE	RIA	FO	R.	AW.	ARI	D (OF	G	RA	NT	S	E8	9Sep-1	05
	REFERRALS T	O THE	OTTA	RNE	Y G	ENI	ERAI		•			•	•	•		•	•	E8	9Sep-1	07
	APPOINTMENT	- ЈОН	N BI	RDW	ELL	(5	Stic	ck1	e :	En	te	rp	ri	se	s)			E8	9Sep-1	07

september 1989 Environmental Protection Commission Minutes
APPOINTMENT - MIKE REEVE (Hawkeye Land Company) E89Sep-108
APPOINTMENT - CRAIG ARBUCKLE (City of Washington) E89Sep-108
CONSTRUCTION GRANT PRIORITY LIST - FY 90, APPROVAL E89Sep-109
REFERRALS TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL (Continued) E89Sep-142
APPOINTMENT - JANE MC ALLISTER (Gordon Sevig
Referral)
APPOINTMENT - KEVIN SHEA (Albert Schultz/Iowa Iron
Works, Inc.)
PROPOSED RULECHAPTER 39 REVISIONS, REQUIREMENTS
FOR PROPERLY PLUGGING ABANDONED WELLS E89Sep-146
PROPOSED RULECHAPTER 22, UPDATE IN AIR QUALITY
RULES
PROPOSED RULECHAPTER 101 AMENDMENT, SOLID WASTE
RULES ON FARMER EXEMPTIONS
GENERAL DISCUSSION ITEMS
ADJOURNMENT

• • • • E89Sep-161

INDEX

SEPTEMBER 1989 COMMISSION MEETING

The meeting of the Environmental Protection Commission was held in the Wallace State Office Building, Des Moines, Iowa, convening at 10:00 A.M. on September 18, 1989.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mike Earley, William Ehm, Rozanne King, Charlotte Mohr, Margaret Prahl, Gary Priebe, Nancylee Siebenmann, Clark Yeager (September 18).

MEMBERS ABSENT

Richard Hartsuck, Clark Yeager (September 19)

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

The following appointments were added to the agenda:

Gwen Wesley and Dr. Marty Strauss to address Item #6 Albert Schultz (A.G. Referral) at 10:45 a.m., September 19 Gene Parkin will speak for Dr. Peter Isacson on Item #14

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl to approve the agenda as amended. Seconded by Gary Priebe. Motion carried unanimously.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

It was noted that a correction should be made to show Nancylee Siebenmann present at the July 17-18, 1989 meeting.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl to approve the minutes of July 17-18, 1989 as amended. Seconded by Gary Priebe. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion was made by Rozanne King to approve the minutes of August 21-22, 1989 as presented. Seconded by Gary Preibe. Motion carried unanimously.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Director Wilson reported that the September issue of the Iowa Conservationist was printed on recycled paper. In a future issue, the entire magazine will be devoted to Earth Day types of activities. One of the most informative and important articles in this month's issue was the one entitled "Vangaard for Change", by Ross Harrison. It is a good description of recycling. Mr. Wilson stated that the important thing about printing on recycled paper is that it illustrates that a good quality presentation can be done on slick recylced paper for magazine publications.

Mr. Wilson distributed a DNR folder which was produced on recycled paper and commented that it is a heavier card stock type paper with a rough finish.

Wilson noted that he will attend Capitol for a Day events during the week of October 9 - 13, 1989. This is a program where the Governor will go to various towns to visit with local people. Several department heads accompany the governor on these trips and meet with appropriate interest groups. The schedule is as follows: October 9 - Carroll; October 10 - Estherville; October 11 - West Union; October 12 - Keokuk; and October 13 - Mt. Ayr. He related that the Commissioners are welcome to attend and participate in the meetings with him.

Mr. Wilson reported that an ad hoc committee has been formed to study the rules for control of toxic air emissions. committee was formed as requested by the Commission at last month's meeting. He read the names of the ten groups to serve on the committee along with Commissioners Siebenmann and Hartsuck.

A copy of the REAP Newsletter and a copy of a publication entitled "Agriculture at Risk - A Report to the Nation" was distributed, and Director Wilson noted that he would appreciate any comments the Commissioners may have about these issues.

Mr. Wilson stated that a committee is being formed to develop an "Environmental Agenda for the 1990's." The purpose of the committee is to provide the governor with a list of fifteen issues which the public in the state want addressed during the upcoming decade.

Discussion followed regarding the possibility of changing the Emergency Spill Response phone number to a toll-free (800) phone number. Stan Kuhn will check on the cost to implement same.

ORIENTATION

Division Administrator, Administrative Services Stan Kuhn, Division reviewed the budget process and discussed earmarked money and accounting requirements to the appropriate agency for these monies. He stated that the Department of Management views the current budget process as needing some changes. Also discussed were department and division priorities and how those priorities are determined.

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division distributed a Division Guidance Package to the Commission. He gave an overview of his division which included a mission statement, philosophies, priorities, guidance and goals. Mr. Stokes displayed an overhead picture showing the Table of Organization for the Environmental Protection Division and he provided the names of each bureau chief and each section superintendent. Mr. Stokes also explained the enforcement process used by his division and how they work in conjunction with the Legal Services Bureau of the Coordination & Information Division.

Teresa Hay, Division Administrator, Waste Management Authority Division stated that this division was created in 1987 and currently contains two sections, the Planning Section and the Program and Grants Section. She explained the responsibilites and goals of the division and each section covering the following programs: Waste Reduction and Recycling; Low Level Radioactive Waste Compact; Part I, Comprehensive Plans; Waste Minimization Bill; Capacity Assurance Plan; and the Regional Interstate Agreement. Ms. Hay noted that one of the main programs of the division is the Household Hazardous Materials Program and she expanded on details of the program.

BUDGET REQUEST, FY91 AND FY92 - PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

Kuhn, Division Administrator, Administrative Services Division, presented the following item.

Preliminary approval is requested from the Environmental Protection Commission for the FY91 and the FY92 budget request relating to those divisions and programs under the general purview of the Commission.

Briefly, the budget request supports the continuation of current programs for the Environmental Protection Division and the Waste Management Authority Division. The staff is also recommending major increases in Iowa's protection efforts relating to Air Quality, Water Quality Assessment and Groundwater Monitoring. Additional staffing is also requested for the Waste Management

Authority Division. The staff recommends that the programs funded under the Groundwater accounts be continued as currently authorized by previous General Assembly action.

budget recommendation is presented to the request Commission, using the same schedules from the budget system as are utilized by the DOM, the Governor's Office and the General Assembly in making budget decisions.

Attached to this item are financial summaries for each division and for each bureau or program within the divisions. Decision packages in priority order are also included.

A four digit numerical code is used to identify each division as follows: (1) 1000, Director's Office; (2) 2000, Coordination and Information Division; (3) 3000, Administrative Services Division; (4) 4000, Parks, Recreation and Preserves Division; (5) 5000, Forests and Forestry Division; (6) 6000, Energy and Geological Resources Division; (7) 7000, Environmental Protection Division; (8) 8000, Fish and Wildlife Division; and (9) 9000, Waste Management Authority Division.

Under State Law, the operations budget for the DNR and for each division is submitted using a 75% Modified Zero-Based Budget approach. Briefly, a "Base" budget is established for each division which cannot be more than 75% of the previous year's approved budget. This "Base" is the highest priority increment in each division.

A series of "Decision Packages," in addition to the base, are prepared for each division. These decision packages are arrayed in a descending priority order. The total of the base and all related decision packages constitute the total budget request for each division. In a similar manner, the total of all bases and all decision packages constitute the operations budget request for the Department.

The primary focus of all budget decision-makers are the decision packages and their relative priority. Items within the base are virtually assured funding. High priority decision packages a better chance of funding than do lower priority packages. Environmental Protection Commission has the statutory duty to approve the budget request for the divisions and programs under its purview. The Commission may modify or adjust the request as deemed necessary. This can most readily be accomplished by reviewing the priority order of decision packages at the division level. Decision packages may be added or deleted, or their relative priority changed. Funding and staffing within the base or a package may be adjusted if desired.

The decision packages are also submitted in a Departmental priority ranking. This departmental ranking is determined by the Director. While either Commission may comment on or take a position on the Departmental priority ranking, Commission budget

approval is limited to the divisions and programs under their purview.

Also included for review and information purposes are division budget schedules for the other divisions and a departmental budget summary. The Administrative Services Division and the Coordination and Information Division are funded primarily by an overhead charge to all funding sources. This charge, determined under various Federal Circulars, is a function of total direct program salaries divided by total overhead program costs. In FY89, this percentage was 24.8%. It has been reduced to 21.7% in FY90, and is expected to decrease to approximately 19% in FY91 and FY92.

The Commission is requested to approve the priority decision package schedules and related financial schedules for the Environmental Protection Division and for the Waste Management Authority Division. The Commission is also requested to approve continuation of the Groundwater program as currently authorized by previous General Assembly action for FY91 and FY92. The Commission is also requested to approve a special request for Lottery funding in the amount of \$400,000, similar to that received in FY90, to continue a higher level of funding for Toxic Waste Cleanup days. Please call if clarifications or additional information is needed.

(Budget is shown on the following 56 pages)

Budget Item Attachment Schedule Budget Presentation, September 1989 Commission Meeting

Division Budgets and Priorities: Environmental Protection Division Priority Summaryl Environmental Protection Division Decision Packages in Priority Order, with Division and Dept. Priority2-7 Environmental Protection Division Financial Schedules by Division and Bureau8-15 Waste Management Authority Division Priority Summary16 Waste Management Authority Division Decision Packages in Priority Order, with Division and Dept. Priority17-18 Waste Management Authority Division Financial Schedules
by Division and Program19-24
Groundwater Program
Department-Wide Information: Department Level Decision Package Priority Schedule26-32 Department Level Operations Financial Summary
Other Divisions, Financial Summaries, Operations: Director's Office

SCHEDULE 1 ORGN RECAP (RANKING SCHEDULE) DATE 08/28/89 TIME 23.20.14 PAGE 59	FY 1991 - 1992 EXPEND/FIE APPROPRIATION	\$ 7,697,310 GF \$ 1,574,501 (129,55) OT 568,852	134,950 GF 134,950 (3.00)	398,153 GF 398,153 (9.00)	84,733 GF 21,353	789,000 GF 789,000 (5.00)	493,000 GF 493,000 (3.00)	577,000 GF 577,000 (1.00)	\$ 10,174,146 GF \$ 3,987,957 (152.55) OT 568,852
INIUM ECAP BEGIFST	1991 APPROPRIATION	F \$ 1,573,461 F 673,141	134,756	397,707	21,127	789,000	493,000	577,000	GF \$ 3,986,051 OT 673,141
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT OPERATIONS BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM RANKING SCHEDULE - ORGANIZATION RECAP TOTAL DEPT REGIEST	EXPE	\$ 7,792,666 GF (129,55) OT	MATER RIGHTS CONTINUE WATER WITHDRAWAL PERMIT PROG (3.00)	OODPLATN PERMIT PROCEAM (9.00)	MATER SUPPLY MATAIN FY 40 PERMIT + HRNAROUND + IMC (2.00)	AIR QUALITY TMPLEMENT ON-GOING RIR TOXICS PROGRAM (9.00)	CONST GRANTS NON-GRANT EN HANCE DEPT'S ABILITY to CONDUCT SURFACE (3.00) WATER ASSESSMENTS & EVALUATIONS	GROUNDHATER QUALITY PLANNING ESTABLESH 615 FEXED STATEON LONG +CRM (1.00) GROUNDURYO T MONITORING STATEONS ESTIMATED FY 1989-90	8,306,040 GF \$ 2,058,170 \$ 10,268,636 (147.50) OT 662,023 (156.55)
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 90001542G7Z 7000 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIV.	NK DESCRIPTION	BASE		+					TOTAL.
NATURAI NATURAI NATURAI 9000154 ENYIRON	RANK		0001	0005	000 3	9000	9000	9000	

DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS

DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI)
DATE 08/29/89 TIME 00.01.30
PAGE 65 SCHEDULE 4 ORGN DETAIL

> DECISION PACKAGE JUSTIFICATION: 90001542G72 WATER RIGHTS

7000 7100 7152

BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI) - NARRATIVE 10 Restores the state water use/water rights program which regulated the diversion, storage or withdrawal of water from surface and groundwater sources in this state in excess of 25,000 gallons per day through the issuance of permits and monitoring withdrawals. This would restore 3 FTE and support costs.

There is no federal program in this area and no workable alternative to a state program.

If this package is not approved, the diversion, storage and withdrawal of large quantities of water will be uncontrolled which could lead to local and general water shortages, well interference situations, and exacerbate drought conditions.

I. THE CUMULATIVE FUNDING TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS LESS THAN CURRENT LEVEL.

II. THIS FUNCTION, OPERATION, OR ACTIVITY IS CURRENTLY BEING DONE.

III. THE CUMULATIVE SERVICE LEVEL TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS LESS THAN CURRENT LEVEL.

PATORITY

DEVISEON DEPT.

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS

STATE OF IOWA
DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT
BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM
DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI) - NARRATIVE

ö

7000 7100 7140

90001542G72 FLOOD PLAIN

SCHEDULE 4 ORGN DETAIL
DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI)
DATE 08/29/89 TIME 00.01.30

DECISION PACKAGE JUSTIFICATION:

Restore state flood plain/dam safety program which regulates excavation, construction and deposition activities in the flood plains of Iowa's streams and rivers in order to protect citizens and landowners from adverse effects of flooding, erosion, taking or placing of water on property, and to protect the quality and quantity of our natural water resources. This would restore 9 FTE plus support costs. If this package is not approved, activities in the flood plains of Iowa's streams and rivers will be unregulated. This could result in large-scale destruction of our natural streams and rivers, degradation of water quality, destruction of fish and wildlife habitat, damage to urban and agricultural lands from increased/more frequent flooding and/or erosion, and threats to public safety from the increased probability of flooding or dam failure.

There are no federal programs in this area and no workable alternatives to a state enforcement program.

I. THE CUMULATIVE FUNDING TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS LESS THAN CURRENT LEVEL.

II. THIS FUNCTION, OPERATION, OR ACTIVITY IS CURRENTLY BEING DONE.

III. THE CUMULATIVE SERVICE LEVEL TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE

IS LESS THAN CURRENT LEVEL.

PRIORITY

DIO # 2

DEPT # 10

3

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF
NATURAL RESOURCES
NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS
BUDGET WORKSHEETS F

0

STATE OF IOWA

DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT

BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM

DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI) - NARRATIVE

SCHEDULE 4 ORGN DETAIL
DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI)
DATE 08/29/89 TIME 00.01.30
PAGE 64

MATER SUPPLY

DECISION PACKAGE JUSTIFICATION:

Restore two FTE and associated support costs to the state water supply program which ensures that all public drinking water supplies located and operated in this state provide safe, potable drinking water to their users, through regular, routine monitoring of drinking water quality, and issuance of construction and operation permits.

If this package is not approved, length of time required to review permit application materials (engineering plans/designs, scientific data) and issue permits will increase approximately 50% – i.e., well permits from 15 to 22 days, water supply construction from 45 to 67 days, and water supply operation permits from 45 to 67 - on average. This obviously delays projects.

I. THE CUMULATIVE FUNDING TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS LESS THAN CURRENT LEVEL.

II. THIS FUNCTION, OPERATION, OR ACTIVITY IS CURRENTLY BEING DONE.

III. THE CUMULATIVE SERVICE LEVEL TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE

IS LESS THAN CURRENT LEVEL.

PRIORITY

DIV #3

4

NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI) - NARRATIVE DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT

DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI) DATE 08/29/89 TIME 00.01.30

ORGN DETAIL

SCHEDULE 4

5

DECISION PACKAGE JUSTIFICATION:

To implement an on-going and effective air quality toxics monitoring, permitting and inspection program. This would provide 9 additional FTE's - 5 in central office for permitting, monitoring program review, and emission source data inventory functions and 4 for field office inspection activities - (1-EEIII, 1-EEII, 1-ESIII, 1-PPI). This would also provide for the acquisition and operation of monitoring equipment to measure actual concentrations of toxic substances in the air in targeted locations of the state principally urban industrial areas. This will give the department the ability to address over three hundred toxic substances which could be emitted to the air in this state and if not properly controlled, pose threats of acute and chronic health problems for Iowa citizens. Iowa's current air quality program addresses only 6 "priority pollutants" and 6 toxic/hazardous pollutants.

If this package is not approved, this program will not be implemented subjecting Iowa citizens to unreasonable and unnecessary health risks.

INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS GREATER THAN CURRENT LEVEL. I. THE CUMULATIVE FUNDING TOTAL

II. THIS FUNCTION, OPERATION, OR ACTIVITY IS NOT CURRENTLY BEING DONE.

THE CUMULATIVE SERVICE LEVEL TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS GREATER THAN CURRENT LEVEL. III.

PRIORITY

DEPT # 35 DIEV # 4

BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI) - NARRATIVE DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS VATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

DATE 08/29/89 TIME 00.01.30 SCHEDULE 4 ORGN DETAIL

90001542672 7000 7100 7110 CONST GRANTS NON-GRANT

10

DECISION PACKAGE JUSTIFICATION:

This package would fund 3 additional FTE (ES III's) plus increased funding for laboratory analytical services to provide the department with the ability to conduct detailed assessments and evaluations of Iowa's surface water streams and rivers. As a part of Iowa's water quality program, we are required to classify our surface water streams and rivers according to their current and potential uses. These designations then determine the degree of protection afforded those water bodies and the degree of pollution. Control required of municipal and industrial wastewater discharges. These designations should be based upon real data gathered by trained staff through field assessment techniques, water quality testing, and biological monitoring.

Only 8,000 miles of Iowa's 18,000 miles of streams and rivers have been classified for designated uses through such techniques over the past 15 years. Many of these classifications should be re-evaluated to determine adequacy in the face of changed water quality standards and those not classified by such assessments.

could yield to improper classification and protection of surface waters posing threats to the environment and or public health, or excess and urwarranted controls on dischargers. perform this work ¢ Failure

INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS GREATER THAN CURRENT LEVEL. I. THE CUMULATIVE FUNDING TOTAL

II. THIS FUNCTION, OPERATION, OR ACTIVITY IS NOT CURRENTLY BEING DONE.

III. THE CUMULATIVE SERVICE LEVEL TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE

IS GREATER THAN CURRENT LEVEL.

PRIORITY

DEPT #36 DIV # 5

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF
NATURAL RESOURCES
NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS
BUDGET M

10

STATE OF IOWA
DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT
BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM
DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI) - NARRATIVE

SCHEDULE 4 ORGN DETAIL
DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI)
DATE 08/29/89 TIME 00.01.30

90001542672 7000 7100 7135 GROUNDWATER QUALITY PLANNING

DECISION PACKAGE JUSTIFICATION:

To provide funding for the implementation of a statewide groundwater monitoring program consisting of 615 fixed station, long-term groundwater monitoring wells on an annual basis, monitoring of a select and targeted number of wells on a rotational basis and site/location specific problem assessment monitoring. This monitoring would be for both water quality and quantity in Iowa's underground aquifers. Costs would be associated with establishing and sampling monitoring wells and lab analysis via contractual services, and I FTE for oversight and evaluation of the system.

If this package is not approved, this program will not be implemented and a comprehensive groundwater monitoring not conducted.

I. THE CUMULATIVE FUNDING TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS GREATER THAN CURRENT LEVEL.

II. THIS FUNCTION, OPERATION, OR ACTIVITY IS NOT CURRENTLY BEING DONE.

III. THE CUMULATIVE SERVICE LEVEL TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS GREATER THAN CURRENT LEVEL.

PRIORETY

DIV # 6 DEPT # 37

1

RE ZIS	PAGE 184	TOT DPT REQR FY 1991-92		\$ 358,111 \$	34,438	34,598	246,705 3,987,957	4,661,809	5,372,493	244,844	2	10,279,146 \$	152.55		\$ 6.111.361 \$	168,000	53,000	73,000	7,500	908.6	6,700	900 P	024457	3,200	35,650	45,065		2,913,200	3,200
HUH		TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	\$ 357,875	34,408	•	3,986,051	4,659,192	5,364,727	244,717	5,609,444	10,	· † 	81 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	\$ 6,100,851		53,000	96,000	7.500	9,800	6,700	002.90	43,050	3,200	35,650	45,065	14,145	007450047 .	3,200
OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM	CONTRACTOR	DEPT REV FY 1989-90		\$ 352,486	10,000	23,731	2,058,170	2,720,193	5,343,083	242,764	5,585,847	\$ 8,306,040	143.25	11 14 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	\$ 5,507,255	158,000	43,000	63,000	7,500	9,800	6,700	24. 720	41,050	3,200	35,650	45,065	14,145	007671967	M, 200
STATE C DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET WORKSHEETS FO)	ACTUAL FY 1988-89		\$ 35,809 200,000	28,000 316,102	24,329	1,840,767	2,689,221	4,529,723	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4,529,723	\$ 7,218,944		197 196 197 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198 198	\$ 4,837,659	92,677	26,338	44,275	2,921	5,952	34	77.945	19,084	1,775	28,040	45,219	441,8 404,8	35.566	2,749
		ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88		\$ 16,652	34,800	126	2,007,639	!	3,271,005	† ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	3,271,005	20 11	109.		\$ 4,054,798	71,617	23,165	35,070	4,037	6,190	59	2,093	10,697	166	31,465	\$47.4 67.7 6	244.8 244.8 244.8	112,429	1,640
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	90001542G72 7000 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIV.		RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS	GWF-GEN'L PROVISIONS-DNR GWF-LANDFILL MONITORING-DNR	GMF-STORAGE TANKS STUDY-DNR	GMF-WELL TESTING ADMIN 2%-DN GWE-GWIP MONITOPING-DNP	NATURAL RESOURCES OPERATIONS	APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT	INIKA SIAIE KELEIPIS	RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	PERSONAL SERVICES	PERSONAL TRAVEL	STATE VEHICLE OPERATION	DEFACTATION OFFICE SUPPLIES	FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLI	PROF. & SCIENTIFIC SUPPLIES	OTHER SUPPLIES		UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS	COMMUNICATIONS	HITH TITES	PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES	OUTSIDE SERVICES	ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY

SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02	207 2047	TOT DPT REQR FY 1991-92		148.050	14,700	525,750	285	10,279,146		\$ 10,279,146 \$
DAT	and have been appeared by a beautiful and the second secon	TOT DI	1					10		\$ 10
Mni		TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91		148.050	14,700	732,750	285	10,268,636	† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †	\$ 10,268,636
F IOMA MANAGEMENT R 1990-1992 BIENN UDGET COMPARTSON		DEPT REV FY 1989-90		139,050	14,700	499,750	285	8,306,040		\$ 8,306,040
STATE OF IDMA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON		ACTUAL FY 1988-89	*	99,893	15,501	426,870	465	6,235,116	983,828	\$ 7,218,944 memoraconomo
		ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88		118,340	10,913	144,809	260	5,455,952		\$ 5,455,952
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	90001542G72 7000 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIV.		DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	DATA PROCESSING	REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	EQUIPMENT	LICENSES	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	UNSPENT BALANCE	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES

SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP	TIME 00.	175 TAGE 175	TOT DPT REQR FY 1991-92		4	34,598	3,198,957	3,233,555	1,685,100	1,928,244	5,161,799 \$	57.55		1	; 2,307,323 \$ 51,000	5,000	202503	1,000	19.700		59	4.470	2,000	72,500	4,700	82,400 285	3,969,793	
	MOI		TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91		(c)	34,598	3,197,051	3,231,649	1,677,334	1,920,351	5,152,000	57.55	80 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81		\$ 2,503,489 \$ 51,000	5,000		1,000	19.700		65	4,470	2,000	72,500	4,700	82,400 285	3,965,959	
OF IOWA	OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM BUDGET COMPARISON		DEPT REV FY 1989-90		10,000	23,731	2,058,170	2,091,901	1,655,690	1,896,754	8	! ! ! ! !	11	•	43,000	20.750		1,000	19,700		59	4,470	2,000	69,500	4,700	79,400	2,839,598	
ш	DEPARTMENT C WORKSHEETS F GANTZATTON -		ACTUAL FY 1988-89		28,000	24,329	1,879,985	1,932,314	2,019,619		\$ 3,951,933	46.83	81 12 13 14 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	1000	20,862	9.571		5 H C	6,770		135	3,025	1,938	48,009	5,022	465	2,045,557	
ų.	ERATIONS BUDGET		ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88		\$ 16,652	34,800 126	125,730		31,	3,031,027	\$ 5,215,974	45.71		070 007 1	26,	7,303		1.002	7,168	85	107	5,152	1,182	47,708	192,5		2,312,306	
	NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	90001542672 7000 7100 SURFACE/GRNDWTR PROT. BUREAU		RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS	GMF-RURAL WELLS ASSESSMENT-D	GWF-STORAGE TANKS STUDY-DNR GWF-WELL TESTING ADMIN 2%-DN	GWF-GWIR MONITORING-DNR NATURAL RESOURCES OPERATIONS	APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT INTRA STATE RECEIPTS	RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	EXPENDITURES PERSONAL SERVICES	PERSONAL TRAVEL	SIAIE VEHICLE OPERATION OFFICE SUPPLIES	FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE	PROF. & SCIENIIFIC SUPPLIES OTHER SUPPLIES	Š	UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS	PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES	OUTSIDE SERVICES	ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY	DAIA PROCESSING	FOLLED CASE TIEN S 10 CINER AGEN	LICENSES	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	

SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 194		TOT DPT REQR FY 1991-92		\$ 3,969,793 \$
IUM		TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91		\$ 3,965,959
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON		DEPT REV FY 1989-90		\$ 2,839,598
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT GET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPA		ACTUAL FY 1988-89		\$ 3,951,933
		ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88		\$ 5,215,974
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	90001542672 7000 7100 SURFACE/GRNDWTR PROT. BUREAU		DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES REVERSIONS UNSPENT BALANCE	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES

SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02	107	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	358,111 \$	34,438	246,705 789,000	1,428,254	2,731,467 \$ 1,700	2,733,167	4,161,421 \$				1,779,890 \$ 67,500	5,000	7,450	5,500	0 0 0 U		12,550	1,900	5,650	7,645	1,337,635	1.200	39,500	4,100	411,350	3,754,320	
Ж		TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	\$ 357,875	34,408	246,260 789,000	1,427,543	1 4 4	2,733,167	\$ 4,160,710 \$	***************************************	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	-	\$ 1,777,119 \$ 67,500	5,000	7,450	5,500	6,000		12,550	1,450	5,650	7,645	1,107,635	1.200	39,500	4,100	618,350	,54	
OF IOWA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM BIDGET COMPADISON	NOCTURE OF LEGIS	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	\$ 352,486	32,180	243,626	628,292	2,731,467	2,733,167	\$ 3,361,459	36.00	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	,	\$ 1,418,275 65,500		6,450	5,500	6,000		12,550	19,450	5,650	7,645	937,635	1.200	33,500	4,100	388,350		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
STATE OI DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOI	l	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	\$ 35,809	200,000	148,346	404,303	1,526,390	1,526,390	\$ 1,930,693	30.47	91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 9	,	\$ 1,159,362 36,454	59	4,617	2,258			13,280	10,500		3,278	208,512	118	14,985	3,307	298,739	-	
RATIONS		ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	44				1,188,041	1,188,	\$ 1,188,041	20.47	05 25 25 25 21 21 21 22 22 23 24 42 42 42 42 42 43 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44		\$ 759,701 14,109		2,046	Ì	97/	2,093	3,846	7,640	//7	4,396	272,976	827	34,240	1,816	89,984	ų,	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	90001542G72 7000 7300 AIR QUALITY/SOLID WASTE PROT.		RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GWF-GEN'L PROVISIONS-DNR	GWF-LANDFILL MONITORING-DNR GWF-STORAGE TANKS STUDY-DNR	GWF-GWTR MONITORING-DNR NATURAL RESOURCES OPERATIONS	APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT INTRA STATE RECEIPTS	RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	ABOUTH BEAUTION OF THE BEAUTIO		PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL	STATE VEHICLE OPERATION DEPRECIATION	OFFICE SUPPLIES	FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE	DDDE & SCIENTIFIC SUPPLI	AG., CONSERVATION & HORT SUPP	OTHER SUPPLIES	PRINITING & DINDING	COMMUNICATIONS	UTILITIES	PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES	ADVERTISING & DIRETTY	DATA PROCESSING	REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	EQUIPMENT	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	

SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 202			# 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
SCHEDU (DATE 08/26	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92		\$ 3,754,320
IUM	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91		\$ 3,751,549
STATE OF IDWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	DEPT REV FY 1989-90		\$ 2,943,705
STATE OF IDWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT GET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPA	ACTUAL FY 1988-89		\$ 1,930,693
RATIONS	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	-41,244	\$ 1,188,041
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	90001542672 7000 7300 AIR QUALITY/SOLID MASTE PROT.	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES REVERSIONS UNSPENT BALANCE	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES \$

SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02	DPT REGR	26-1667 14	45		955,926	955,926 \$			3,953,009 \$	46,500	43,000	13,500	2,000	3,800	10,170	1,300	2,200	30,000	15,000 6,500	178,565	7,500	36,050	5,900	2,479,994		2,479,994	81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 8
MOI		T6-066T 14	45		955,926		53.00		\$ 1,949,357		43,000	13,500	2,000	3,800	10,170	1,300	2,200	30,000	6,500	178,565	7,500	36,050	5,900	2.476.342		\$ 2,476,342	93 93 93 93 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 94
: OF IOWA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM - RINGET COMPARTSON	DEPT REV	F1 1789-90		1	955,926	\$ 955,926			\$ 1,921,722	46,500	43,000	13,500	2,000	3,800	10,170	1,300	2,200	30,000	6,500	178,565	7,500	36,050	5,900	2,448,707		2,448,70	41 41 41 41 41 41 41 41
STATE C BEPARTMENT OF BUDGET MORKSHEETS FC ORGANIZATION - R		F1 1768-69	\$ 256,736 95,868	352,604	983,714	\$ 1,336,318		i	\$ 1,801,540	32,550	26,279	11,157	299	5,952	7,523	1,814	1,399	28,040	4,877	180,571	4,312	36,395	5,399	2,333,904	-997,586	\$ 1,336,318	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##
	ACTUAL FY 1	LI 1767-00	40			0			\$ 1,535,199	868,62	23,165	12,149	3,827	4/4 ^c c	6,048	355		51,465	5,046	17,250	6,677		1,429	1,790,198	-1,790,198	0	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	90001542672 7000 7400 FIELD/EMERG. RESPONSE BUREAU		RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GWF-STORAGE TANKS STUDY-DNR GWF-GWTR MONITORING-DNR	APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	PERSONAL SERVICES	PERSONAL TRAVEL	SIAIE VEHICLE OPERATION DEDDECTATION	OFFICE SUPPLIES	FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLIA PROF. & SCIENTIFIC SUPPLIES	OTHER SUPPLIES	₩ 6	UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS	CONTROL PORT	UTILITIES	PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES	OUTSIDE SERVICES	DATA PROCESSING	RETHBOKSEMENIS 10 OTHER AGEN EQUIPMENT	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	KEVEKSIUNS UNSPENT BALANCE	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	

SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 207	TOT DPT REQR FY 1991-92	\$ 0	1.00		\$ 71,139 \$ 3,000	300	009			1 6		\$ 75,039 \$
IUM	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	0	1.00		\$ 70,886	300	009			74,786		\$ 74,786
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT ET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	0			\$ 70,130	300	009			74,030		\$ 74,030
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAG BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990 ORGANIZATION - BUDGET	ACTUAL FY 1988-89		1.00		\$ 65,874 2,811		942	97 97 504	1,773	71,435	-71,435	
RATIONS	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	0	1.00		\$ 60,038	852	534	60,614 648	506	124,163	-124,	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	ENVIRON. PROTECTION DIV. MGMT	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL	OFFICE SUPPLIES OTHER SUPPLIES	PRINTING & BINDING UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS	OUTSIDE SERVICES DATA PROCESSING	REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN EQUIPMENT	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	REVERSIONS UNSPENT BALANCE	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES

SCHEDULE 1 ORGN RECAP (RANKING SCHEDULE) DATE 08/28/89 TIME 23.20.14 PAGE 76	TOTAL DEPT REQUEST FY 1991 - 1992 EXPEND/FTE APPROPRIATION	\$ 361,156 \$ (8.00) OT 309,901	152,484 GF 152,484 (3.00)	178,500 GF 178,500 (4.00)	\$ 692,140 GF \$ 330,984 (15.00) OT 309,901
ENNIUM RECAP	r request - 1991 Appropriation	\$ 07 309,757	GF 152,286	GF 178,500	GF \$ 330,786 OT 309,757
STATE OF IOMA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM RANKING SCHEDULE - ORGANIZATION RECAP	TOTAL DEPT REQUEST FY 1990 - 1991 EXPEND/FTE APPROP	\$ 361,012	152,286 GF (3.00)	178,500	\$ (91,798 (15.00)
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS RANKING SCHEDUL	WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY RANK DESCRIPTION	BASE	0001 HASTE HEMT. AUTH. SOLID HASTE PROJIDE FOR DIVISION GENERAL RDM.	0002 MASTE MEMT. AUTH. SOLID HASTE INCREASE RECYCLING Program STAFFING	ESTIMATED FY 1989-90 TOTAL \$ 508,065 \$ (11.00) OT 457,303

			BCI	
	r 0F		PERATIONS	
/	NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT		NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	
	RESOURCES,	NATURAL RESOURCES	RESOURCES	
No.	NATURAL	NATURAL	NATURAL	

5

DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT JDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI) - NARRATIVE STATE OF IOWA

DECISION PACKAGE (PARTI)
DATE 08/29/89 TIME 00.01.30
PAGE 78 SCHEDULE 4 ORGN DETAIL

MASTE MGMT. AUTH. SOLID WASTE

90001542672 9000 9100

DECISION PACKAGE JUSTIFICATION:

Restores the general division administration which includes a central repository and information clearinghouse on solid and hazardous waste alternatives, publishing of a Recycling Directory and other recycling materials and representation for low-level radioactive waste concerns. waste management alternatives ₽ If this package is not approved, the state's fledgling efforts for promotion and implementation would be greatly set back.

There is no federal program in this area and no workable alternative to a state program.

I. THE CUMULATIVE FUNDING TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS LESS THAN CURRENT LEVEL.

II. THIS FUNCTION, OPERATION, OR ACTIVITY IS CURRENTLY BEING DONE.

III. THE CUMULATIVE SERVICE LEVEL TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS LESS THAN CURRENT LEVEL.

DEPT # 23 PRIORITY DI C

BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM DECISION PACKAGE (PART1) - NARRATIVE DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STATE OF IOWA

DECISION PACKAGE JUSTIFICATION:

MASTE MGMT. AUTH. SOLID WASTE

0016 0006

90001542672

NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF

RESOURCES

NATURAL

02

This package would fund 4 additional FTE's (PP I's) plus increased funding for public education/outreach in promoting proper and safe management alternatives for solid and hazardous waste. As a part of Iowa's waste reduction and recycling efforts, we are required to establish and promote a recycling network, promote the creation of markets for recycled materials and procurement of products with recycled content, establish recycling programs for polystyrene foam products, develop alternatives for white goods, waste oil, and refrigerant, and develop a waste tire abatement program. In addition, an information/education effort regarding infectious waste is to be conducted, as well as a compilation of an inventory of infectious waste generated. Records on hazardous waste must be compiled and maintained for preparation of the state Capacity Assurance Plan (CAP) required by U.S. EPA and recycling activities by hazardous waste generators must be reviewed/monitored.

less than 7% of the 3 million tons of solid waste generated in the state is currently being recycled and few markets exist for recycled materials and products. Currently, no efforts exist for infectious waste and nothing permanent exists for hazardous waste.

Failure to perform this work could result in failure or extreme difficulty for local recycling programs due to lack of technical assistance, public education and, most significantly, available markets. Additionally, the state could be unprepared to deal with federal infectious waste requirements and with future CAP requirements.

INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS GREATER THAN CURRENT LEVEL. I. THE CUMULATIVE FUNDING TOTAL

II. THIS FUNCTION, OPERATION, OR ACTIVITY IS NOT CURRENTLY BEING DONE.

III. THE CUMULATIVE SERVICE LEVEL TOTAL INCLUDING THIS DECISION PACKAGE IS GREATER THAN CURRENT LEVEL.

PRIORITY

2# NIO

DEPT # 42

	DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS		D BUDGET M ORGA	STATE OF IOMA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT ET HORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	: OF IOWA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1990-1992 - BUDGET COMPA	OF IOWA JF MANAGEMENT OR 1990-1992 BIENN BUDGET COMPARISON	W 513		SCHEDULE (BUDG) DATE 08/26/89	ULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) 6/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 243
90001542672 900 0 Maste Management Authority		ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	فليد	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	<u> </u>	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	TOT DP FY 19	DPT REQ 1990-91	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	
RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GHF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN GMF-HOUSEHOLD HAZ WASTE-DNR GMF-HASTE MGMT AUTHDNR GMF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN NATURAL RESOURCES OPERATIONS	4	18,753 95,454 85,259	! ! ! ! 40 -	194,426 178,030 170,528	; ; ; ; ;	9,65	 	1	1	49-
APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL		199,466		542,984		457,303	1 1 1 2 1	640,543	640,885	1
RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT		Y.	!			50,762		51,255	51,25	•
TOTAL RESOURCES	1 1	199,466	! !	: :		508,065	1	691,798		4
FTE POSITIONS	t 91 1 92 5 93 6 31 6 93 6 93	2.08	11 11 11 11 11 14	7.49	11	11.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES										
PERSONAL SERVICES	₩	81,663	•	247,869	44	374,082	<₩	499,315	\$ 499,657	4
PERSONAL TRAVEL OFFICE SUPPLIES		7,865		18,494		22,000		30,000	30,000	
OTHER SUPPLIES		7,988		2,532		7,000		000.8	8.000	
NIG		45,552		39,615		55,188		75,188	75,188	
PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES		!		4,000						
OUTSIDE SERVICES		87		5,272		6,000		6,000	000'9	
DATA PROCESSING		171		2,288		6,000		6,500	6,500	
REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN EQUIPMENT		29,423 16,985		508 34,090		12,200		12,100	12,100	
Licenses	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100	100	
EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL		199,466		367,379		508,065		691,798	692,140	٠
UNSPENT BALANCE				175,605				!		V \$ 5 9 8 8 9 9 9 9 8 8 5 5 7 11 8
TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	\$ 199,466	199,466	*	\$ 542,984	\$ 508,065	508,065	****	691,798	\$ 692,140	**************************************

E 6 ORGN RECAP JDGET COMPARISON) 89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 247		47			F 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19		4						5 2 2 2 5 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	
SCHEDULI (BI DATE 08/26/8	10T DPT REGR FY 1991-92	330,984	330,984		7.00		\$ 245,634	2,000	22,000	2,750	36,000	330,984		\$ 330,984
₩ 5 .	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	330,786	330,786	! ! ! ! ! !	7.00		245,436	2,000	22,000	2,750	36,000	330,786	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$ 330,786
F IOWA MANAGEMENT R 1990-1992 BIENNJ UDGET COMPARISON	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	149,545	149,545		3.00		122,695	1,000	2,000	2,250	000,48	149,545		\$ 149,545
	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	170,528	170,528		2.90		\$ 107,975 8,622	76U 346	6,018	1,256	6,59 <u>5</u>	130,623	39,905	\$ 170,528
RATIONS	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	18, 95, 85,	1 ^		11		\$ 60,276 6,940	198	399	171	16,985	85,263	1 ^	~ II
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPE	90001542672 9000 9100 Waste mgmt. Auth. Solid Waste	RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GWF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN GWF-HOUSEHOLD HAZ WASTE-DNR GWF-WASTE MGMT AUTHDNR NATURAL RESOURCES OPERATIONS	APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL	OTTICE SUPPLIES OTHER SUPPLIES	PRINTING & BINDING	DATA PROCESSING	EQUIPMENT	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	REVERSIONS UNSPENT BALANCE	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES
	RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF STATE OF IOWA RESOURCES RESOURCES RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATMENT OPERATM	OPERATIONS BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM OPERATIONS BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON ACTUAL FY 1 ACTUAL FY 1988-89 FY 1989-90 FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92	DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT	SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RE	ES DEPARTMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM O 9100 H. SOLID WASTE ACTUAL FY 1 ACTUAL FY 1 ALTERNATIVES-DN \$ 18,753 \$	ES, DEPARTMENT OF ES DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT O 9100 H. SOLID MASTE ACTUAL FY 1 ACTUAL FY 1 ACTUAL FY 1 ACTUAL FY 1987-88 FY 1987-89 FY 1987-90 FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92 ALTERNATIVES-DN \$ 18,753 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ES DEPARTMENT OF ES DEPARTMENT OF ES DEPARTMENT OF HANGEMENT ES DEPARTMENT OF HANGEMENT ES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS BUDGET COMPARIS O 9100 H. SOLID MASTE ALTERNATIVES-DN \$ 18,753 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ES DEPARTMENT OF STATE OF IOWA ES DEPARTMENT OF HANAGEMENT ES DEPARTMENT OF FRANAGEMENT ES DEPARTMENT OF FRANAGEMENT ES DEPARTMENT OF FRANAGEMENT ES DEPARTMENT OF FRANAGEMENT O 9100 H. SOLID MASTE ACTUAL FY I ACTUAL FY 1988-89 FY 1989-90 FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92 FY 1989-90 FY 1989-90 FY 1991-92 FY	ES DEPARTMENT OF ALL PARTIES OF IONA BELOGIET MORNEGEMENT OF MANAGEMENT	ES DEPARTHENT OF EATIENS SUBJECT MANAGEMENT FAMAGEMENT FAMAGEMEN	SOLID HASTE STATE OF 1004A STATE OF 1004A	ES DEPARTHENT OF ES DEPARTMENT OF ES DEP	SOUR PARTICLE STATE OF TOWN REPAREMENT OF HAMSEN RESPONDED TO PRATECULE CORON RESPONDED TO PRATECULAR CORON	ES DEPARTHENT OF BUDGET HORASIETS FOR THAT FOR THATEFUL TO HANASEETS FOR THE TOTAL TOTAL FOR THATEFUL TOTAL

SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02		TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	\$ 142,555 \$	\$ 142,555 \$	2.83	02 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		\$ 87,555 \$	5,000	500	25,000	5,000	1,500	12,000	1,000	142,555		442,555
<u> </u>		TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	\$ 142,526	\$ 142,526		05 27 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21		\$ 87,526	900,4	5,000	25,000	5,000	1,500	12,000	1,000	142,526		142,526
OF IOWA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM RIDGET COMPARTSON		DEPT REV FY 1989-90	\$ 139,654	\$ 139,654	######################################	03 91 91 83 83 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81			000,4	5000 E	25,000	5,000	1,500	12,000	1,000	139,654		139,654
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIEN ORGANIZATION - BIDGET COMPARISON		ACTUAL FY 1988-89	\$ 178,030	\$ 178,030	1.70	98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98		47,004	3,767	280	33,320	4,278	458	14	14,772	116,018	62,012	\$ 178,030
		ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	w	1		######################################		\$ 3,752	0.628	7,790	45,059			29,423		95,452	-95,452	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	90001542G72 9000 9200 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE		RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GWF-HOUSEHOLD HAZ MASTE-DNR	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	EXPENDITURES	PERSONAL SERVICES DEPENDAL TRAVEL	OFFICE SUPPLIES	OTHER SUPPLIES	PRINTING & BINDING	OUTSIDE SERVICES	DATA PROCESSING	REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	EQUIPMENT	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	REVERSIONS UNSPENT BALANCE	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES

SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT RATIONS BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02 ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	ACTUAL FY 1 ACTUAL DEPT REY TOT DPT REQ TOT DPT REQR FY 1987-88 FY 1988-90 FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92	I	194,426 166,463 167,231 167,346	167,231 \$ 167,346 \$,38		\$ 72,238 \$ 157,463 \$ 158,231 \$ 15	925 1,565 4,000 4,000 4,000	1,906	200 200	4	500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	364 50 50	95	-18,751	\$ 0 \$ 194,426 \$ 166,463 \$ 167,231 \$ 167,346 \$
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	YOUUISHIGYI YOUU YSUU MASTE MGMT LANDFILL ALTERNATIV	RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GWF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN GWF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN	APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	PERSONAL SERVICES	PERSONAL TRAVEL	OTHER SUPPLIES	PRINTING & BINDING	PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES	DATA PROCESSING	REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN EQUIPMENT	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	REVERSIONS UNSPENT BALANCE	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 90001542672 9000 9400 CAPACITY ASSURANCE SUPERFUND		STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT ET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENY ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	MOIN	SCHEDULE 6 (BUDGE DATE 08/26/89	SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 255
	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	
RESOURCES RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT	W	43-	\$ 19,590	\$ 18,442	\$ 18,442	w
TOTAL RESOURCES			\$ 19,590	\$ 18,442	18,442	11 00 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
FTE POSITIONS	95 41 41 46 46 41 41 41 41 41 41 41	69.	. 25	. 25	. 25	88 81 41 41 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL OUTSIDE SERVICES			\$ 9,270 1,000	40-	\$ 8,122	47
REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN		29	50 9,270	9,270	9,270	
EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL		25,065	19,590	18,442	18,442	
UNSPENT BALANCE		-25,065				
TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	\$	6	\$ 19,590	18,442	18,442	

					,	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES	76	STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT	IF IOWA MANAGEMENT		SCHEDULE (BUE	SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON)
NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	RATIONS	BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	ET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENN ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	HUM	DATE 08/26/8	DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 257
90001542G72 9000 9500 SOLID MASTE PUBLICATIONS						
	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	TOT DPT REQR FY 1991-92	
RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS	#	8 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		E
GWF-WASTE MGMT AUTHDNR RECEIPTS	40	4 >	\$ 1,641	₩	41	U r
FEDERAL SUPPORT			31,172	32,813	32,813	
TOTAL RESOURCES		0	\$2,813	\$ 32,813	32,813	
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES						
OFFICE SUPPLIES	€₽	40	\$ 4,825	40	\$ 4,825	40
PRINTING & BINDING			27,988	27,988	27,988	
EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL			32,813	32,813	32,813	
TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	0 H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	\$	\$ 32,813	\$2,813	\$ 32,813	

) WATER FUND	FYSS ACTUAL	FY89 ACTUAL	FY90 BUDGET	FY91 REQUEST	FY92 REGUEST
STORAGE TNK PG ADM	3505	377025	66205	68225	663
NEMEDIAL CLEAN OF ACTIVITIES	Č) j	215042	70380	7638
DEFI OF HEALTH	1000	1000	1000	1000	100
STORAGE TNK PG ADM REMEDIAL CLEAN UP ACTIVITIES DEFT OF HEALTH COMMERCE INS DIVISION INSURANCE FUND TOTAL	2500¢ ċ	0 0	0 796559) 796559	7965:
TOTAL	A1061	70000	1078806	and the second s	T BOOK FROM HELD PERSON STATE THOSE SECTION OF
TOTAL RECEIPTS .					
BIG SPRINGS STUDY 3 DNR GENERAL GW LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES SOLID WASTE RURAL WELL ASSESSMENT ABANDONED WELL EDUC AG AG ENERGY MGT AG IWSWRRI REGENTS LEOPOLD CENTER REGENTS	479522	1.555875	653697	654075	77 141 4 40 7
DNR GENERAL GW	81040	749401	866628	869228	5.4377 5.4377
LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES	60738	1142037	407225	: 0	/*T' / V
SOLID WASTE	20829	179171	()	Ö	
RURAL WELL ASSESSMENT	281908	268092	10000	Ö	
ABANDONED WELL EDUC AG	O	18433	281567	Ö	
AG ENERGY MGT AG	803970	1151867	2874163	1700000	. 97000
IWSWRRI REGENTS	19781	88433	211786	Ö	e e weigen.
IWSWRRI REGENTS LEOPOLD CENTER REGENTS	37246	476124	286630	ō	
TUTAL	1785034	4629433	5591696	3223303	227053
RECYCLING PROJECTS	O	, 0	80000	Ö	
TOXIC CLEANUP	Q.	468866	244306	137969	13797
HUUSEHOLD HAZ WASTE ADM	96401	130189	158024	160031	16006
, HHWA DEFT HEALTH	2000	2000	2000	2000	200
RECYCLING PROJECTS TOXIC CLEANUP HOUSEHOLD HAZ WASTE ADM HHWA DEFT HEALTH USED MOTOR OIL STUDY DOT	8000	• 0	o	Ŏ,	-
TOTAL TOTAL RECEIPTS DEFT PUBLIC HEALTH HEALTH CENTER LEOPOLD CENTER UHL REGENTS COUNTY GRANTS DNR ADM COUNTY GRANTS 23% WELL TESTIN COUNTY GRANTS 12% WELL ABANDO COUNTY CONS BOARDS AG DRAINAGE WELL/SINKHOLES UNUSEABLE CARRYOVER	166401	301055	484330	300000	30000
DEPT PORTO GENERA					
HEALTH CENTER	9000	9000	9000	9000	900
LEGEGLE CENTER	/9000	191219	188190	188190	18819
HH GEGENTS	4009021	.743551	731850	731850	73185
COUNTY GRANTO THE ATM	Ö,	201340	125460	125460	12546
COUNTY GRANTS DIN NOTE TRATELL	126	6703	29716	402\$8	4028
COUNTY GRANTS 10% WELL TESTING	O.	199855	455092	365925	36592
COUNTY COME DOVERS	Q	101796	417740	365925	36592
AG BEATNAGE LICIT ZOTRUZIOLEO	O	2400	47600	, , O	
AG DRAINAGE WELL/SINKHOLES UNUSEABLE CARRYOVER	310060 O	276177 0	271830	271830	27183
T()3 (A)		-		·	3179
TOTAL RECEIFTS	•	.409406	2276479	•	
		· 232373	203110	၁၈၁၈(၁)	THE PERSON WAS ARREST
SOLID WASTE LANDFILL CLEANUP	Ö		198044	エフエサリビュー	29293
WASTE MGT AUTHORITY DNR	100442	164944	177004	7/700	9793
SOLID WASTE LANDFILL CLEANUP WASTE MGT AUTHORITY DNR DEFT OF HEALTH SMALL BUISINESS CENTER LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES	o	-8000	277010	U _{in}	: 319
SMALL BUISINESS CENTER	50000	274211	274211	0000 07/010	800
LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES	0	58065	2879907	2/4210	2/421
SANITARY DISPOSAL GRANTS	ō	11075	272341	A++D\$10.	293797
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY GRANTS	ŏ	. 0	141710	Q ,c.	(
LOCAL AGENCY GRANTS		•	141716	293Ź97	29379
LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES SANITARY DISPOSAL GRANTS PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY GRANTS LOCAL AGENCY GRANTS TOTAL TOTAL RECEIPTS 1	306871	748568	4242991	3414652	3938030
INTHE RECEIPTS ()		•			- radua.

									,
NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL	STATE OF IOWA NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS BUDGET HORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 RAMKING SCHEDULE- BUDGET I	OF IOF OF FOR 19		BIENNIUM		SCH DATE 08	SCHEDULE 1 (RANKI 08/28/89 1	LE 1 BUDGET UNIT (RANKING SCHEDULE) /89 TIME 23.20.14 PAGE 1	H_
RANK	DESCRIPTION		TOTAL DEPT FY 1990 · EXPEND/FTE	œ ,	EQUEST 1991 APPROPRÍATION	TOTAL FY 1 XPEND/FTE	DEPT R 991 -	EQUEST 1992 Appropriation	
	BASE	\$ 37	37,961,527	GF \$ 0T	9,622,585 15,090,708	\$ 37,778,712 (766.20)	12 GF \$	9,634,380	
1000	Restore Mildcat Den, Fairport, Beeds Lake, Bellvue Lake Darling, & lake Keomah to FY 90 levels.	J	438,269	6F 0T	338,269	439,030 (10.00)	30 GF 0) OT	339,030	
0002	FARM FORESTRY Restore professional forestry management assistance to landowners by restoring three District Offices serving 30% of the state.	J	3.00)	n T	164,804	165,149	49 GF	165,149	
£000	MATER RIGHTS Restore water withdrawal program by providing for state supervision of water use activities.	_	134,756 3.00)	ii.	134,756	134,950	50 GF	134,950	
9000	Restore Fish, Wildlife, and Enforcement research & operations activities to 80% of FY 90 levels.	J	915,306 19.80)	TO	915,306	916,550 (19.80)	50 50 OT	916,550	
5000	USGS MATCH Restore drilling program by providing for continued water quality/quantity investigations & related geological information collection.	J	96,461	A.	96,461	96,461	51 GF 0)	96,461	
9000	ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT BUREAU Restore administrative support to 95% of FY 90 staff level.	J	393,422 8.00)	GF OT	236,053 157,369	393,422 (8.00)	22 GF 0) OT	236,053 157,369	
2000	LEGAL Restore legal support to the FY 90 level of effort.	_	1.00)	GF OT	27,531 18,354	45,970 (1.00)	70 GF	27,582 - 18,388	
8000	Restora Rathbun Hatchery to the FY 90 level. Restora Fish, & Mildlifa research and operations activities to 90% of FY 90 levels.	_	957,90 3 21.85)	TO	957,903	958,66 5 (21.85)	5. TO CT	958,665	
6000	Restore Maquoketa Caves, Mines of Spain, Pilot Knob, Prairie Rose & Rock Creek to FY 90 levels.	· •	351,646	GF	351,646	352,330		352,330	
0010	FLOOD PLAIN Restore Floodplain Program by providing for state supervision of floodplain construction activities.	_	397,707	.	397,707	398,153 (9.00)	3 GF	398,153	

	LE 1 BUDGET UNIT (RANKING SCHEDULE) /89 TIME 23.20.14 PAGE 2	EQUEST 1992 APPROPRIATION	21,353	167,526	367,804 245,202	308,272	865,108	79,080 118,620	88,755	, 121,476	47,853	48,767 32,510	
	ANKIN 9 TI	T REQUES - 1992	GF \$	GF OT	GF 01	ir F	OT	GF OT	F.	F.	GF	6F 0T	
	SCHEDULE (RA) DATE 08/28/89	TOTAL DEPT REQUEST FY 1991 - 1992 EXPEND/FTE APPROP	84,733	6.00)	613,006 15.00)	308,272 5.00)	865,108 19.60)	197,700	88,755	121,476	47,853	81,277	
		EXP		-	_	_	_		_	-	_	-	
		EQUEST 1991 APPROPRIATION	21,127	167,481	367,252	307,542	863,893	78,947	88,684	121,476	47,738	48,767 32,510	
	BIENNIUM	1 ·	6F \$	GF OT	OT	F.	10	GF OT	F.	S.	9	GF OT	
		TOTAL DEP FY 1990 EXPEND/FTE	84,507	279,135 6.00)	612,086 15.00)	307,542 5.00)	863,893	197,367	2.50)	121,476	47,738	3.00)	
	TE OF IOMA T OF MANAG S FOR 1990 EDULE- BU	EXP	4	-	_	_	_	J	~	_	-	<u> </u>	
	NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 RANKING SCHEDULE- BUDGET L	DESCRIPTION	MATER SUPPLY Restore water supply permit review turnaround time to FY 90 levels.	Restore accounting & data processing services to 90% of FY 90 level.	CONSTRUCTION SERVICES BUREAU Restore construction services to the FY 90 level. Provide design & contract administration of DNR development & renovation projects.	Restore AA Call, Wapsipinicon, Maubonsie, Wilson Island, & Volga to FY 90 levels.	Restore Fish, Wildlife, & Enforcement research & operations activities to 95% of FY 90 levels.	LAND ACQUISITION/MGMT BUREAU Restore land acquisition to 90 level providing for appraisal negotiation & relocation activities associated with acquiring natural resource land.	GEOLOGICAL & MINERAL RESOURCES Restore sample processing & tracking, field equipment maintenance/repair & map/publications cataloging.	Restore Yellow River to 95% and Stephens and Loess Hills Pioneer State Forests to 100% of FY 90 levels.	GEOLOGICAL & MINERAL RESOURCES Restore analysis of soils & sediments needed as a data base for siting landfills/ haz w storage fac. & locating sand/gravel/stone etc. for const projts	Restore accounting and licensing to the FY 90 level.	
<u>-</u>	NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL	RANK	0011	0012	0013	0014	0015	0016	0017	0018	0019	0050	

SCHEDULE 1 BUDGET UNIT (RANKING SCHEDULE) DATE 08/28/89 TIME 23.20.14 PAGE 3	DEPT 1991 - E	\$ 932,362 \$ (32,362	92,056 GF 92,056 (3.00)	152,484 GF 152,484 (3.00)	57,500 GF 57,500	75,000 GF 75,000	41,594 GF 41,594 (1.00)	159,014 GF 95,408 (4.00) OT 63,606	58,039 GF 34,823 (2.40) OT 23,216	92,390 GF 55,434 (2.00) OT 36,956	127,271 GF 76,363 (3.00) OT 50,908
SIENNIUM	TOTAL DEPT REQUEST FY 1990 - 1991 ND/FTE APPROPRIATION	6	GF 92,056	GF 152,286	GF 57,500	GF 75,000	GF 41,479	GF 95,270 OT 63,514	GF 34,823 OT 23,216	GF 55,434 OT 36,956	GF 76,294 OT 50,862
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT HORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM NKING SCHEDULE- BUDGET UNIT	TOTAL DEPT FY 1990 EXPEND/FTE	\$ 931,980 (32.37)	92,056	152,286	57,500	75,000	41,479 (1.00)	158,784	58,039	92,390	127,156
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR 90001542672	4K DESCRIPTION	21 Restore Fish, Wildlife, and Enforcement research and operations activities to the FY 90 level.	is Restore Yellow River and Shimek State Forests to 100% of FY 90 levels.	S MASTE MGMT. AUTH. SOLID MASTE Restore Maste Mgt Authority Division general administration which was funded from the Solid Maste Account through FY 90.	:4 STATEWIDE PARKS Restore funds for replacement of such items as picnic tables, fire rings, grilles, fire pits, etc in order to maintain park facilities.	S STATEHIDE PARKS Restore funding to maintain the State Park Trail System.	6 GEOLOGICAL & MINERAL RESOURCES Restore microscopic analysis & description of drill samples from private, municipal, & industrial wells.	Restore Bdgt & Grants/Data Processing to 90 levels allowing for local recreath grant processing, & continuation of information processing activities.	Restore administrative support to FY 90 level providing for records mgt & deer license processing activities.	9 OUTDOOR RECREATION Restore planning staff to maintain the statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan and provide impact analysis of DNR policies.	O PUBLICATIONS Restore personnel for newsletter, radio, tv spot production.
NAN LAN	RANK	0021	0022	0023	0024	0025	0026	0027	0028	0029	0030

	LE 1 BUDGET UNIT (RANKING SCHEDULE) /89 TIME 23.20.14 PAGE 4	EQUEST 1992 APPROPRIATION	86,000	40,089	19,076 12,718	29,503 19,668	789,000	493,000	577,000	140,789		30,000	
	SCHEDULE 1 (RANKIN DATE 08/28/89 TI	EPT R 91 -	86,000 GF \$ 2.00)	66,815 GF 2.00) OT	31,794 GF 1.00) OT	49,171 GF 1.50) OT	789,000 GF 5.00)	493,000 GF 3.00)	577,000 GF 1.00)	140,789 7.00) OT	49,850 1.00)	30,000 GF	
		<u>x</u>	 *										
	₹	EQUEST 1991 APPROPRIATION	86,000	40,089 26,726	19,076 12,718	29,503	789,000	493,000	577,000	116,453		30,000	
	IENNIU	r REQUE - 1991 APPR	49	GF OT	GF 01	GF 0T	<u>م</u>	i.	ች	10		9	
المادية المادي	E OF IOWA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1990-1992 BIE OULE- BUDGET UNIT	TOTAL DEPT REQUEST FY 1990 - 1991 EXPEND/FTE APPROP	86,000	66,815	31,794	49,171	789,000	493,000	1.00)	116,453 5.00)	1.00)	30,000	-
	E OF IOWA OF MANAG FOR 1990 DULE- BU	EXP	*	_	_	J	_	_	-	J	~		
	NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTHENT OPERATIONS NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTHENT OPERATIONS RANKING SCHEDULE- BUDGET UNIT	DESCRIPTION	FARM FORESTRY Restore farm forestry program to 100% of FY 90 level.	: PUBLICATIONS Restore field information and education activities to the FY 90 level.	LEGAL Restore administrative asst to legal to provide project tracking and monitoring activities for the section.	PUBLICATIONS Restore graphic support for technical report and brochure production activities.	AIR QUALITY Provide for implementation of an on-going air quality toxics monitoring, permitting & inspection program.	CONST GRANTS NON-GRANT Enhance the Departments ability to conduct detailed assessments & evaluations of Iowa's surface water streams & rivers.	GROUNDWATER QUALITY PLANNING Provide for implementation of a statewide groundwater monitoring program consisting of 615 fixed station long-term monitoring wells.	MISSISSIPPI RIVER MONITORING Utilize increased federal funds to expand the Mississippl Monitoring Program.	LAND ACQUISITION/MGMT BUREAU Provide for additional land acquisition personnel to handle the open spaces acquisition program under the Resource Enhancement & Protection prog.	ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT BUREAU Provide for improved records mgt capability through the purchase of additional filing equip- ment & optical scanning equipment.	
	NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL	RANK	0031	0032	0033	0034	0035	9200	0037	0038	0039	0040	

7.

	BUDGET UNIT IG SCHEDULE) ME 23.20.14 PAGE 5	i c	80,760 53,840	178,500	40,000	87,000	42,000	30,000	40,000	60,000	200,000	29,200
	SCHEDULE 1 BUDGET UNIT (RANKING SCHEDULE) DATE 08/28/89 TIME 23.20.14 PAGE 5	TOTAL DEPT REQUEST FY 1991 - 1992	00 GF &	178,500 GF 1 4.00)	40,000 GF	87,000 GF 2.00)	42,000 GF	30,000 GF 1.00)	40,000 GF	60,000 GF	200,000 GF 20 1.00)	29,200 GF
		Ú	4									
		EQUEST 1991 Appendention	80,760 53,840	178,500	40,000	87,000	42,000	30,000	40,000	60,000	200,000	29,200
	FENICA	<u>~</u> !	1 9 0	6 F	£.	F.	L O	u. O	.	1	<u>.</u>	. O
	E OF ICHA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1990-1992 BIE JULE- BUDGET UNIT	TOTAL DEPT REQUEST FY 1990 - 1991 EXPEND/FIE	134,600	178,500	40,000	87,000	42,000	30,000	40,000	60,000	200,000	29,200
	OF ICHA OF MANAG FOR 1990	Ž L	1 1	~		J		•			· _	
	RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF RESOURCES RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS BUDGET MORKSHEETS RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS RANKING SCHEI	DESCRIPTION	ADMINISTRATIVE SERVCS DIV MGMT Provide for administrative cost increases for vehicle operation & depreciation as well as supply & other support cost catagories.	MASTE MGMT. AUTH. SOLID WASTE Provide additional technical, educational, & promotional capabilities associated with implementation of recycling programs.	LOESS HILLS Provide additional funds for equipment and maintenance of the newly acquired Loess Hills Pioneer State Forest.	FARM FORESTRY Provide staffing & support for additional forestry management assistance to implement the forestry cost share program.	GEOLOGICAL SURVEY BUREAU MGMT Replace truck which carries the drilling rig & provide for drill maintenance.	PRESERVES/ECOL SERVCS BUREAU Provide funding for maintenance of State Preserves, scientific studies, & development of Preserves Management Plans.	MELL-CAPPING GRANTS Provide for plugging of 50 abandoned DNR wells per year. FY 91 & 92 would be years 1 & 2 of a 5 year program.	Provide additional funds for equipment and maintenance of existing state forest areas.	NON-GAME RESEARCH Provide for non-game program expansion utilizing state general fund monies.	MATER RESOURCES Develop information on new sand aquifer for ag use in drought prone western Iowa.
	सरस है								_			
36	NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL	RANK DESC	0041	0042	0043	0044	0045	0046	0047	004 8	0049	0020

SCHEDULE 1 BUDGET UNIT (RANKING SCHEDULE) DATE 08/28/89 TIME 23.20.14 PAGE 6	1	35,350 GF \$ 35,350 (1.00)	65,000 GF 65,000	29,800 GF 29,800 (.50)	125,500 (2.00) OT 125,500	50,000 GF 50,000	\$ 49,614,861 GF \$ 16,346,914 (998.72) OT 19,896,581
ENNIUM IT	F REQUEST - 1991 APPROPRIATION	GF \$ 35,350 \$	GF 65,000	GF 29,800	OT 125,500	GF 50,000	GF \$ 16,330,246 \$ OT 20,072,384
STATE OF IOMA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET HORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM RANKING SCHEDULE- BUDGET UNIT	TOTAL DEPT REQUEST FY 1990 - 1991 EXPEND/FTE APPROP	\$ 35,350	65,000	29,800	125,500	50,000	\$ 49,764,094 (1,000.72)
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS RANKING SCH	K DESCRIPTION	1 GEOLOGICAL & MINERAL RESOURCES Provide for microscopic enalysis & description of 9200 sets of backlogged drill samples.	2 DIRECTED PROJECTS Provide for the purchase of digital map data & landstat data as well as a raster plotter for the Geographical information system.	MATER RESOURCES Investigate Missourri River water resources and quality to assess water availability for S.W. Iowa during drought periods.	t LAM ENFORCEMENT BUREAU MGMT Provide for two additional Conservation Officers as required in HF-88 if additional funds are available from sale of non-res Deer/Turkey lic.	s LEGISLATIVE LIASION Pay for membership dues in the Upper Mississippi and Missourri River Basin Associations	ESTIMATED FY 1989-90 101AL \$ 46,569,467 GF \$ 13,441,699 (973.10) 0T 20,030,549
NATU NATU NATU	RANK	0051	0052	0053	0054	0055	

SCHEDULE 1 ORGN RECAP (RANKING SCHEDULE) DATE 08/28/89 TIME 23.20.14 PAGE 7	TOTAL DEPT REQUEST FY 1991 - 1992 EXPEND/FTE APPROPRIATION	40,000 GF \$ 40,000	\$ 40,000 GF \$ 40,000	
ENNIUM RECAP	REQUEST - 1991 APPROPRIATION	40,000	40,000	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM RANKING SCHEDULE - ORGANIZATION RECAP	TOTAL DEPT REQUEST FY 1990 - 1991 EXPEND/FTE APPROP	\$ 40,000 GF \$	\$ 40,000 GF \$	16 11) 12) 13) 14) 15) 17) 17) 18) 18)
		abandoned DNR wells be years 1 & 2 of a	ESTIMATED FY 1989-90 650,000 GF \$ 680,000	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTHENT OPERATIONS 90001542G72 0099	DESCRIPTION	HELL-CAPPING GRANTS Provide for plugging of 50 abandoned DNR wells per year. FY 91 & 92 would be years 1 & 2 of a 5 year program.	45	19 19 10 11 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 16
NATURAL RESOURCES, NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES	REVENUE RANK DES	0001 HEL	TOTAL	

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS		STATE RETMENT SHEETS	of MANAGEMENT FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM	WNJ	SCHEDULE (BUDI)	6 BU SET C
90001542672			BOUGE! CONFARISON			PAGE 7
	ACTU, FY 1	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	TOT DPT REG FY 1990-91	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	
RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GWF-BIG SPRINGS MONITORING-D \$	479,522	1,263,895	269.249	\$ 450.078		
	81,040					•
GWF-LANDFILL MONITORING-DNR	20,829	200,000				
GWF-RURAL WELLS ASSESSMENT-D	281,908	474,400		. !		
GMF-HOUSEHOLD HAZ MASTE-DNR	55,051	316,102	158.024	160.021	66,316	
GMF-HELL TESTING ADMIN 2%-DN	126	24,329		40,288	40,288	
GHF-GHTR MONITORING-DNR	156,424	244,214		292,402	292,936	
GWF-MASTE MGMT AUTHDNR	100,447	170,528	177,812	1	1	
NATIONI DECOLOCES DESATIONS	000		200,632	198,877	199,015	
NAIONAL RESOURCES UPERALIUNA FAG-ODERATIONS-DNP	10,529,892	11,815,428	13,441,699	16,330,246	16,346,914	
MFT-OPERATIONS-DNR	397,179	397,179	400,000	400.000	47,457,425	
•						\$ 8 8 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	26,893,889	32,208,941	33,477,599	36,402,630	36,466,797	
RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT		887.398	222.986.9	200.779.3	1007 Hao 7	
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	,	6,540		6,000	6,000	
INTRA STATE RECEIPTS	1,121,427	22,643,303	2,35	2,355,685	2,356,202	
REIMB. FROM OTHER AGENCIES		81,192	91,000	91,000	61,000	
REES, LICENSES & PERMISS REFUNDS & RETHRESKYFMENTS	150.755	028	1,616	1,616	1,616	
OTHER SALES & SERVICES		35,097	33,000	33,000	33,000	
OTHER .	36,744	201			1	
RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL	<u> </u>	28,000,139	10,570,671	10,567,740	10,577,642	
. SERV. DIV. ERVICES RENTAL	125,000	•		;		•
FND	1,949,456		1,558,374	49,850 1,558,374 470,500	49,850 1,558,374 470,500	
CONS FND - NATURAL RES.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	715,000	715,000	715,000	
TOTAL RESOURCES \$	35,738,	\$ 60,209,080	\$ 46,792,144	\$ 49,764,094	\$ 49,838,163	w
FTE POSITIONS	869.		968.81			9 8 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES			11 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPE	RATIONS	STATE (DEPARTMENT OI BUDGET MORKSHEETS FO	STATE OF IOWA MENT OF MANAGEMENT EETS FOR 190-1992 BIENNIUM	HNI	SCHEDULE (BUD)	6 BU/F RECA GET COMPARISON 9 TIME 23.20.0
90001542G72		I	NOSTRALICO LEGGO			PAGE 8
	ACTUAL FY 1	•	DEPT REV			
	FY 1987-88	FY 1988-89	FY 1989-90	FY 1990-91	FY 1991-92	
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
TRANSFERS						
DEDOUNT OF DATES	0 / 1 / 0	(
DERVICES TRACES	144,002,441	\$ 27,505,755	\$ 30,330,773	\$ 31,747,823	\$ 31,821,892	⇔
STATE VEHICLE OPERATION	709.783	797.571	882,107	926,807	926,807	
DEPRECIATION		1,050,635	1,151,429	1.255.429	428,828	
OFFICE SUPPLIES		768,822	714,256	786,006	786.006	
FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE	887,047	828,237	1,272,759	1,319,759	1,319,759	
EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLI		797,256	840,499	872,899	872,899	
ب	17,749	2,974	23,546	23,546	23,546	
AG., CONSERVATION & HORT SUPP	458,007	417,210	501,090	530,490	530,490	
	240,517	329,226	250,122	314,172	314,172	
BINDING	589,545	555,526	841,883	876,683	876,683	
UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS	188,168	180,249	199,095	204,895	204,895	
COMMUNICATIONS	485,862	512,391	538,282	549,582	549,582	
RENTALS	117,528	134,922	140,940	147,940	147,940	
	546,935		600,227	600,227	600,227	
PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES	1,908,128	2,043,045	4,271,993	5,385,993	5,615,993	
OUTSIDE SERVICES	600,531	456,381	504,364	506,064	506,064	
INTRA-STATE TRANSFERS	85,825				•	
ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY	29,034	25,859	48,850	58,850	58,850	
DATA PROCESSING	308,185	316,128	364,218	380,318	380,318	
AUDITOR OF STATE REIMBURSEME	50,522	107,108	112,000	112,000	112,000	
REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	176,465	136,131		164,798	164,798	
	880,276	1,276,193	1,498,332	2,052,932	1,845,932	
OTHER EXPENSE & OBLIGATIONS	3,131	3,381	12,100	12,100	12,100	
LICENSES	3,116	1,746	3,662	6,362	6,362	
STATE AID	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		000,009			
EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	35,711,294	94,26	46,762,	460,764,094	49,838,163	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
REVERSIONS UNSPENT BALANCE	27,166	20,611,453	30,		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	# 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Charles and Month and Later						3 1 4 4 9 1 5 5 6 6 6
IOIAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	5 55,/58,460	5 60,209,080	\$ 46,792,144	\$ 49,764,094	\$ 49,838,163	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL 9000154;	NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	BUDGET BU /	STATE OF IOMA DEPARTHENT OF MANAG MORKSHEETS FOR 1990 FUND – PERSONNEL S	ATE OF IOMA INT OF MANAGEMENT TTS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM PERSONNEL SERVICES SUMMARY	IUM MARY	SCHEDULE 7 BU/F RECAP (PERSONNEL SERVICES SUMMARY) DATE 08/24/89 TIME 23.20.40 PAGE 9	
CLASS	CLASS NAME		ACTUAL FY 1988-89		TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	TOT DPT REQR FY 1991-92	
00011	CLERK	45	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ 5,700	\$ 5,700	\$ 5,700 \$	
00012	CLERK TYPIST II	21,539	11,653	13,494	13,814	.40 13,814	
00013	CLERK TYPIST III	126,342	37,186	.80 94,741	.80 95,797	.80	
00017	CLERK III	6.80	1.92	4.90 100,249	4.90	100.299	
00018	cierk IV	3.73 272,698	3.52	5.00	5.00	5.00	
00025	SECRETARY I	12.87 112,178	13.64	14.75	14.75	14.75	
92000	SECRETARY II	5.41 121,701	14.25	13,55	13.55	13,55 13,55 28,675	
000030	OFFICE SVCS SUPV 1	4.92 28,239	1.76 29,718	1.00	1.00	1.00	
00031	OFFICE SERV. SUPV. II	.99 23,62 3	66.	33,100	33,100	טטניאַצּ	
00061	MORD PROCESSOR II	.87	201.211	1.00	1.00	1.00	
		10.08	9.69	10.00	10.00	225,637 10.00	
0000	MUKU PRUCESSUR III	1.99	50,144	54,577	54,871	54,871	
00103	DATA ENTRY OPERATOR I	27,290	66,895	87,154	89,796	99,748	
00104	DATA ENTRY OPERATOR II	1.58 6,061	18,763	5.00	5.00 21,513	5.00 21,513	
00112	SYSTEM SUPPORT WKR II	.54 20,718	22,060	1.00	1.00 23,159	1.00 23,159	
00151	COMPUTER PROGRAMMER	66.	.99	1.00 53,866	1.00 54,786	1.00 54,786	
00152	PROGRAMMER/ANALYST	168,215	188,166	2.00 160,073	2.00	2.00	
00156	SYSTEMS ANALYST	5.91	6.18	5.00	5.00	00.6	
00157	SENTOR SYSTEMS ANALYST	2.30	3.23	5.00	5.00	186,711 5.00	
9100	TOTAL CITTOR AND CITTOR	1.99	1.99	1			
66100	SEN. STSTEMS ANAL (SUPV	58,40 5	42,273	138,983	138,983	138,983	
00193	DATA PROCESS ADM II	49,224	54,018	58,655	58,957	55.0.5	
00200	PURCHASING ASSISTANT	21,653	22,722	24,634	24,662	1.00 24,662	
00210	PURCHASING AGENT I	25,500	27,618	28,843	1.00 28,862	1.00 28,862	
00236	STOREKEEPER II	19,971	29,898	1.00 44,796	1.00 45,153	1.00 45,153	
00260	MAIL CLERK I	10,480	1.39	2.00	2.00 17,653	2.00 17,653	
00290	ACCOUNTING TECH I	. 65 21,398 . 99	22,823	1.00 25,155	1.00 25,228 1.00	1.00 25,228	
) ; •	00.1	

.

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES		STATE OF	OF IOWA OF MANAGEMENT		SCHEDULE 7 BU/F RECAP
NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 90001542672	BUDGET BU /	HORKSHEETS FOR FUND - PERSON	MORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM FUND - PERSONNEL SERVICES SUMMARY	rum 1ary	DATE 08/24/89 TIME 23.20.40 PAGE 10
CLASS CLASS NAME	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88 F	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	TOT DPT REQR FY 1991-92
00292 ACCOUNTING TECH II	91,907 \$	98,611	\$ 81,249	\$ 55,164	\$ 55,164 \$
00305 ACCOUNTING CLERK I	31,535	39,923	41,950	2.00 42,003	2.00 42,003
00306 ACCOUNTING CLERK II	95,418	105,489		2.00 143,286	2.00 143,286
00311 ACCOUNTANT II	4.84 29,548	4.99 16,114	5.00	6.00	6.00
00641 COMPLIANCE OFFICER 2	.99 153,966	.49	136,780	137,595	016.721
00642 COMPLIANCE DEFICER X	3.99	3.99	3.00	3.00	2.00
ממון בדשומר מון זכרע	667,74	\$09 .1 6	104,600	104,703	104,818
00673 INDUSTRIAL HYGIENIST		37,011	39,225	39,383	39,498
00692 ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER	44,425	. 99 68,975	1.00	1.00	1.00
1 1304 BYECHTIVE ASST T	1.36	1.87	2.00	2.00	2.00
EVECOITAE	7.49	214,942	307,635	312,219	313,00 5
00694 EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT II	85,693	174,322	234,525	237,623	238,140
00705 ADMINISTRATIVE INTERN	70.7	6.5	5.00 46,012	5.00	5.00 46.012
00708 ADMINISTRATIVE ASST I	203,758	229.751	2.47	2.47	7.52
	8.11	8.96	12.00	12.00	>22,249 12.00
00/09 ADMINISTRATIVE ASSI II	117,819	148,863	180,643	182,567	182,567
00722 BUDGET ANALYST II	32,499	34,919	36,496	36,609	6.00 36,724
00734 MANAGEMENT ANALYST II	.99 31,084	.99 25,753	1.00	1.00	1.00
00744 STAT RESEARCH ANAL II	.99 12,216	• 76			
00750 INFO SPECIALIST I	.46 21,200	11,301			•
00751 INFO SPECIALIST II	.99 126,446	.49	186,610	189,261	189.261
00754 INFO SPECIALIST III	4.31	5.41	6.00	6.00	6.00
00763 PERSONNEL AIDE	2.99 15,827	2.99	3.00	3.00	3.00
00767 TRNG OFFICER 2	.69 45,284	122,588	134,745	137.053	. 280 721
DO220 DEDECAMMENTAL	1.46	3.96	4.00	4.00	4.00
	30,747	48,274	51,174	51,301	51,301
00781 PUBLIC SERV EXEC I	77,668	79,800	120,870	123,179	123,367
00782 PUBLIC SERV EXEC II	898,633	931,088	3.00 1,002,955	3.00	3.00 1,020,881
00784 PUBLIC SERV EXEC III	23.54 718,169 76 62	794,931	22.00 874,437	22.00 891,796	22.00 893,478
	60.01	70.00	17.00	17.00	17.00

7 BU/F RECAP RVICES SUMMARY) TIME 23.20.40 PAGE 11							-														•											
SCHEDULE 7 BU/R (PERSONNEL SERVICES DATE 08/24/89 TIME	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	,304	11.00 473,452	7.00	10.00	255,275 8.00	313,426 8.00	44,312	48,212	1.00	3.00	01.	97,858	5.00 167,546	128 555	3.00	20,627	22,962	1.00 29,188	1.00	1.00	220,199	29,213	23,995	1.00	3.00	69,596		33,027	1.00	1.00	86,284 3.50
IUM MARY	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	\$ 662,606	11.00 471,468	7.00	10.00	8.00	312,712 8.00	44,236	48,097	1.00	3.00	.10	97,831	167,125	128.210	3.00	20,627	22,962	1.00	1.00	1.00	6.00	29,213	23,995	1.00	3.00	69,563		33,027	1.00	1.00	86,284 3.50
FATE OF IOMA ENT OF MANAGEMENT ETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM PERSONNEL SERVICES SUMMARY	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	\$ 656,916	11.00	8.00 141,268	5.00	8.00	296,492 8.00	42,402	47,972	1.00	3.00	.10	67,487	164,089	4.00	3.00	1,00	22,709	29,188	1.00	1.00	6.00	29,139	23,958	1.00	3.00	68,797	•	32,952	1.00	1.00	3.00
ST DEPARTME HORKSHEE FUND -	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	9	431,744	6.99 86,248	3.19	8.40	4.77	38,694	45,705	167,909	44,020	66.	60,053	151,964	3.99	2.99	767,627	20,593	32,338	38,441	96.	5.76	25,659	22,073	72,488	2.61	47,617	16,620	29,950	99.	.53	2.53
ATIONS BUDGET BU /	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	553,139 \$	393,228	32,155	1.26	5.62	000	54,637 .99	43,654	145,913	4.61 41,875	66.	40,336	140,373	5.99 122,414	2.99		18,903	217,021	6.99 36,62 5	66.		22,877	20,189	.99 77,816	2.99	30,505	36,808	7,247	1,148	70.	2.24
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	CLASS NAME		PUBLIC SERV EXEC V	PROGRAM PLANNER I	PROGRAM PLANNER II	DROCRAM DI ANNED	CINETICAL PROCESSOR	PROGRAM & PLANNING AUM	PROGRAM & PLANNING ADM	OUTDOOR RECREAT PLAN II	OUTDOOR RECREAT PLAN II	Figure 243 no Filoro	KIGHI OF MAY AGENI IL	RIGHT OF MAY AGENT 3	FAC ENGR 1	FNSTNEFRTNE ATDE T	3	ENGINEERING AIDE II	CONSTRUCTION TECH. II	CONSTRUCTION TECH. III	DISTRICT CONST TECH		ASSI SURVEY PARTY CHIEF	DESIGN TECHNICIAN II	DESIGN TECHNICIAN III		DESIGN LECHNICIAN IV	DESIGN TECHNICIAN 5	ARCHITECTURAL TECH I	GEOLOGICAL AIDE	MATCHING OF LACTOR CORP.	פרטרמפיראר יבימוייניאי
NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL	CLASS	00786	00787	04020	04022	04024	96090	* 2040	04025	04068	04069		11140	04112	04256	04305		04306	04321	04322	04323		04325	04356	04357	1	04550	19250	04363	04400	10000	•

NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL 9000154	NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATION 90001542G72	S			>- (S Pers Ate
CLASS	CLASS NAME	ACIUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92
04405	GEOLOGIST I	91,848 \$	160,983	\$ 276,578	\$ 280,438	\$ 280,438 \$
90550	GEOLOGIST II	97,611	58,335	7,25	110,152	9.25 110,305
04407	GEOLOGIST 3	442,148	551,833	2.00 614,778	3.00 628,679	3.00 630,129
04410	GEOLOGIST 4	11.99	13.76	14.00	14.00	14.00
7,10,70		3.99	3.99	4.00	4.00	4.00
04510	ENVIRONMENIAL PROG	634,174 13.07	655,946	674,297	676,949	678,379
04517	ENVIRONMENTAL SPEC I	7,622	295,938	292,127	299,431	299,431
04518	ENVIRONMENTAL SPEC II	1,193,712	1,301,849	1,606,997	10.25	10.25 1,871,896
04519	ENVIRONMENTAL SPEC III	36.88 764,83 2	38.54 1,016,032	45.75	52.75	48.75
04520	F (2)	20.58	25.88	30.00	34.00	34.00
		1.96	.84 .84	65,674	66,399	66,403
04521	ENV. ENG. II	540,751	641,585	823,004	885,650	887,257
04522	ENV. ENG. III	651,751	711,259	22.00 771,107	23.00 828,84 7	23.00 830,456
05205	PARK ATTENDANT	15.24	15.25	16.00	17.00	17.00
,		46.19	43.64	1,158,521	1,168,147	1,168,147
05210	PARK RANGER I	185,888	178,764	195,640	198,171	198,171
05215	PARK RANGER II	705,338	734,232	785,890	6.00	6.00 794.666
05217	PARK RANGER	20.72	20.99	21.00	21.00	21.00
4300		25.75	25.53	782,977	990,206	993,965 26.00
05225	ASSOC SUPT-CONSERVATION	17,391				0000
02300	NATURAL RESOURCES AIDE	13,642				•
05301	CONSERVATION MORKER	970,008	1,022,738	1,125,274	1,134,477	1,134,477
05303	FISHERIES TECHNICIAN	48.12 649,351	48.14 726,784	50.05 842,251	50.05 876,088	50.05 876,318
05312	FISHERIES BIOLOGIST I	27.69	29.41	33.00	33.00	33.00
		66.4	5.80	6.00	795,567	202,800
05313	FISHERIES BIOLOGIST II	867,604	924,404	1,005,303	981,788	984,633
05331	MILDLIFE TECHNICIAN	714,915	770,110	794,009	25.00 797,25 6	25.00 797,256
05332	MILDLIFE BIOLOGIST I	29.26 25,182	29.76	29.00	29.00	29.00
,		66.	1.23	2.00	2.00	2.00
05555	MILDLIFE BIOLOGIST II	1,052,438	1,078,437	1,150,882	1,190,127	1,193,298
05355	CONSERVATION OFFICER	2,449,281	2,613,091	2,957,547	3,081,458	3,089,146
		3	01.12	00.70	84.00	84.00

NATURAL NATURAL NATURAL	AL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF AL RESOURCES AL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	B	ST DEPARTME HORKSHEE	STATE OF IOMA MENT OF MANAGEETS FOR 1990	STATE OF IOMA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT HORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM	Σ	SCHEDULE 7 BU/F (PERSONNEL SERVICES 5 DATE 08/24/89 TIME	SUMMARY
90001	90001542G72	D	1 2 2 2	PERSONNEL S	PERSONNEL SERVICES SUMMARY	4RY	a.	PAGE 13
CLASS	CLASS NAME	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	ACTUAL FY 1988-8	6	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	TOT DPT REQR FY 1991-92	
05356	CONSERV PROGRAM COOR \$	15,239 \$		y	† † † † †	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †
05410	FORESTRY LEADER I	265,766	275	275,358	324,995	351,903	351,903	
05411	FORESTRY LEADER II	80,717	102	102,892	109,748	110,687	110,687	
05415	FORESTER I	5.26 11,051	8 ~	3.99 7,464	4.00 51,000	103,000	103,000	
05416	FORESTER II	.41. 551,011	109	.25 601,061 16 18	2.00 653,178 17.00	689,660	4.00 691,155	
05417	FORESTER III		3.6	34,08 5	129,171	129,444	129,674	
05446	NAT RESOURCES ENGR	1 (1)	, W	35,379	38,754	39,150	39,26 5	
05447	SR NAT RESOURCES ENGR	37,109	40	40,778	44,340	45,157	45,258	
07005	CUSTODIAL WORKER	.99 16,550	17	.99 17,71	1.00	1.00	1.00 15,852	
07010	CUSTODIAL LEADER	.89 20,109	21	.89 21,036	22,076	22,101	. 75 22,101	
08004	ENERGY MGMT. TECH.	.99 22,384	22	.99 22,438	1.00	1.00	1.00 28,254	
08005	MAINT. HORKER I	. 53 3,902	Z	.89 11,917	23,591	1.00	1.00 23,591	
08012	FACILITIES MAINT COORD	52,080	K) F	55,189 55,189	58,780	58,888	58,888	
08016	MAINTENANCE REPAIRER	70,715	57	57,032	76,747	76,798	76,798	
08020	MAINT REPAIRS LEADER	7,538	19.	6.05 19,916 88	31,151 31,151	31,151	3.20 31,151	
08021	MAINT REPAIRS SUPV	30,863	32	2,249	34,930	35,043	35,115 35,115	
08041	CARPENTER II	V QV C	24	.,973 24,973	25,952	25,955	1.00 25,955	•
08516	PHOTOGRAPHER	27,098	27	.7,716	29,149	29,187	1.00 29,187	
08518	GRAPHIC ARTIST	. 39 64,214	89	.99	72,851	73,358	1.00	
08980	GEOLOGY RESEARCH DRILLE	2.49 27,148	2 82	2.49 28,50 5	2.50 30,345	2.50	2.50	
09474	DIR DEPT OF NATURAL RES	62,099	29	.77 67,304	71,238	71,238	71,238	
14000	BOARD, COMM., COUNCIL M	14,978	41	14,841	28,000	28,000 3,95	28,000	
95002	SECRETARY 3		57	57,415	62,314	62,706	62,706	
99020	EXTRA HELP	1,260,592	O,	1.99 19,717	2.00 1,627,951	2.00 1,629,286	2.00 1,653,622	
99025	OVERTIME	117.89 35,157	138	.38.77 37,543	133.59	133.70 17,500	135.70 17,500	

SCHEDULE 7 BU/F RECAP (PERSONNEL SERVICES SUMMARY) DATE 08/24/89 TIME 23.20.40 PAGE 14				φ. I
SCHEDUL (PERSONNEL DATE 08/24/	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	\$ -404,904	-12.72	\$ 31,821,892
IUM 1ARY	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	\$ -404,904	-12.72	\$ 31,747,823
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM BU / FUND - PERSONNEL SERVICES SUMMARY	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	\$ -542,022	-18.02	\$ 30,330,773
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT IT WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 / FUND - PERSONNEL SERVICI	ACTUAL FY 1988-89		51,217	\$ 27,505,735
ಹ	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88		866	24,360,441 \$
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 90001542672	CLASS CLASS NAME	99090 VACANCY FACTOR	99095 BALANCING ADJUSTMENT	TOTAL SALARIES \$ TOTAL FTE POSITIONS

LARRY J. WILSON, DIRECTOR

112, 161, STATUTORY AUTHORITY – 84, 93, 106, 107, 108, 1084, 109, 1098, 110, 1104, 1108, 111, 111A, 111B, 111C, 111D, 308A, 321G, 455A, 455B, 455C, 455D, 469, and related sections. CODE 1987

DEPARTMENT'S MISSION STATEMENT:

resources so that the quality of life for Iowans is significantly natural protect, conserve, and develop lowa's nature the utilization and enjoyment of those resources. enhanced by

OPERATIONS ANALYSIS - NATURAL RESQURCES DEPARTHENT OPERATIONS

BUDGET UNIT'S STATED GOALS:

ţ all ביב mission Department will seek to balanca and concurrently implement all of the following components of its

the wise and beneficial utilization and development of Iowa's natural resources so that those resources are available for others, present and future, to utilize and enjoy. encourage

Support the development of rememble resources that minimize the need to consume non-renewable resources.

on long activities Support the development and implementation of practices that prevent or minimize the negative impact of human term natural resources management.

\$ resources natural Iowa's managing Develop, modify, implement, and manage programs that assign the cost of using and people and businesses that benefit from the use and management.

public natural resources for recreational enjoyment, 2 Iowans access programs and facilities that provide all education, aesthetic appeal, and quality of life. Develop

ů Č Inform and educate Iowans about Iowa's natural resources and about how they can help to manage, develop, protect, those resources. Inform and educate Iowans about how natural resource management and protection is fundamental to long-term sustainable economic vitality and quality of life.

Mork to instill a conservation ethic in all Iowans.

SUBUNIT DESCRIPTION:

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE:

The Office of the Director includes the Director, Deputy Director, and clerical support. The Director provides overall direction, sets operational policy, ensures that Departmental actions are in accord with the policy established by the General Assembly and the Commission, and coordinates programs within the Department. COORDINATION AND INFORMATION:

The Coordination & Information Division provides sound public policy in the area of natural resources and assists Governor, General Assembly, and the DNR in the implementation of that policy; to educate and assist the public on development, use, and management of the state's natural resources. The division provides legal services, information education, policy analysis and planning, and acts as a legislative liaison. ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIV.:

The Administrative Services Division provides fiscal, administrative, and technical support and services to the operating divisions and programs. The division coordinates these activities with the Office of Mgt., the Dept. of Personnel, the Dept. of General Services, the Dept. Revenue and Finance, and federal grandor agencies. The division is comprised of the following sections: Finance, Budget and Grants, Administrative Support, Data Processing, Licensing, Construction Services, and Land Acquisition and Management.

×

CONT'D

PARKS, PRES. & RECREATION DIV.:

state parks, recreation areas and access to lakes and streams. This responsibility is carried out for the purpose of providing quality outdoor recreation opportunities, in a pleasant outdoor environment at a reasonable cost to the public. The division is also responsible for the protection of unique natural areas and threatened and endagered species. and improvement of Iowa's operation The Division of Parks, Recreation and Preserves is responsible for the development,

The Forestry Division fosters environmental protection and strives to insure, for present and future generations, the greatest economic and social benafits from trees, forest land, and related resources. ENERGY & GEOLOGICAL RESOURCES:

THE ENERGY & GEOLOGICAL RESOURCES DIVISION ASSISTS TO MANAGE THE STATES ENERGY, GEOLOGICAL AND MATER RESOURCES BY:

Providing sound public energy policy; maintaining energy supply/demand/ price information; being prepared to respond to an energy emergency; developing & promoting renewable and native energy resources; educating and assisting the public to use energy conservation measures; improving public building energy mgmt.; and carrying out programs for licensing mineral extraction, ect.

Collecting, interpreting, and reporting information on the State's Geological resources; serving as a principal repository for all geologic and hydrologic data; administering Code Chapter 84.

The Environmental Protection Division seeks to conserve and enhance the air, water, and land resources of Iowa for the protection of public health, property, and the environment. The Division is comprised of the following bureaus: Air Quality Bureau, Field Evaluation & Emergency Response Bureau, and the Surface & Groundwater Protection & Solid Maste Protection

ISH AND WILDLIFE DIVISION:

fish IOMa's The Fish and Wildlife Division seeks to ensure the adequate protection, scientific management and wise use of and wildlife natural resources, while providing a wide range of outdoor recreational opportunities for Iowan's.

MASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY:

This division promotes the proper and safe management of solid, toxic, hazardous and low level wastes generated in Iowa through cooperation with other public and private agencies or operation of such state owned facilities as may be required. The division os totally funded from the recently created Groundwater Protection Fund.

ULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) 6/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGF 29		**************************************				1
SCHEDULE (BUD DATE 08/26/89	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	\$ 900 4,638 2,231 1,226 3,98 3,236	2,217 117,096 105,054 236,996	50,506	63,219 \$ 300,215 ====================================	\$ 224,895 40,000 1,200 1,200 120 14,000 6,000
5 5	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	\$ 900 4,633 2,227 1,225 398 3,230	2,215 117,062 104,856 236,746	50,453 6,054 6,645	63,152 4	\$ 224,578 40,000 1,200 1,200 120 14,000 6,000
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT HORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM SANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	\$ 821 4,220 2,042 1,102 359 1,598	2,050 105,057 121,624 	44,983 6,070 5,525	56,578 \$ 298,421 ====================================	\$ 223,101 40,000 1,200 1,200 120 14,000 6,000
STATE OF DEPARTMENT OF ET WORKSHEETS FOR ORGANIZATION - BL	•		1,303,008 1,443,408 2,746,416		2,746,416	•
ene	. 8	1,118 # 336 311 292 18 66 66 66 1,063	63,913 102,534 171,800	57,755 6,742 1,024	\$ 55.13 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	257 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTHENT OPERATIONS	90001542672 1000 DIRECTOR'S OFFICE	RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GMF-BIG SPRINGS MONITORING-D (GMF-GEN'L PROVISIONS-DNR GMF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN GMF-LANDFILL MONITORING-DNR GMF-HOUSEHOLD HAZ HASTE-DNR GMF-MELL TESTING ADMIN 2%-DN GMF-GMTR MONITORING-DNR GMF-MASTE MGMT AUTHDNR	GMF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN NATURAL RESOURCES OPERATIONS F&G-OPERATIONS-DNR	RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT INTRA STATE RECEIPTS REFUNDS & REIMBURSEMENTS	RECEIPIS SUBJOIAL TOTAL RESOURCES FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL OFFICE SUPPLIES EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLI OTHER SUPPLIES PRINTING & BINDING UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS COMMUNICATIONS RENTALS PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES OUTSIDE SERVICES ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY

•						
SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 30				1	1	
SCHEDULE (BU DATE 08/26/8	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	4,800	4,800	300,215	2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 4 4 4 5 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ 300,215
₩nI	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	4,800	4,800	299,898	\$ 4 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 9	299,898
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT JOGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	4,800	4,800	2		\$ 298,421
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENI ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	3,290	9,234	256,521	2,489,895	\$ 2,746,416
ಹ	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	3,830	1	237,321		\$ 237,321
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 90001542G72 1000	DIRECTOR'S OFFICE	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES DATA PROCESSING REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	EQUIPHENT	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	UNSPENT BALANCE	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES \$

X

JLE 6 ORGN RECAP BUDGET COMPARISON) 5/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 32							4 5
SCHEDULE (BUD)	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	\$ 2,958 162,259 7,332 4,028	1,309 10,633 7,284 894,247 510,541	332,360	402,087		\$ 1,499,777 45,800 10,931 17,700 24,000 12,000 28,700 28,700
₩ŋ	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	\$ 2,956 162,177 7,318 7,318 4,026	1,309 10,613 7,279 893,158 509,809	332,182 49,788 19,893	401,863	2,471,008	\$ 1,497,607 45,800 10,931 17,700 120,500 24,000 12,000 500 28,700
E OF IOMA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM - BUDGET COMPARISON	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	\$ 3,284 164,560 8,166 4,409	1,436 11,878 6,390 8,201 818,614 486,498	3,513,436 346,721 52,590 22,106	421,417	\$ 2,405,353 40,45	\$ 1,481,952 45,800 10,931 17,700 70,500 24,000 12,000 500 28,700
STATE OF DEPARTMENT OF N BUDGET MORKSHEETS FOR ORGANIZATION - BUG	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	161,525	621,042	1,187,168	O 1	1,197,962	\$ 1,446,931 33,463 7,538 12,315 74,169 11,773 10,075 659 37,109
	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	4,471 17,056 1,245 1,170 70 265	8,594 4,25 3 631,593 410,134	363,122 26,967 4,096		1,844,156	1,227,282 28,580 7,343 7,935 72,038 21,021 13,672 620 41,347
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	90001542G72 2000 COORDINATION AND INFORMATION	•	GWF-WELL TESTING ADMIN 2%-DN GWF-GWTR MONITORING-DNR GWF-WASTE MGMT AUTHDNR GWF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN NATURAL RESOURCES OPERATIONS F&G-OPERATIONS	APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT INTRA STATE RECEIPTS REFUNDS & REIMBURSEMENTS	RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL TRANSFERS CONS ADMIN - NATURAL RES.	FTE POSITIONS BISPOSITION OF RESOURCES FXE	PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL STATE VEHICLE OPERATION DEPRECIATION OFFICE SUPPLIES FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLI AG., CONSERVATION & HORT SUPP OTHER SUPPLIES

90001542G72 2000 COORDINATION AND INFORMATION ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1988-89 DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES PRINTING & BINDING UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS COMMUNICATIONS PROFITS COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATIONS REMAINS COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATIONS REMAINS COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATI	NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS		STATE OF IOHA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET HORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - RIDGET COMPADISON	F IOHA MANAGEMENT R 1990-1992 BIENN INCET COMPADISON	HUM	SCHEDULE 6 (BUDG DATE 08/26/89	SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02
289,769 2° 2,453 11,510 1,565 25,032 7,985 46,287 2,559 2,473 6,014 9,540 19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16	1542672 2000 JINATION AND INFORMATION	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	7867
289,769 2,453 11,510 1,565 25,082 46,287 2,559 2,473 6,014 9,540 19,026 19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16	SITION OF RESOURCES	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	#	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
2,453 11,510 1,565 25,082 7,985 46,287 2,473 6,014 9,540 19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16 -96	NTING & BINDING	289,769	298,975	377,950	377,950	377,950	
11,510 1,565 25,082 7,985 46,287 2,473 6,014 9,540 19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16	FORMS & RELATED ITEMS	2,453	4,019	2,850	2,850	2,850	
1,565 25,082 7,985 46,287 2,559 2,473 6,014 9,540 19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16 2,16 2,16 1,844,156	MUNICATIONS	11,510	11,514	9,200	9,200	9,200	
25,082 7,985 46,287 2,559 2,473 6,014 9,540 19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16 -96	TALS	1,565	1,199	850	850	850	
7,985 46,287 2,559 2,473 6,014 9,540 19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16 2,16	LITIES	25,082	28,061	26,750	26,750	26,750	
2,559 2,473 2,473 6,014 9,540 19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16 2,16	OF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES	7,985	66,392	130,420	130,420	130,420	
2,559 2,473 6,014 9,540 19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16 -96 -96	SIDE SERVICES	46,287	45,348	900,000	60,000	60,000	
2,473 6,014 9,540 19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16 -96 -96 -96	RA-STATE TRANSFERS	2,559			•		
9,540 19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16 -96 -96 1,844,156 \$ 1,19	PERTISING & PUBLICITY	2,473	5,749	12,500	12,500	12,500	
9,540 19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16 -96 -96	A PROCESSING	6,014	8,873	19,600	19,600	19,600	
19,026 55 1,844,156 2,16 -96 -96 1,1844,156 \$ 1,19	HBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	9,540	5,149	5,000	5,000	5,000	
1,844,156 2 1,844,156 \$ 1	IPMENT	19,026	57,325	68,150	68,150	68,150	
1,844,156	ENSES	55					
\$ 1,844,156 \$ 1	NDITURES SUBTOTAL	1,844,156	2,166,636	2,405,353	2,471,008	2,473,178	9
\$ 1,844,156 \$	ENT BALANCE		-968,674	9	0 0 0 1 0 2 1 1 2 1 3 3 4 5 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	7 1 2 1 2 2 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9 9 7 7 3 8 8 3 3 7 1 8 8 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
**************************************		1,844,156		\$ 2,405,353	\$ 2,471,008	\$ 2,473,178	

ULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) 6/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 59		44		8 3 6 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8					•
SCHEDULE (BUD) (BUD) DATE 08/26/89	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	\$ 9,003 46,379 22,315 12,258		4,378,498	540,443 400,542 60,616	1,001,601	1 20	1 11 1 11 1 12 1 11 1 11	3,945,839 71,900 67,000 84,000 357,800 15,700 67,500 12,500
₩n.	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	\$ 8,998 46,330 22,272 12,254	22,299 22,152 2,033,631 2,193,647	4,375,566	539,905 400,478 60,543	1,000,926	1 1	125.15	3,942,232 71,900 67,000 84,000 357,800 15,700 67,500 12,500
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COHPARISON	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	\$ 9,579 49,232 23,818 12,859	18,645 18,645 23,918 1,620,327 2,341,243	4,138,449	560,646 405,272 64,473	1,030,391	\$ 5,168,840	1 11 1 11 1 11 1 11	
STATE OF DEPARTMENT OF ET WORKSHEETS FOR ORGANIZATION - BU	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	·	1,318,852	3,190,695			3,190,695	[
	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	10,380 3,125 2,889 2,715 163		3,146,163	. ப்ப்ப்	1,008,620	\$ 4,154,783	114.	3,148, 466, 304, 304, 105,
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	90001542672 5000 ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIV.	RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GWF-BIG SPRINGS MONITORING-D 4 GWF-GEN'L PROVISIONS-DNR GWF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN GWF-LANDFILL MONITORING-DNR GWF-STORAGE TANKS STUDY-DNR GWF-HOUSEHOLD HAZ MASTE-DNR	GWF-GWTR MONITORING-DWR GWF-WASTE MGMT AUTH,-DWR GWF-LANDFILL ALTERNATIVES-DN NATURAL RESOURCES OPERATIONS F&G-OPERATIONS-DWR	APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT INTRA STATE RECEIPTS REFUNDS & REIMBURSEMENTS	RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL	O - NATURAL RES. URCES	FTE POSITIONS	EXPENDITURES EXPENDITURES PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL STATE VEHICLE OPERATION DEPRECIATION OFFICE SUPPLIES FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE OTHER SUPPLIES PRINTING & BINDING

green -

S ORGN REC SET COMPARISO TIME 00.04.	PAGE 60	X		000	90	000	000	50	ì	50	00	00	50	7.5	1	50	65		4 6 4 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6
SCHEDULE (BUD)		TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92		5.800	229,660	1,99	7.500	37,650		1,650	126,100	112,000	17,650	236,175		2,750	5,429,949	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5,429,949
5		TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	† 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5,800	229,660	1,900	7,500	37,650		1,650	126,100	112,000	17,650	236,175		2,750	5,426,342		\$ 5,426,342
IOWA MANAGEMENT 1990-1992 BIENNI	Deel contaktoon	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4,200	222,860	1,900	7,500	35,950		1,650	119,500	112,000	17,950	143,175		150	5,168,840		\$ 5,168,840
DEPARTMENT OF IGHA BUDGET HORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM		ACTUAL FY 1988-89		4,702	192,482	4,516		8,615		92	138,031	107,108	10,738	98,193		16	4,658,297	-1,467,602	\$ 3,190,695 (
		ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88		4,146	183,727	952		39,930	1,763	408	118,311	50,522	14,031	34,305	75	41	4,154,783		4,154,783 :
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTHENT OPERATIONS	90001542G72 3000 ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIV.	;	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS	COMMUNICATIONS	RENIALS	PROF & SCIENIIFIC SERVICES	OUISIDE SERVICES	INIRA-STATE TRANSFERS	ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY	DAIA PROCESSING	AUDITOR OF STATE REIMBURSEME	REINBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	FRUIT	OTHER EXPENSE & OBLIGATIONS	LICENSES	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	UNSPENT BALANCE	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES \$

ORGN RE ET COMPARIS TIME 00.04	PAGE 103			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	98 62 63 63 63 75 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18								•								
SCHEDULE 6 (BUDG) DATE 08/26/89	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	\$ 5,479,375 \$	5,879,375	44,384	320,200	1,558,374	7,757,949 \$		56 60 111 113 114 115 115 115 115 115 116 116 116	\$ 5,147,921 \$	180,000	287,694	692,268	294,000	1,000	19,500	103,039	50,000	66,695	24,640	293,276	60,645	165,332	4,080	8,000
- Fin	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	\$ 5,470,592 400,000	5,870,592	44,384	320,200	1,558,374		208.05		\$ 5,139,138 81,000	180,000	287,694	692,568	294,000	1,000	19,500	103,039	50,000	66,692	24,640	293,276	60,645	165,332	4,080	8,000
E OF IOWA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM	DEPT REV	\$ 5,403,733 400,000	5,803,733	44,199	320,091	1,558,374	\$ 7,682,198	207.05	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	\$ 5,073,170	180,000	287,694	692,568	294,000	1,000	17,500	103,039	50,000	66,692	24,640	293,276	60,645	165,332	4,080	8,000
STAT DEPARTMENT HORKSHEETS		\$ 4,471,753 397,179	4,868,932				4,868,932) 1 1 1 1		4,735,242	166,404	254,870	501,921	272,170	6	10,100	23,505	41,958	78,987	23,151	322,767	31,489	182,176	1,596	6,042
RATIONS BUDGET	S UMMAQ. ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	4,433,917	4,831,096	23,494	່ທີ	1,449,456	6,465,825	3 - 1		4, 289,954 74,038	150,400	251,320	439,742	281,370		21.821	46,166	46,965	68,498	23,781	314,023	58,995	8,850	4,380	_
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTHENT OPERATIONS	90001542672 4000 PARKS, PRES. & RECREATION DIV.	RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS NATURAL RESOURCES OPERATIONS HFT-OPERATIONS-	APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT INTRA STATE RECEIPTS	RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL	TRANSFERS CONS FND - NATURAL RES.	TOTAL RESOURCES	FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES	PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL	STATE VEHICLE OPERATION	DEPRECIATION	FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLI	PROF. & SCIENTIFIC SUPPLIES	DIRED GIDDLIES	PRINTING & BINDING	UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS	COMMUNICATIONS	RENTALS		PROF & SCIENITIC SERVICES	UDISIDE SERVICES INTRA-STATE TRANSFERS	ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY	DATA PROCESSING

SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 104	Г КЕQR 31-92	2. c	197,721	2,700	2,697	7,757,949		7,757,949 \$
DATE	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92					7,5		\$ 7,5
ТÜМ	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	6	197,721	2,700	2,697	7,749,166		\$ 7,749,166
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET HORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	6	197,721	2,700	2,697	7,682,198		\$ 7,682,198
STATE OF IOMA THENT OF MANAGE REETS FOR 1990 FION - BUDGET	JAL 38-89	11.208	161,885	2,826	463	7,044,351	-2,175,419	4,868,932
DEPARI GET HORKSH ORGANIZAI	ACTUAL FY 1988-89		-			7,6	-2,1	4,868,932
	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	5,341	106,636	2,656	1,265			6,465,825
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	PARKS, PRES. & RECREATION DIV.	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	EQUIPMENT	OTHER EXPENSE & OBLIGATIONS	LICENSES	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	UNSPENT BALANCE	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES \$

### DEPARTENT OF HANGERENT PENALCHENT PERALCHENT PERALCHENT OF HANGERENT PENALCHENT OF HANGERENT POR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM DATE TO TOPP TREE TY 1997-90 TY 1997-90 TY 1997-91 TY 1997-99 TY 1997-99 TY 1997-99 TY 1997-99 TY 1997-91 TY 1997-99 TY 1997-99 TY 1997-99 TY 1997-91 TY 1997-99 TY 19							
# 1,227,925 # 1,242,881 # 1,509,273 # 1,784,039 # 1,784,558 # 1,04,520 114,650 114	RESOURCES, RESOURCES RESOURCES		STATE DEPARTMENT MORKSHEETS GANIZATION -	OF IOMA OF MANAGEMENT OR 1990-1992 BIEN BUDGET COMPARISON	NIU M	3CHED 08/2	6 ORGN REC DGET COMPARISO 9 TIME 00.04.
* 1,257,925 * 1,242,881 * 1,569,273 * 1,734,039 * 1,736,558 * 500,000 715,0	01542G72 5000 ESTRY DIVISION	ACTUAL FY FY 1987-88	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	DPT 1990		ı
SUPPORT SUP	RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS APPROPRIATIONS POTETTE	1,257,9	1,242,881	1	1,784,03	4	! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
\$ 1,682,218 \$ 1,242,81 \$ 2,377,923 \$ 2,113,699 \$ 2,615,208 \$	EDERAL SUPPORT	104,293		114,650			
\$ 11,662,218 \$ 1,242,881 \$ 2,537,923 \$ 2,615,689 \$ 2,615,689 \$ 46,46 \$ 1,66,872 \$ 2,613,689 \$ 2,615,689 \$ 2,615,689 \$ 1,297,424 \$ 1,66,872 \$ 1,699,017 \$ 1,781,683 \$ 1,784,202 \$ 2,771 \$ 1,297,424 \$ 1,466,875 \$ 1,699,017 \$ 1,71,600 17,700 17,700 \$ 1,632 \$ 1,639,017 \$ 1,700 \$ 12,900 \$ 12,900 \$ 12,900 \$ 1,883 \$ 1,632 \$ 1,590 \$ 12,900 \$ 12,900 \$ 12,900 \$ 1,632 \$ 1,630 \$ 12,900 \$ 12,900 \$ 12,900 \$ 12,900 \$ 2,613 \$ 1,632 \$ 1,690 \$ 12,900 \$ 12,900 \$ 12,900 \$ 2,502 \$ 2,600 \$ 2,000 \$ 2,000 \$ 2,000 \$ 2,000 \$ 6,53 \$ 6,494 \$ 5,000 \$ 15,000 \$ 15,000 \$ 15,000 \$ 6,53 \$ 6,400 \$ 1,000 \$ 15,000 \$ 2,000 \$ 2,000 \$ 2,000 \$ 2,000 \$ 2,012 \$ 2,000 \$ 2,000 \$	- NATURAL - NATURAL	500,000		715,000			
\$ 11,297,424 \$ 15,66,875 \$ 1,659,017 \$ 1,781,683 \$ 1,784,202 \$ \$ 13,585 32,193 72,000 17,000 17,000 77,000 77,000 77,000 77,000 77,000 77,000 77,000 77,000 77,000 77,000 121,900	AL RESOURCES	1,862,2	7	Z 44	44	4	
* 1,297,424 * 1,666,675 * 1,659,017 * 1,781,683 * 1,734,202 * 32,585 35,135 39,535 42,735 42,735 42,735 42,735 42,735 42,735 42,735 57,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,000 177,70 18,920 18,920 18,920 18,920 18,900	: POSITIONS	46.4)) } } } { 1	11 10 11 11 11 13		12 12 12 13 15 15 16	11 16 12 13 13 14 15 15 15 15
\$ 1,297,424 \$ 1,466,875 \$ 1,659,017 \$ 1,781,683 \$ 1,784,202 \$ 22,585 \$ 39,535 \$ 77,000 \$ 77,0)	16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	11 13 11 12 14 14 14 14	## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	62 60 62 62 63 64 64 65 65 65 65 65
32,585 32,193 39,535 42,735 42,735 42,735 42,735 42,735 42,735 77,000 77,000 17,000 17,000 17,000 17,000 17,000 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 12,900<	RSONAL SERVICES	1,297,4		\$ 1,65	\$ 1,7	\$ 1,7	45
106,275	ERSONAL TRAVEL	32,585	32,193	MI F			
25,502 14,637 16,920 18,920 18,920 24,231 30,830 30,000 53,000 53,000 49,217 54,984 55,000 53,000 53,000 80,459 63,958 108,278 127,678 127,678 8,659 36,940 15,900 15,900 15,900 12,530 12,158 13,625 15,225 15,225 12,530 12,158 13,625 15,225 15,225 12,530 13,544 23,995 15,225 15,900 15,709 10,470 10,577 10,574 17,700 17,700 17,700 17,700 4,683 1,500 42,800 42,800 42,800 42,800 17,700 17,700 1,465 1,600 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 4,15 2,830 1,100 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000 4,15 2,830 2,337,923 2,613,689 2,616,208 2,616,208 2,616,208 4,1,862,218 4,1,862,218 4,1,862,218	PRECIATION	106,275		-	•		
24,231 30,830 30,000 53,000 53,000 53,000 53,000 53,000 53,000 53,000 59,000 59,000 59,000 59,000 59,000 59,000 59,000 59,000 59,000 127,678 127,678 127,678 127,678 127,678 157,900 157,900 157,900 157,000 157,000 157,000 157,000 157,000 157,000 157,000 157,000 157,000 177,000 <td>FICE SUPPLIES</td> <td>25,502</td> <td></td> <td>ı</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	FICE SUPPLIES	25,502		ı			
\$7,217 53,958 108,278 127,678 127,678 8,659 36,946 15,900 15,900 15,900 12,520 12,158 13,625 15,225 15,200 12,530 12,158 13,625 26,995 26,995 12,530 19,574 17,200 17,700 15,225 12,530 19,574 17,200 17,700 17,700 30,476 28,805 27,000 27,000 27,000 4,683 1,800 42,800 42,800 42,800 1,465 646 1,000 11,000 11,000 10,91 16,602 11,900 11,900 11,000 415 2,830 11,900 11,900 11,000 415 2,944 340 340 1,862,218 2,049,863 2,537,923 2,613,689 2,816,208 2,615,208 4 1,862,218 2,537,923 2,613,689 4 1,862,218 2,515,205 5 1,862,218 2,515,205 2,615,208	CILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE	24,231					
8,659 36,940 15,900 15,900 15,900 15,900 15,900 5,012 8,471 19,009 19,709 19,709 19,709 19,709 19,709 19,709 19,709 19,709 19,709 19,709 19,709 19,709 19,709 19,709 15,525 25,944 23,995 26,99	CONSERVATION & HORT SUPP	80,459					
5,012 8,471 19,009 19,709 19,709 12,530 12,158 13,625 15,225 15,225 22,168 25,944 23,995 26,995 26,995 17,772 19,574 17,200 17,700 17,700 30,476 28,805 27,000 27,000 27,000 4,683 1,500 42,800 42,800 42,800 1,465 16,602 11,900 11,900 10,991 16,602 11,900 11,900 415 2,830 1,100 1,100 7,675 19,294 70,404 152,104 346 1,204 152,104 152,104 346 2,615,689 2,615,689 2,616,208 4 15 2,049,863 2,337,923 2,613,689 2,616,208 5 1,862,218 1,242,881 2,337,923 2,613,689 2,616,208	HER SUPPLIES	8,659					
12,550 12,158 13,625 15,225 12,550 25,944 23,995 26,995 26,995 17,772 19,574 17,200 17,700 17,700 30,476 28,805 27,000 27,000 27,000 4,683 1,500 42,800 42,800 42,800 1,465 646 1,000 1,000 1,000 10,991 16,602 11,900 11,900 11,900 415 2,830 1,100 1,100 1,100 7,675 19,294 70,404 152,104 152,104 346 70 340 2,615,208 1,862,218 2,049,863 2,337,923 2,613,689 2,616,208 4 1,862,218 1,242,881 2,337,923 2,613,689 2,616,208	A BINDING	5,012					
17,772 19,574 17,200 17,700 17,700 20,476 28,805 27,000 27,000 27,000 4,683 1,500 42,800 42,800 42,800 72,050 39,034 42,800 42,800 42,800 1,465 646 1,000 1,000 1,000 10,991 16,602 11,900 11,900 11,900 415 2,830 70 340 152,104 346 70 340 152,104 340 346 2,049,863 2,337,923 2,613,689 2,616,208 4 1,862,218 1,242,881 2,337,923 2,613,689 2,616,208	& RELAIED	12,550					
30,476 28,805 27,000 27,000 27,000 4,683 1,500 42,800 42,800 42,800 72,050 39,034 42,800 42,800 42,800 10,901 10,000 11,000 11,000 10,991 16,602 11,900 11,900 11,900 415 19,294 70,404 152,104 152,104 346 70 340 340 340 346 2,049,863 2,337,923 2,613,689 2,616,208 4 1,862,218 1,242,881 2,337,923 2,613,689 2,616,208	NTALS	17,772					
4,683 1,500 42,800 42,800 42,800 72,050 39,034 42,800 42,800 42,800 10,465 646 1,000 1,000 1,000 10,991 16,602 11,900 11,900 11,900 415 2,830 70,404 152,104 152,104 346 70 340 340 340 1,862,218 2,049,863 2,537,923 2,613,689 2,616,208 * 1,862,218 1,242,881 \$ 2,337,923 \$ 2,613,689 \$ 2,616,208	ILITIES	30,476	28,805				
72,050 1,465 400 646 10,000 10,901 16,602 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,10	u	4,683	1,500				
1,000 10,991 16,602 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,900 11,100 1,10	TSIDE SERVICES	72,050	39,034				
10,991 16,602 11,900 11	TRA-SIAIE TRANSFERS	465	464				•
415 2,830 1,100 1,100 1,100 7,675 19,294 70,404 152,104 152,104 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340	TA PROCESSING	10,991	16,602				
7,675 19,294 70,404 152,104 152,104 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 3	IMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	415	2,830				
1,862,218 2,049,863 2,337,923 2,613,689 2,616,208 -806,982 \$ 1,862,218 \$ 1,242,881 \$ 2,337,923 \$ 2,613,689 \$ 2,616,208	UI PHENT CENSES		19,294 70	70,	r		
-806,982 \$ 1,862,218 \$ 1,242,881 \$ 2,337,923 \$ 2,613,689 \$ 2,616,208	ENDITURES SUBTOTAL	1,862,218	2,049,863	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	!	2,616	
\$ 1,862,218 \$ 1,242,881 \$ 2,337,923 \$ 2,613,689 \$ 2,616,208	PENT BALANCE		-806,982	8 2 9 9 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1	\$ 9 1 1 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	L DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES	1,862,2	[\$ 2,337			

STATE OF IOHA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT ET HORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02	DEPT REV TOT DPT REQ TOT DPT REQR 9 FY 1989-90 FY 1990-91 FY 1991-92	1,263,895 \$ 640,013 \$ 641,221 \$ 641,259 \$ 724,033	1	815,767 2,600,014 2,614,649 2,615,692 4,230,600 \$ 4,784,333 \$ 5,029,011 \$ 5,032,723 \$	\$ 2,161,890 \$ 2,253,218 \$ 2,25,254,000 \$ 2,540
BUDGET	8 J	463,553 \$ 60,523 281,908 968,864 1,774,848		1,008,325	1,572,098
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTHENT OPERATIONS	90001542672 6000 ENERGY & GEOLOGICAL RESOURCES	RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS GWF-BIG SPRINGS MONITORING-D \$ GWF-GEN'L PROVISIONS-DNR GWF-RURAL WELLS ASSESSMENT-D NATURAL RESOURCES OPERATIONS APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	RECEIPTS FEDERAL SUPPORT LOCAL GOVERNMENTS INTRA STATE RECEIPTS REIMB, FROM OTHER AGENCIES FEES, LICENSES & PERMITS REFUNDS & REIMBURSEMENTS OTHER SALES & SERVICES	RECEIPTS SUBTOTAL TOTAL RESOURCES FTE POSITIONS	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES PERSONAL SERVICES PERSONAL TRAVEL STATE VEHICLE OPERATION DEPRECIATION OFFICE SUPPLIES FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE PROF. & SCIENTIFIC SUPPLIES OTHER SUPPLIES PRINTING & BINDING UNIFORMS & RELATED ITEMS COMMUNICATIONS RENTALS

SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 157						7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	# P9 # 10 # 10 # 10 # 10 # 10 # 10 # 10 # 10
SCHEDULE (BU DATE 08/26/8	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92	10,996	14,168	141,551	5,032,723	f t 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$ 5,032,723
₩Ŋ	TOT DPT REQ FY 1990-91	10,996	14,168	141,551	5,029,011	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	\$ 5,029,011
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTHENT OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET WORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	10,996	14,168	150,75	4,784,333		\$ 4,784,333
STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SET MORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 BIEN ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON	ACTUAL FY 1988-89	10,345	9,800	81,723	3,391,244	839,356	\$ 4,230,600 жишишшшшшшшш
	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	12,105	22,614	40,970	2,783,173		\$ 2,783,173 ************************************
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS	90001542672 6000 Energy & Geological Resources	DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES EXPENDITURES OUTSIDE SERVICES ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY	DATA PROCESSING REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	EQUIPMENT LICENSES	EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	UNSPENT BALANCE	TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES \$

Spire					,. .					t t	
NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTHENT OPERATIONS		BUDGET		H C W 1	OF IOMA OF MANAGEMENT FOR 1990-1992 - BIDGET COMPA	OF IOWA IF MANAGEMENT OR 1990-1992 BIENNIUM BIDGET COMPARTSON	5		SCHEDULE (BUD) DATE 08/26/89	ULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) 6/89 TIME 00.04.02	
90001542672 8000 FISH AND HILDLIFE DIVISION	ACTUAL FY 1 FY 1987-88	,	ACT FY 19		₽.	DEPT REV FY 1989-90	TOT D	DPT REQ 1990-91	TOT DPT REGR FY 1991-92		
RESOURCES APPROPRIATIONS NATURAL RESOURCES OPERATIONS F&G-OPERATIONS-DNR	12,471,627		12,	12,291,191	49-	14,230,050	* 14	200,	\$ 200,000 14,625,054	 	
APPROPRIATIONS SUBTOTAL	12,471,627		12,	,291,191		14,230,050	14	,782,945	14,825,054	4	
RECEIPTS INTRA STÂTE RECEIPTS	236,773	i W				390,921		391,601	391,601	1	
TOTAL RESOURCES	12,708,400	45 11	12,	12,291,191	₩	14,620,971	\$ 15	15,174,546	\$ 15,216,655	49	
FTE POSITIONS	313.23	1		321.02		324.24		332.24			
URCES		ı				ı					
PERSONAL SERVICES	8,499,582	*	e,	9,207,632	44	9,981,126	9	10,309,201	\$ 10,351,310	4 >	
PERSONAL INAVEL STATE VEHICLE OPERATION	413,200	9 9		460,808		503,455		513,955	513,955	ດເດ	
DEPRECIATION	441,415	πi		545,350		578,186		586,686	586,686	9	
OFFICE SUPPLIES	208,095	ហ្គ		225,792		178,090		178,090	178,090	0	
FACILITY MAINTENANCE SUPPLIE FOLIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPLI	369,088	0 00		383,826		512,491		522,491	522,491 413,299	-1 6	
AG., CONSERVATION & HORT SUPP	327,885	ហ៊		334,493		372,812		382,812	382,812	. 2	
OTHER SUPPLIES	94,986	9 !		107,701		106,638		125,638	125,638	€0 -	
PRINTING & BINDING	135,633	M -		121,050		128,226		138,226	158,226	90 (
COMMUNICATIONS	150,371			158,439		161,317		162,817	162,817	•	
RENTALS	31,775	ហ		38,278		47,785		47,785	47,785	· LO	
UTILITIES	167,200	0		200,758		219,306		219,306	219,306	9	
PROF & SCIENTIFIC SERVICES	197,933	M !		254,358		m		96	273,968	æ ·	
OUTSIDE SERVICES INTRA-STATE TRANSFERS	114,473	n g		129,621		142,916		142,916	142,916	9	
ADVERTISING & PUBLICITY	16,987	2.5		14,836		26,420		36,420	36,420	0	
DATA PROCESSING	24,565	rŨ		31,309		41,200		41,200	41,200	0	
REIMBURSEMENTS TO OTHER AGEN	100,342	Ņ.		80,258		105,050		105,050	105,050	0	
EQUIPMENT	0/8,604	0/8		587,579		429,011 9.400		4/5,411	114.5/4 0.600	c	
LICENSES	1,087	·		732	1	190		190	061		
EXPENDITURES SUBTOTAL	12,708,400	! <u>.</u>	13,	I QO		. 6	15	74,54	15,216,655	11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.	
•		!	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	1 1 1 1 1	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1		*******	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	

NATURAL RESOURCES, DEPARTHENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS 90001542672 8000 FISH AND HILDLIFE DIVISION	RATIC	<u> </u>	STATE OF IOHA DEPARTHENT OF HANAGEHENT GET HORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1992 ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPA	STATE OF IOHA DEPARTHENT OF HANAGEHENT BUDGET HORKSHEETS FOR 1990-1952 BIENNIUM ORGANIZATION - BUDGET COMPARISON THE PROPERSON	MUI And Tot	SCHEDULE (BUG DATE 08/26/85	SCHEDULE 6 ORGN RECAP (BUDGET COMPARISON) DATE 08/26/89 TIME 00.04.02 PAGE 210
	(=	N 1	FY 1988-89	FY 1989-90	FY 1990-91	FY 1991-92	8 8 9 1 2 9 1 1 1 1 4
DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES UNSPENT BALANCE			-1,137,029				
TOTAL DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES \$ 12,708,400	• • ·	12,708,400	\$ 12,291,191 =================================	\$ 12,291,191 \$ 14,620,971 \$ 15,174,546 \$ 15,216,655	\$ 15,174,546	\$ 15,216,655	# 18 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11

Mr. Kuhn explained the budget package in detail.

lengthy discussion followed regarding prioritization of projects and what the chances were of certain projects being funded.

Margaret Prahl asked when the Commission would have to put a budget priority discussion item on the Commission agenda so that it can have some legitimate impact on what the staff does, without making it a second guess process.

Mr. Kuhn responded that they would have to make their priorities known to staff by April or May. He added that staff would be able to provide only general outline type figures at that point, but the main thing is making known what the Commission wants to do.

Mike Earley asked if the Commission could be provided a quarterly comparison of budget with actual in reference to pages 33 and 34.

Mr. Kuhn replied that a system program was recently developed which will allow staff to compare a year-to-date plan with year-to-date actual and it could certainly be provided if the Commission desires.

Motion was made by Clark Yeager to approve the FY 91 and FY 92 Budget Request Preliminary Approval as presented. Seconded by Margaret Prahl. Motion carried unanimously.

GROUNDWATER CLEANUP GUIDELINES WORKPLAN

James Combs, Division Administrator, Coordination and Information Division, presented the following item.

The Commission requested a schedule and proposed procedures for further investigation of issues pertaining to recent adoption of The issues the Commission wishes chapter 133. investigate further relate to the definitions of "action level" and "negligible risk level", which in turn determine when action must be taken to clean up groundwater and the presumptive levels to which it must be cleaned. Previous discussions of these issues have raised the following questions, more detailed investigation and discussion of which may aid the Commission in further deliberations:

- What is risk and how is it assessed?
- 2. What are the economic costs of remediation for different
- What are the health impacts/costs for different levels?
- What are the environmental impacts/costs for different levels?

5. What is an appropriate risk level?

We propose to hold 2-4 hour discussions on each of these topics, at the Commission's regularly scheduled meetings, beginning in November. A panel of experts will be established for each session and they will be required to submit their testimony in writing at least 30 days prior to the meeting. The panels will be determined by staff; input from the Commission or interested persons on the makeup of the panels will be welcomed. Public notice of the discussions will be made and written comments from interested persons will be accepted. The goal will be to get a relatively concise, written record before the Commission in advance of each discussion, and a complete written record will be available without the necessity of a verbatim transcript being made. The discussion itself will be structured so that the Commission or staff, or other panel members, ask the panel pertinent questions regarding the written testimony.

We will provide a proposed public notice regarding the first meeting, and discuss the makeup of the first panel. The Commission may want to establish more detailed groundrules for the conduct of these proceedings. Authority for such proceedings is provided in Iowa Code section 455B.105(9). This will be the first time the Commission will have utilized such procedures, and our experience in this endeavor may result in adoption of rules for conducting investigatory proceedings on significant issues in the future, as well as resolve pending issues in this matter.

Mike Earley stated that he is interested not only in costs to implement cleanup guidelines, but also what the costs are if the Commission does not do it, and he would like to have this covered in the presentations.

Chairperson Mohr indicated that the Commission would like to have one topic covered each month.

Mike Earley suggested that various legislators be invited to participate in the panel discussions.

Margaret Prahl suggested that, in regards to question number five, some representatives of government entities such as county boards of supervisors or municipalities also be invited to participate.

Mr. Combs distributed a copy of an article dealing with risk assessment and commented that it was taken from the Wisconsin Natural Resources magazine.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl to approve the Groundwater Cleanup Guidelines Work Plan. Seconded by Gary Priebe. Motion carried unanimously.

APPOINTMENT - GWEN WESLEY (Monsanto)

Gwen Wesley, Manager of Government Regulations at Monsanto, applauded the Commission's effort to try to understand the issue of risk assessment and associated acronyms. She stated that she would hope that after the Commission has held the education sessions, that they would consider going back and taking a look at the groundwater standards that have already been adopted. Also, that the Commission look at the impact on somebody's ability to do business in the state. Ms. Wesley noted that Dr. Marty Strauss will present the cost information for cleanup levels which the Commisssion requested at their August meeting.

APPOINTMENT - DR. WARREN (MARTY) STRAUSS (Monsanto)

Dr. Warren Strauss, Monsanto, distributed a paper entitled "Impact of Clean Up Standards on Cost." He stated that the data Monsanto chose to work with is the 0.5 MGD (million/gallons/day). He explained the differences in data presented by the department last month and noted that Monsanto has given a conservative estimate. He noted that the data itself is irrelevant, but what is important to look at is the relative cost differences as one moves from different levels. He explained details of cleanup costs and pointed out that the costs go up as the cleanup levels are decreased.

Mike Earley asked if Dr. Strauss has any idea as to what it would cost if the Commission does nothing.

Dr. Strauss responded that he is not qualified to answer that, but he would reiterate that EPA and the federal government have concluded that MCL's are safe for drinking water.

(Due to an emergency, Commissioner Yeager left the meeting at 3:15 p.m.)

Chairperson Mohr announced Public Participation at 3:30 p.m.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Don Balvanz

Don Balvanz, Hardin County Supervisor, addressed the Commission regarding his concerns with proposed rules for exemptions of waste disposal on farmland. He commented that he was unable to get a copy of the proposed rules from department staff.

Chairperson Mohr stated that the Commission has not yet received a copy of the proposed rules, and that Mr. Balvanz will receive a copy when the Commission receive theirs, at the time Item #13 is handled.

GENE PARKIN - CHEEC BUDGET DISCUSSION

Gene Parkin, Center for Health Effects of Environmental Contamination (CHEEC), stated that he appreciates the opportunity to speak to the Commission about budget concerns for CHEEC. He emphasized that he wanted to make it clear that it is his feeling that funds for CHEEC should not come at the expense of the Leopold Center.

Mr. Parkin distributed brochures which outline the legislative requirements for CHEEC. He also distributed copies of the Center's budget projections showing what it would cost to accomplish all of the required tasks, and he explained details of each category. A list was provided showing seed grants awarded by CHEEC during FY 89. Mr. Parkin stated that the Center is working on a report to show CHEEC's activities and accomplishments during its first two years of operation.

(Budget projections shown on following 2 pages)

Center for Health Effects of Environmental Contamination The University of Iowa

BUDGET PROJECTIONS

	<u>FY 90</u> (current)	<u>FY 91</u>	<u>FY 92</u>
Core CHEEC support data management personnel general expense total	106,544 53,209 17,500 177,253	150,000 175,000 <u>50,000</u> 375,000	200,000 200,000 <u>50,000</u> 450,000
Epidemiology	-	165,000	175,000
Registry birth defects exposure total	 	70,000 <u>50,000</u> 120,000	250,000 <u>150,000</u> 400,000
Seed grants	12,747 *	300,000	300,000
Analytical capabilities UHL, ERF, ATL		275,000	350,000
Methods & Tech. dev. Biotech and innovative tech.	<u></u>	200,000	275,000
Risk assessment	-	100,000	100,000
TOTAL	190,000	1,535,000	2,050,000

^{*} will be supplemented by carryover from FY 89

Center for Health Effects of Environmental Contamination

Seed grants awarded during Fiscal Year 1989 *

* Project title: Development of Methods for Epidemiologic Studies of Birth Defects and Environmental Exposures in Iowa Principal Investigator: R. Munger, Dept. of Prev. Med.

Project Title: A Case-Control Study of Facial Cleft Defects:

Center for Craniofacial Anomalies Research

Principal Investigator: J. Hanson, Dept. of Pediatrics

Funding Agency: NIH (NIDR)

Award: \$470,000 annually for Center (5 year project) \$250,000 for case-control study

* Project title: Modeling Dissolved Oxygen, Nitrate, and Pesticide in the Subsurface Environment Principal Investigators: J. Schnoor, G. Parkin, Dept. of Civil & Environmental Engineering

Project title: Modeling Dissolved Oxygen, Nitrate, and Pesticide in the Subsurface Environment

Principal Investigators: J. Schnoor, G. Parkin Funding Agency: Hazardous Substance Research Center (EPA Regions VII and VIII)

Award: \$86,000 annually

* Project title: Identification and Toxicity of Decomposition Products of Nitrogenous Pesticides following Ozonation Principal Investigator: B. Kross, Dept. of Prev. Med.

Project title: Destruction of Nitrogenous Pesticides by Combined Ozone/H202 and Enzymatic Polymerization Process

Principal Investigator: B. Kross

Funding Agency: Hazardous Substance Research Center

(EPA Regions VII and VIII)

Award: \$93,000 annually

- * Project title: Development of a Model Surveillance Program for Agricultural Health and Safety Prin. Investigators: J. Merchant, K. Donham, Dept. of Prev. Med
- * Project title: Feasibility Study of DNA Flow Cytometry in Renal Cell and Colorectal Carcinoma among Iowa Residents Principal Investigators: C. Lynch, Dept. of Prev. Med. R. Robinson, Dept. of Pathology
- * Project title: Collection of Historical Municipal Drinking Water Data for Iowa Municipalities with a 1980 Population < 1,000 Principal Investigator: C. Lynch, Dept. of Prev. Med.

DENNIS KEENEY - LEOPOLD CENTER BUDGET DISCUSSION

Dennis Keeney, Director of the Leopold Center, explained that the Center was started with \$800,000 of Oil Overcharge Funds in 1988 which was spent on competetive grants during the first year. Mr. Keeney distributed copies of the Center's first newsletter and he related that the competetive grants are listed in it. He stated that they will add several personnel to their programs and staff, and one of his goals is to get more research funds into other universities in Iowa. He noted that the Center has a lot more obligations than just to fund research grants.

Mr. Keeney stated that the Center is trying to be an instrument of change, an instrument of evolution in agriculture as he sees He circulated a book titled "Alternative Agriculture" and noted that the evolution concept was given a big step forward in The concept is to go beyond small research projects into systems type of thinking and systems type of research, and also transferring the results and getting meaningful input into systems research.

Mr. Keeney distributed a brochure for a workshop to be sponsored by the Leopold Center at ISU on February 6-7, 1990. He added that the Center's opening will be officially done at the time of this conference.

In conclusion, Mr. Keeney stated that funds for the Center are adequate but they need time and talent to spend the Center's money wisely.

LEGISLATION PACKAGE - 1990

James Combs, Division Administrator, Coordination and Information Division, presented the following item.

Attached are draft legislative proposals on the following subjects, for your discussion:

- Environmental Assistance Program
- 2. General Permits
- 3. Household Hazardous Materials Collection System
- 4. Air Quality Operation Permits
- 5. Tonnage Fee Late Penalty
- 6. Big Spring Demonstration Project
- 7. Inert Ingredients Disclosure
- 8. Open Dumping Enforcement
- 9. Abandoned Well Plugging Funds

(Legislation proposals shown on the following 21 pages)

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

- 2 1. Chapter 455B of the Code is amended by adding the
- 3 following new division.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 Division VI

5 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

There is established within the department an environmental 6 assistance program. Under this program, the department 7 shall at the request of the owner or operator provide any 8 facility operating in Iowa with an advisory environmental 9 The advisory audit, which may include an on-site 10 evaluation, will detail to the owner or operator the current 11 12 state and federal regulations applicable to the facility and 13 will attempt to identify existing and potential problems or violations at the facility. 14

The staff conducting the audits may also provide other limited assistance consistent with the role of helping facilities to identify and solve compliance problems under this program as may be defined in rule, but such assistance may not include the planning and design of equipment or processes to improve pollution control. The staff will act in an advisory capacity only and will have no mandate or authority to enforce compliance or to refer for enforcement any case where a deficiency or violation is identified during an advisory audit except in those cases where the violations are of a nature that they pose an immediate

- threat to the public health and safety or constitute
- 2 criminal violations of the law.
- 3 Environmental advisory audits shall be provided on request
- 4 to owners and operators only. With the limited exceptions
- 5 noted above, the contents of the advisory audit shall remain
- 6 confidential between the audit staff and the client facility
- and are not subject to disclosure under the open records
- 8 law.
- 9 The identification of a violation or other problem at a
- facility may not be used as the basis for a claim against
- the state or as a defense to any subsequent enforcement
- action taken by the department based upon other information
- such as a routine inspection, complaints or other
- surviellance and compliance activities. The failure of an
- audit to identify a problem or violation of any rule or the
- 16 condition of any permit shall also not constitute a defense
- against any independent enforcement action nor give rise to
- 18 a claim against the state.

19 EXPLANATION

- 20 Even though many permittees have no difficulty in complying
- with environmental requirements, there are others that do.
- 22 Most of these are smaller facilities which have no resident
- 23 expertise to deal with the technical elements of pollution
- 24 control. They will often find their attention diverted to
- 25 basic management concerns and be unable to sort through the

- details of regulations which impact their business. At the
- same time, they may be motivated to comply with some
- 3 assistance to tell them what they must do. the
- 4 Enivronmental Assistance Program could be one way to provide
- 5 the needed assistance. Dealing with a broader scope than
- 6 the hazardous waste assistance currently offered by UNI,
- 7 this effort would deal with the full range of environmental
- 8 program requirements.

GENERAL PERMITS

- 2 1. Chapter 455B.174 is amended by adding the following new
- 3 paragraph.

1

- 4 In those cases where a class of minor activities can
- adequately be described and conditioned by a single permit,
- 6 the Director may issue a general permit for the activity
- y subject to rules adopted by the Commission. A general
- 8 permit and the conditions of the permit will be considered
- 9 to be applicable to all like activities covered by the
- permit. Any person applying for a permit to conduct an
- activity covered by a general permit will be provided with a
- copy of the permit and be subject to all of the terms and
- conditions contained in the permit as if issued to the
- 14 permittee individually.

15 EXPLANATION

- There are many simple projects which the department is asked
- to permit that all fall within a single class and would
- 18 receive identical permits. It is wasteful for the
- department to review and issue custom permits for each such
- 20 facility, and there is no added benefit to the environment
- in doing so. The major impact is in processing and the
- delays caused by public notice requirements. Less time on
- such permits allows more attention to be paid to larger,
- 24 more complex projects.

HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS MATERIAL COLLECTION SYSTEM

- 2 For the past several years, the Department has been
- 3 conducting toxic cleanup days as a means to provide the
- 4 public with an opportunity to turn in leftover portions of
- 5 household hazardous materials for which they no longer have
- any use. These materials are identified and classified by
- 7 the Department and prepared for recycling or disposal as
- 8 appropriate.

1

- 9 While these days provide for disposal of some materials and
- are a good educational tool, more such materials could be
- gathered all during the year if there were some sites where
- the materials could be taken once collected. These sites
- would allow the aggregation of materials and provide for a
- 14 few defined locations for hazardous waste management firms
- to pick up the material for disposal. These sites would
- 16 recieve only household hazardous materials and would only
- 17 accept materials which had been collected and processed by
- local governmental entities or which were received directly
- 19 from individuals during specified collection days.
- 20 Local government, with a known site to take the materials
- 21 could more readily institute collections on a regular basis
- 22 at designated sites or other means.
- 23 Besides the sites, the Department would need to establish
- the protocols and rules which would regulate the manner in
- which the collection sites would operate and the conditions

- that local governments would need to meet in order for
- 2 materials which they collect to be accepted.
- 3 The Iowa Environmental Protection Commission believes that
- 4 the establishment of collection sites for household
- 5 hazardous materials would be of great service to local
- 6 governments, provide a more cost effective means to collect
- 7 them, and reduce the amount of such waste that is taken to
- 8 the landfills.

AIR QUALITY OPERATION PERMITS

- 2 1. Section 455B.134, subsection 3, the first unnumbered
- 3 paragraph, is amended as follows:

1

- 4 3. Grant, modify, or deny permits for the construction and
- 5 operation of new or modified air contaminant sources and for
- 6 related control equipment, and conditional permits for
- 7 electric power generating facilities subject to chapter 476A
- 8 and other major stationary sources, subject to the rules
- 9 adopted by the commission. The department shall furnish
- 10 necessary application forms for such permits.
- 2. Section 455B.134, subsection 3, is amended by adding
- 12 after paragraph d, the following new paragraph and
- renumbering the remainder of the subsection:
- 14 e. No air contmaminant source for which a construction
- permit or conditional permit has been issued may be operated
- unless an operation permit has been issued for the source.
- 17 Operation permits shall contain conditions and schedules of
- compliance necessary to ensure that the contaminant source
- will meet all applicable standards.
- 3. Section 455B.134, subsection 9, is ammended as follows:
- 9. Issue orders consistent with rules to cause the
- 22 abatement or control of air pollution, or to secure
- compliance with permit conditions. In making the orders,
- 24 the director shall consider the facts and circumstances

- bearing upon the reasonableness of the emissions involved,
- 2 including but not limited to, the character and degree of
- 3 injury to, or interference with, the protection of health
- and the physical property of the public, the practicability
- of reducing or limiting the emissions from the air pollution
- 6 source, and the suitability or unsuitability of the air
- 7 pollution source to the area where it is located. An order
- 8 may include advisory recommendations for the control of
- 9 emissions from an air contaminant source and the reduction
- of the emission of air contaminants.
- 4. 455B.141 is amended as follows:
- 455B.141 Legal Action. If action to prevent, control, or
- abate air pollution is not taken in accordance with the
- rules established, or orders or permits issued by the
- department, or if the director has evidence that an
- ememgency exists by reason of air pollution which requires
- immediate action to protect the public health or property,
- the attorney general, at the request fo the director, shall
- commence legal action, in the name of the state, for an
- 20 injunction to prevent any further or continued violation of
- 21 such rule or order.
- 22 EXPLANATION
- 23 At this time, the department of natural resources only has
- 24 authority to issue construction permits in the air pollution
- control program. While this program relies on the type and
- design of equipment as the primary operational control, the

- construction permits often contain operational
- 2 characteristics as well. When a change is required in an
- 3 operational feature of such permits in order to better
- 4 protect the environment or to satisfy the needs of the
- 5 permitted industry, the process for change is needlessly
- 6 cumbersome for everyone. The issuance of seperate operation
- 7 permits will make the modification process more efficient,
- 8 validate the practice of including operational
- 9 characteristics and make the program more consistent with
- other environmental programs in terms of operation.

1 SOLID WASTE TONNAGE FEE LATE PENALTY

- 2 1. Section 455B.310, Subsection 6 is amended as follows:
- 6. A person required to pay fees by this section who fails
- or refuses to pay the fees imposed by this section shall be
- assessed a penalty of fifteen percent two percent per month
- of the fee due. The penalty shall be paid in addition to
- 7 the fee due.

8 EXPLANATION

- 9 The current flat fee for late payment of tonnage fees
- provides for inequities that a monthly fee eliminates. If
- the fee is even a few days late, the 15% penalty is too
- harsh. Once the late payment penalty is in place, there is
- no added penalty no matter how late the payment is overdue.
- 14 As a result, once a payment is late it benefits the payee to
- delay as long as possible because interest may be gained to
- offset the penalty. The amendment results in a very minimal
- 17 late fee at first, but it accumulates at a rate which
- defeats the advantages of delay.

BIG SPRING DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

;	2	For FY 1990, an additional \$800,000 was made available to
	3	fund the initiation of five projects like the Big Spring
,	4	Demonstration Project in different areas of the state. The
	5	Iowa Environmental Protection Commission supports the
ı	6	continuation of the FY 1990 level of funding for the coming
•	7	year in order to carry through on the implementation of the
;	8	demonstration projects. The information gained and the
•	9	demonstration of the concepts on the land are essential to
1	0	our understanding of the impact of agricultural chemical use
1	1	on the state's groundwater. The demonstrations are also an
1:	2	extremely good way to convey the information gained to local
1:	3	farmers.
1	4	Continued support is necessary to fulfill the commitment
1 !	5	made by starting the new projects. The Commission concurs
1	6	that this level of funding should be a part of the
1	7	Department's FY 1991 budget request.

INERT INGREDIENTS DISCLOSURE

- 2 1. Section 206.12, Code of Iowa, 1989, is amended by adding
- 3 the following paragraph.

1

- Any person registering a pesticide under this section shall,
- as a part of the application for registration, provide the
- 6 Secretary with a list of the inert ingredients which are
- y used or have been used in the past five years as a part of
- 8 the formulation of the pesticide. The application need not
- 9 state the percentage composition of any inert in the
- pesticide but shall list the identity of those intert
- ingredients which are or were present. Any information
- which the Secretary receives as to the identity of inert
- ingredients in pesticides shall be made available to the
- 14 Department of Natural Resources and the Center for Health
- 15 Effects of Envrionmental Contamination.

16 EXPLANATION

- Since the beginning of the Department's attempts to evaluate
- the contamination of groundwater, there have been a number
- of organic compounds which are found for which there is no
- 20 ready explanation. In many cases there same compounds are
- 21 known generally to be potential inert ingredients in
- 22 pesticides based upon federal lists of possible inert
- ingredients. In order for the Department to adequately
- 24 identify the possible sources of contamination and the
- pathways for contamination, it is essential that the
- 26 identity of inert ingredients used in Iowa be made known to

- the Department. By asking for only a limited number of
- years of prior use information, the proposal is a compromise
- 3 in comparison with disclosure requirements considered last
- 4 year. Equally important is the proviso that only the
- 5 identity of the compound and not its percentage in the
- 6 pesticide's composition need be reported. This limit should
- 7 help to reduce concerns about revealing trade secrets.

- 1 OPEN DUMPING TO AVOID LANDFILL FEES
- 2 1. Section 455B.307 is amended by adding the following new
- 3 unnumbered paragraphs.
- 4 In those cases where a person is found to have disposed of
- 5 solid waste by open dumping in a roadside ditch, or on
- 6 public or private property, the court may in addition to any
- 7 other sentence imposed, direct and supervise a labor of
- 8 litter gathering.
- 9 Cities or counties may commence enforcement of violations of
- open dumping prohibitions under the authority of this
- division and seek penalties provided for in this section on
- 12 their own initiative.
- 13 EXPLANATION
- 14 With the advent of landfills and the increases in fees to
- properly dispose of solid waste, there have been increased
- incidences of dumping of household solid waste in roadside
- ditches and secluded areas off the road. These practices
- subvert the intent of the law and allow the person dumping
- 19 to avoid the cost of disposal. The landowner is left with
- the task of cleanup. The proposed amendment takes from the
- 21 littering statute and provides an added disincentive for
- 22 persons practicing this strategy of waste disposal.

ABANDONED WELL PLUGGING FUNDS

2	The Iowa Environmental Protection Commission supports the
3	continuation of the additional \$300,000 in funding which was
4	made available last year. The plugging of abandoned wells
5	represents an important part of the state's groundwater
6	protection effort, because these wells constitute an obvious
7	direct conduit into the groundwater aquifers. Every effort
8	should be made to use these funds to maximize the number of
9	abandoned wells which are permanently closed. The
10	Commission concurs that this level of funding should be a
11	part of the Department's FY 1991 budget request.

1. Section 455A.6(6)"b" {COMMISSION AUTHORITY}, as	5
amended by 1989 Iowa Acts, S.F. 141, is amended as follows:	7
b. Hear appeals in contested cases pursuant to chapter 17A	9
on matters relating to actions under chapter 84, 93, 455B,	11
455C, or 469.	13
2. Section 455B.145(2)"b" {APPROVAL OF LOCAL AIR QUALITY	17
CONTROL PROGRAMS is amended as follows:	19
b. The director shall promptly investigate the application	21
and approve or disapprove the application. The director may	23
conduct a public hearing before action is taken to approve	25
or disapprove. If the director disapproves issuing a cer-	27
tificate, the political subdivision may appeal the action to	29
the department of inspections and appeals commission.	31
3. Section 455B.174(4), first unnumbered paragraph	35
{WASTEWATER PERMITS}, is amended as follows:	37
4. Approve or disapprove the plans and specifications for	39
the construction of disposal systems or public water supply	41
systems except for those sewer extensions and water supply	43
distribution system extensions which are reviewed by a city	45
or county public works department as set forth in section	47
455B.183. The director shall issue, revoke, suspend, modify	49
or deny permits for the operation, installation, con-	51
struction, addition to or modification of any disposal sys-	5.3

tem or public water supply system except for 1 sewer extensions and water supply distribution system extensions 3 which are reviewed by a city or county public works department as set forth in section 455B.183. The director shall 7 also issue, revoke, suspend, modify or deny permits for the 9 discharge of any pollutant. The permits shall contain condi-11 tions and schedules of compliance as necessary to meet the 13 requirements of this part of this division and the federal 15 Water Pollution Control Act. A permit shall not be issued to 17 operate or discharge from any disposal system unless the 19 conditions of the permit assure that any discharge from 21 disposal system meets or will meet all applicable state and 23 federal water quality standards and effluent standards 25 issuance of the permit is not otherwise prohibited by 27 the federal Water Pollution Control Act. All applications 29 discharge permits are subject to public notice and op-31 portunity for public participation including public hearing 33 the department may by rule require. The director shall 35 promptly notify the applicant in writing of the director's 37 action and, if the permit is denied, state the reasons for 39 denial. The applicant may appeal to the department of 41 spections and appeals commission from the denial of a permit 43 from any condition in any permit if the applicant files 45 notice of appeal with the director within thirty days of the 47 notice of denial or issuance of the permit. The director 49 shall notify the applicant within thirty days of the time 51 and place of the hearing. 53

4. Section 455B.271(2), introductory paragraph {WATER USE	4
PERMITS}, is amended as follows:	6
2. Subject to appeal to the department of inspections and	8
appeals commission, a permit may be modified or canceled by	10
the director if any of the following occur:	12

EXPLANATION

16

bill corrects some errors that have carried over from 20 state government reorganization legislation. The Environ-22 mental Protection Commission has general appellate jurisdic-24 tion over contested case matters arising under chapter 455B. 26 The Department of Inspections and Appeals supplies presiding 28 officers, Administrative Law Judges, to perform administra-30 tive hearing functions and prepare proposed decisions, which 32 are subject to final determination by the Commission. 34 three provisions in 455B the department of inspections and 36 appeals was given apparent final jurisdiction, rather 38 the Commission. Efforts to correct this in the 1989 Code 40 Correction Bill actually made the matter worse. Hopefully 42 this Bill will correct both the problems created by the 44 state government reorganization bill and the 1989 Code Cor-46 rections bill. 48



TERRY E. BRANSTAD, GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
LARRY J. WILSON, DIRECTOR

October 24, 1988

Ms. JoAnn Brown Iowa Code Editor Lucas Building LOCAL

Dear Ms. Brown:

This is in response to your letter of October 17, 1988, to Mr. Wilson. We agree with your proposal to amend Iowa Code sections 455B.145(2b), 455B.174(4), and 455B.271(2) by deleting references to the department of inspections and appeals and substituting "commission". These changes should be included in your Code corrections bill.

Thank you for your prompt response and courtesies.

Sincerely,

Michael P. Murphy

Chief

Government Liaison Bureau

Part P. Murphy

MM:ps

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF IOWA

LEGAL DIVISION

RICHARD L. JOHNSON **DIVISION CHIEF** DOUGLAS L. ADKISSON MARTIN H. FRANCIS MICHAEL J. GOEDERT MARK W. JOHNSON GARY L. KAUFMAN JANET L. WILSON DANIEL PITTS WINEGARDEN LESLIE E. WORKMAN

RESEARCH DIVISION

DIANE E. BOLENDER **DIVISION CHIEF** PATRICIA A. FUNARO THANE R. JOHNSON JOHN C. POLLAK



LEGISLATIVE SERVICE BUREAU

STATE CAPITOL BUILDING DES MOINES, IOWA 50319 515 281-3566 DONOVAN PEETERS, DIRECTOR

October 17, 1988

ADMINISTRATIVE CODE DIVISION

LUCAS BUILDING 515 281-5285

PHYLLIS V BARRY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE EDITOR

PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE

EVELYN HAWTHORNE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER

GERALDINE FRIDLINGTON ASST. PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER

IOWA CODE DIVISION

LUCAS BUILDING 515 281-5285

JOANN G BROWN



Mr. Larry Wilson, Director Department of Natural Resources Wallace Building LOCAL

Dear Mr. Wilson:

We have considered the changes you suggested to sections 455B.145(2b), 455B.174(4) and 455B.271(2), and have discussed them with the drafter, Thane Johnson. We find that the legislative intent here is not clear, in light of the apparently conflicting provisions in sections 10A.202(11), 455A.6(6b), and 1986 Acts, chapter 1245, section 1899B.

For this reason, we should not attempt to make the proposed changes editorially. However, I am willing to propose them for inclusion in a Code corrections bill. I would propose deleting the references to the Department of Inspections and Appeals and substituting "commission", which would be the Environmental Protection Commission. This seems compatible with section 455A.6(6b), and avoids requiring an appeal of the director's decision to the director's department.

You may prefer to present these changes to the legislature in a departmental bill. If so, please let me know. We will probably start working on the Code corrections bills in November and we do not want to duplicate or conflict with provisions you might have in departmental bills.

I hope this resolution is satisfactory to you.

Sincerely,

JoAnn Brown

Iowa Code Editor



TERRY E. BRANSTAD, GOVERNOR

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
LARRY J. WILSON, DIRECTOR

September 26, 1988

JoAnn Brown Iowa Code Editor 4th Floor, Lucas Building LOCAL

Dear Ms. Brown:

This letter is to point out three provisions in Iowa Code chapter 455B, 1987 edition, which I believe need to be corrected, and to request that these changes be made in the 1989 Code pursuant to your editorial authority, if that is appropriate. The three provisions are 455B.145(2)"b", 455B.174(4)(first unnumbered paragraph), and 455B.271(2)(introductory paragraph), which reference appeals to the "department of inspections and appeals". We feel that these references should be simply to the "department", which in turn would equate to the department of natural resources, pursuant to Iowa Code section 455B.101(1). See 1986 Iowa Acts, section 1899B.

Certainly the massive changes made in the reorganization bill, and the sometimes ambiguous references to "director", "department", and "commission" throughout, created a difficult task for your office, and it is easy to see how the above references resulted. However, the way these provisions are now worded leaves the impression that the department of inspections and appeals has full jurisdiction over the matters in those sections. We do use that agency to conduct our administrative hearings; however, it is clear that ultimate agency jurisdiction over these matters is with this department.

If you have any questions on this request, please contact Mike Murphy at 8973.

Sincerely,

Wilson

Birector

LW:ps

Combs stated that he would like to get the Commission's decision as to which legislative proposals should be included in the package and what their position is on particular legislative proposals.

Environmental Assistance Program

There was concern over the wording on page 2, lines 14-18, particularly in reference to the words "independent enforcement action" and what is meant by same.

Following discussion of this issue, it was agreed that staff will work on clean up of the language to address that a condition which exists at the time of the audit should not be a basis for the department to take enforcement action in a sense penalties, but should still be a basis to require further action to remediate the problem.

Concern was also expressed that a request for adequate funding of the program should be included in the legislative proposal.

The Commission agreed to leave this proposal in the package providing the above concerns are addressed.

General Permits

Mr. Combs stated that the department received comments from the Attorney General's Office today stating that the draft language is not adequate.

The Commission agreed to include the concept of this proposal with the re-drafting of the language as acceptable to the Attorney General's Office.

Household Hazardous Material Collection System

The Commission agreed to include this proposal in the legislation package.

Air Quality Operation Permits

Allan Stokes explained that this legislation would allow a facility to receive a construction permit and an operation permit. The operation permit would establish the emission limitations for a facility. Presently, the emission limitation is addressed through the construction permit, but if it proves not to be good enough to protect the ambient air quality standards, a facility would be technically in compliance with their construction permit but there would still be air quality The only mechanism to address that now is to institute an enforcement action against the facility in the form of an administrative order, or higher enforcement action, ordering them to take additional actions to reduce emission levels to be in compliance. Mr. Stokes stated that the issuance

of a separate operation permit will simplify and streamline the process.

Discussion followed.

Mike Earley asked if this is to benefit contractors, if they would agree with this process.

Chairperson Mohr asked Jack Soener if he would like to address the issue.

Jack Soener

Jack Soener, Association of Business and Industry, stated that the Association has not taken a position on this legislation. He noted that their view has always been that the enforcement agency should be concerned with what comes out of the stack and not how it is achieved. He added that has been the concern with operational permits, and he does not know at this point if the Association will continue to take that stand or not.

Mr. Stokes stated that the operation permit is the one that addresses what comes out the stack, and the construction permit addresses how you get there. The reason the department would not propose to give up the construction permit is that in many instances it is required under federal law.

Margaret Prahl stated that on page 1, paragraph e., it should be made clear that the construction permit and operation permit will be issued simultaneously to prevent a permit from taking 90 days to be issued.

The Commission agreed to leave this proposal in the legislation package providing the concern with page 1, paragraph e., is addressed.

Solid Waste Tonnage Fee Late Penalty

The Commission agreed to include this proposal in the legislation package.

Big Spring Demonstration Project

The Commission agreed to include this proposal in the legislation package.

Inert Ingredients Disclosure

Mr. Combs stated that this legislation will disclose the compound but not the percentage of composition of any inert in pesticides. Basically, what the department wants to know is what is in the compound and not necessarily the percentages or formulations. Mr. Combs related that the concern is, there are 1200 inert ingredients registered with the U.S. EPA and some of those have

been identified as being of toxicological concern, some are basically recognized as safe, and there are two categories which are unknown and are thought to have potential toxicological impact.

Keith Luchtel

Keith Luchtel, Attorney for Monsanto, stated that the information for active ingredients and for inert ingredients on the list of toxicological concern is already given, and the question in industry is what more is needed and what is the department going to do with it. He added that if industry knew how the information is going to be used, maybe the information could be provided without breaching the trade secret problems of industry. The problem with the draft legislation is that it has no confidentiality provision for the trade secret aspect.

Mr. Combs stated that of the 1200 registered inert ingredients, 57 are of toxicological concern, 79 are potentially toxic inerts high priority for testing, 300 are recognized as safe, and 800 are of unknown toxicity. Results of groundwater and surface water sampling show 16 ingredients on the list of 1200 inerts, one of which is on the list of unknown toxicity, six are potentially toxic inerts, and nine of them are of toxicological concern. Mr. Combs stated that the departments concern is that some of those on the list of potentially toxic inerts and the unknown list may be of concern to Iowans, as they may or may not be very toxic. Presently, no one knows.

Chairperson Mohr asked Dr. Keith Cherryholmes if he would like to speak to this issue.

Dr. Keith Cherryholmes

Dr. Keith Cherryholmes, University Hygienic Lab, stated that his concern is what the impact of some of these materials might be in concert with the active ingredient. He added that the question is, are the 300 ingredients in the safe category really safe. Again, it is unknown if these are safe in concert with active ingredients.

A lengthy discussion of the issues followed.

Gary Priebe expressed concerns that this proposal might affect research by industry. He suggested that this legislative proposal be tabled until October so that staff can work out language with industry to provide the information needed by the department, yet protect industry's trade secrets.

Mike Earley disagreed stating that he feels this proposal should be included as presented in the legislation package. The concensus of the Commission was to leave this legislative proposal in the package and have staff work with industry to amend the language to satisfy the department and yet protect industry trade secrets, with amendments to be completed by October 16; if no acceptable alternative is found by that time it will go into the legislation package as was originally presented.

Open Dumping Enforcement

The Commission agreed to include this proposal in the legislation package.

Abandoned Well Plugging Funds

The Commission agreed to include this proposal in the legislation package.

Code Correction Bill

The Commission agreed to include this proposal in the legislation package.

Nancylee Siebenmann mentioned that she had previously requested review of legislation relating to the hierarchy and criteria for the Landfill Altenative Grants Program, and that she would still like the Commission to review it to determine if they would like a legislative initiative on it.

Mr. Combs stated that he will have staff prepare a proposal and bring it back for Commission discussion tomorrow.

Motion was made by Nancylee Siebenmann to approve the Legislation Package for 1990 with the modifications requested by the Commission today. Seconded by Rozanne King.

Mike Earley noted that he would agree with approval of the package as a whole, but that he is opposed to the Environmental Assistance Program legislation proposal.

Vote on Nancylee Siebenmann's motion carried unanimously.

Before recessing for the day, Director Wilson informed the Commission that in response to some of their questions on expenses covered by the state, retirement plaques for state employees are provided (not to exceed \$50). Coffee for Commission meetings is allowed. At meetings conducted for the department for the benefit of the public, other than Commission meetings, coffee, soft drinks, and rolls/donuts are allowed.

RECESS

Chairperson Mohr recessed the meeting at 6:00 p.m., Monday, September 18, 1989.

MEETING RECONVENES 8:30 A.M., TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1989

REPRESENTATIVE JACK HATCH

Chairperson Mohr introduced Representative Jack Hatch and stated that the Commission appreciates the time he has taken to meet with them.

Representative Hatch stated that he received a letter from members of the previous Commission in response to legislation that passed the House of Representatives to abolish the Commission. He related that it hit a low point between the legislature and the Commission on conversation and dialogue, not only as agencies of the state, but also as advocates of the environment. Representative Hatch responded to the Commission with a letter suggesting to the Commission that they get together to discuss concerns.

Representative Hatch discussed responsibilities of the Commission and the legislature in executing the authorities they have. further explained that the Attorney General was given the authority in 1986 to proceed in lawsuits against companies without having referrals from the EPC. Representative Hatch noted that there is a long list of companies that staff recommended a lawsuit against and the EPC did not refer to the Attorney General (a copy of the list was distributed to the Commission). He added that it is one very significant and very obvious problem when the legislature sees the Commission not supporting staff recommendation, and when obvious violations of the law are reduced by the Commission to mere misdemeanors, or slaps on the hand, it indicates that the Commission is not serious about protecting the environment. Representative Hatch asked the Commission to refer any cases which are indicated to be a violation of the law and let the Attorney General's Office work it out. He stated that the legislature wants rapport with the Commission, and they do not want to micro-manage. He related that he would like to offer the Commission to meet with the legislature as often as they like. Representative Hatch noted that he would much rather come over and meet with the Commission in work sessions than attend a reception.

Chairperson Mohr thanked Representative Hatch for coming and stated that the Commission wants to keep the lines of communication open.

Margaret Prahl commented that if it is the function of the legislature to second guess the decisions of the Commission and say "that was a wrong one, that was a right one," the question is, is the legislature then willing to look at the facts behind the decision. She stated that if the legislature is going to keep score and say that it's a win if you go with the staff and a loss if you do otherwise, then she does not think the Commission can communicate.

Representative Hatch replied that in general terms he would not disagree with that, but the legislature does look behind the issue. In a political environment, you cannot prevent an elected body not to make judgements and not to keep score. He added that maybe what needs to be done better is to make sure that all the facts and details are there when they keep score.

Mike Earley asked Representative Hatch what he thinks is an attainable level on cases to be referred.

Representative Hatch responded that if staff recommends referral to the Attorney General and the Commission does not refer it, then the Commission should defend it before the governor, the press, and the committees of the legislature. Now that the Attorney General has the authority to take the case over without referral, it could be seen who is right and who is wrong. He stressed that if there is the slightest sense of illegal activity or slight bend of the regulations, he would recommend the Commission pour it on the Attorney General and make his staff work. This would tell the people of the state that the EPC is going to protect the environment. Representative Hatch stated that he has a lot of respect for staff of the department and whenever the legislature has asked for information it has always come back in a professional and thorough manner.

Nancylee Siebenmann commented that if there would be concerns by subcommittees as to why certain actions were taken the Commission would like to know that, so that a response could be given.

Representative Hatch stated that Representative Rosenberg and Senator Deluhery have been open in meeting with DNR staff and they are the people to keep informed and brief on a regular basis, as well as the chairs of the Appropriations Committee on Natural Resources.

Chairperson Mohr stated that the Commission want to keep this line of communication open because they are very concerned about the environment. She noted that the Commission always meet on the third Monday and Tuesday of each month and that Representative Hatch is always welcome at these meetings.

MONTHLY REPORTS

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The following monthly reports are enclosed with the agenda for the Commission's information.

- 1. Rulemaking Status Report
- 2. Variance Report
- 3. Hazardous Substance/Emergency Response Report
- 4. Enforcement Status Report
- 5. Contested Case Status Report

Members of the department will be present to expand upon these reports and answer questions.

(Reports shown on the following 8 pages)

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION RULEMAKING STATUS REPORT September 1, 1989

PROPOSAL	NOTICE TO COMMISSION		RULES REVIEW COMMITTEE	HEARING	SUMMARY OF COMMENTS & RECOMMENDATIONS TO COMMISSION	RULES ADOPTED	RULES PUBLISHED	RULE EFFECTIVE
1. Ch. 25 and 30 - Toxic Air Emissions	*11/20/89	*12/13/89	*1/09/90	*1/90	*2/90	*2/90	*3/90	*4/90
2. Ch. 39 - Plugging Abandoned Wells	*10/16/89	*11/15/89	*12/12/89	*12/89	*1/90	*1/90	*2/90	*3/90
3. Ch. 41 - Public Water Supplies	8/21/89	* 9/20/89	*10/10/89	10/10/89 10/11/89 10/12/89	*11/20/89	*11/20/89	*12/13/89	*1/17/90
4. Ch. 60-62 - Water Quality Standards	7/17/89	8/09/89	9/11/89	8/29/89 8/30/89 8/31/89 9/06/89	*11/20/89	*11/20/89	*12/13/89	*1/17/90
5. Ch. 62 - Effluent and Pretreatment Standards: Other Effluent Limits or Prohibitions	5/22/89	6/14/89	7/11/89	7/05/89	8/21/89	8/21/89	*9/20/89	*10/25/89
6. Ch. 91 - Criteria for Award of Grants	7/17/89	8/09/89	9/11/89	8/30/89	9/18/89	*9/18/89	*10/18/89	*11/22/89
7. Ch. 101-106 - Landfill Closure Post-Closure, Leachate	4/17/89	5/03/89	6/07/89	6/06/89 6/07/89 6/08/89	8/21/89	8/21/89	* 9/20/89	*10/25/89
8. Ch. 135 - Underground Storage Tanks	EME	RGENC	Y RU	LE	8/21/89	8/21/89	*9/20/89	9/01/89

^{*}Projected

		MONTHL	Y VARIANCE REPORT					
	Month: August, 1989							
No.	Facility	Program	Engineer	Subject	Decision	Date		
1.	Bancroft Municipal Utilities	Air Quality		Landscape Waste	Denied	08/01/89		
2.	Linwood Mining & Mineral Corp Davenport	Air Quality		Emission Standard	Approved	08/01/89		
3.	Alden, City of	Air Quality		Landscape Waste	Denied	08/07/89		
4.	Howard County	Flood Plain	County Engineer	Percent Length Reduction	Approved	08/02/89		
5.	Humboldt County	Flood Plain	MER Engineering	Freeboard	Approved	08/04/89		
6.	Decatur County Soil & Water Conserva- tion District	Flood Plain	USDA - Soil Conserva- tion Service	Storm/Storage Capacity	Approved	08/09/89		
7.	Des Moines, City of	Solid Waste	Darwin Larson, D.M.	Compost	Approved	08/28/89		
8.	Iowa American Water Co Davenport	Watersupply Construction	Havens & Emerson	Design Basis	Approved	08/14/89		

September 1989

Environmental Protection Commission Minutes

TOPIC:

Report of Hazardous Conditions

During the period August 1, 1989 through August 31, 1989, reports of 48 hazardous conditions were forwarded to the Central Office. Two incidents are highlighted below. A general summary and count by field office is attached. These do not include releases from underground storage tanks, which are reported separately.

Date Reported and County	Description: Material, Amount, Date of Incident, Cause, Location, Impact	Responsible Party	Response and Corrective Actions
08/09/89 O'BR1EN	About 2,000 gallons of soybean oil entered a storm sewer that discharges to an open ditch southwest of the city of Sheldon on August 9, 1989. The incident occurred when a driver attempted to load his truck, but the valve was open for loading railcars at another location.	AGP, Inc. 804 Second Avenue Sheldon, Iowa 51201	The ditch was diked about 100 feet downstream of the storm sewer outfall. Oil was pumped out of the ditch and recycled. The storm sewer was flushed to remove residual oil and oil-soaked soil was removed for land application.
08/10/89 CERRO GORDO	A hydrostatic test was being conducted when a blowout occurred in a pipeline two miles west of 1-35 on county road B-43 near Clear Lake, Iowa on August 10, 1989. About 84 gallons of diesel fuel were spilled.	2500 39th Avenue N.E. Minneapolis, Minnesota	Six truckloads of soil were removed from the site and stored on plastic pending landfarming arrangements. The pipeline was repaired.

Numbers in Parentheses Represent Reports for the Same Period in Fiscal Year 1988

Substance Type

<u>Mode</u>

Month	Total # of Incidents	Petroleum Product	Agri. Chemical	Other Chemicals and Substances	Handling and Storage	Pipeline	Highway Incident	RR Incident	Fire	Other
Oct	47	20	8	19	25	0	14	3	0	5
Nov	55	27	9	19	35	3	12	1	0	4
Dec	44	21	3	20	29	0	9	1	1	4
Jan	54	32	6	16	32	0	10	3	3	6
Feb	52	25	11	16	36	2	5	3	2	4
Mar	50	34	2	14	30	2	12	1	0	5
Apr	78	28	33	17	54	0	19	1	1	3
May	124	33	57	34	56	2	52	2	1	11
Jun	68	28	15	25	42	1	19	0	0	6
Jul	74	35	9	30	45	1	20	2	2	4
Aug	48(88)	21(31)	6(16)	21(41)	33(52)	1(2)	9(16)	1(3)	0(1)	4(14)
					1					

Total # of Incidents Per Field Office This Period

REPORTS OF RELEASES FROM UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS

During the period of August 1, 1989 through August 31, 1989, the following number of releases from underground storage tanks were identified.

66 (26)

The number in parentheses represents the number of releases during the same period in Fiscal Year 1988.

Enforcement Report Update

The following new enforcement actions were taken last month:

Name, Location and Field Office Number	Program	Alleged Violation	Action	Date
Holden's Foundation Seeds, Inc., Williamsburg (6)	Water Rights	Operation Without a Permit	Order/Penalty	8/2/89
East Side Acres, Moville (3)	Drinking Water	MCL - Nitrate Public Notice	Order/Penalty	8/4/89
Sully Municipal Water Works (5)	Drinking Water	Monitoring/Reporting - Other Inorganics	Order/Penalty	8/4/89
Oyens Water Supply (3)	Drinking Water	Monitoring/Reporting - Other Inorganics	Order/Penalty	8/4/89
Lloyd Wiese, Jewell (2)	Air Quality	Open Burning	Order/Penalty	8/4/89
Stone City Iron & Metal Co., Anamosa (1)	Air Quality	Construction Without Permit	Order/Penalty	8/4/89
Mary Greeley Medical Center, Ames (5)	Air Quality	Construction Without Permit	Order	8/4/89
ITW Magnaflux, DeWitt (6)	Air Quality	Construction Without Permit	Order	8/4/89
Dakota Pork Industries, Inc., Sioux City (3)	Air Quality	Construction Without Permit	Order	8/4/89
Charles Clapp, Carter Lake (4)	Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order	8/4/89
Irene Fagerlund d/b/a Swea City Oil Co., Swea City (2)	Underground Tank	Remedial Action	Order	8/4/89
Donnellson Water Supply (6)	Drinking Water	Monitoring/Reporting - Other Inorganics	Order/Penalty	8/4/89
Grade Lake Dam, Osceola (5)	Flood Plain	Reconstruction	Amended Order	8/4/89
Castana Water Supply (4)	Drinking Water	MCL - Nitrate	Order	8/4/89
Nevada, City of (5)	Wastewater	Discharge Limits	Order	8/9/89
Quaker Oats Co., Cedar Rapids (1)	Air Quality	Construction Without Permit	Order/Penalty	8/9/89
Nozey Habhab/Mildred Habhab and John F. Constable, Fort Dodge (2)	Air Quality	Open Burning	Order/Penalty	8/15/89
City of State Center (5)	Wastewater	MIP - Discharge Limits	Order	8/15/89
Wright Tree Service, Inc., West Des Moines (5)	Hazardous Condition	Remedial Action	Order	8/16/89
Arthur Gross, West Union (1)	Flood Plain	Construction Without Permit	Amended Order	8/18/89
Howard Pape, West Union	Flood Plain	Construction Without Permit	Amended Order	8/18/89
City of Center Point (1)	Wastewater	MIP	Amended Order	8/25/89
Mount Hamil Tap, Donnellson (6)	Drinking Water	Monitoring/Reporting - Nitrate	Order/Penalty	8/25/89
Westmore Road & Water Assoc., Davenport (6)	Drinking Water	Monitoring/Reporting - Radioactivity	Order/Penalty	8/25/89
Harcourt Water Supply (2)	Drinking Water	Monitoring/Reporting - Radioactivity	Order/Penalty	8/25/89
Modern Manor Mobile Home Park, Iowa City (6)	Drinking Water	Monitoring/Reporting - Radioactivity	Order/Penalty	8/25/89
City of Carroll (4)	Wastewater	Discharge Limits	Order	8/25/89

Summary of Administrative Penalties The following administrative penalties are due:

NAME/LOCATION	PROGRAM	AMOUNT	DUE DATE
Handi-Klasp, Inc. (Webster City) Krause-Gentle Corp. (Laurel) *Milo Chalfant, et. al. (Webster City)	WW/HC HC SW	1,000 1,000 216	8-02-88 3-17-89 5-21-89
Gilbert John Fjone (Swaledale)	SW	400 400	
City of Graf Glenn C. Sevick (Mason City)	FP SW	400	
Soo Line Railroad Company (Mason City)	HC SW	1,000 500	
Richard Kleindolph (Muscatine) Cozy Cafe (Lucas)	WS	500	8-23-89
Valley View Estates #1 (Muscatine) Robert Fisch (Manchester)	WS AO	215 600	
Jeffrey Allen Miller (Shell Rock)	รพิ	1,000	9-09-89
Siouxland Quality Meat, Inc. (Sioux City) William L. Bown (Marshalltown)	WW SW	700 1,000	10-01-89 10-01-89
Delbert Fleig (Richland)	SW	300 600	10-01-89 10-01-89
Larry Stohr (Leland) Interstate Pork, Inc. (West Liberty)	QA WW	1,000	10-08-89
Sully Municipal Water Works Stone City Iron & Metal Co. (Anamosa)	WS AO	200 1,000	10-08-89 10-08-89
Oyens Water Supply	ws	200	10-09-89
Lloyd Wiese (Jewell) Quaker Oats Co. (Cedar Rapids)	AQ AQ	300 500	10-09-89 10-15-89
East Side Acres (Moville)	WS	600	10-21-89
Howard Gross (West Union) Arthur Pape (West Union)	FP FP	300 300	10-23-89 10-23-89
Harcourt Water Supply	WS WS	200 200	
Modern Manor Mobile Home Park (Iowa City) Mount Hamil Tap (Donnellson)	WS	200	10-30-89
Nozey & Mildred Habhab/John F. Constable (Ft. D	Oodge) AQ WS	1,000	
Westmore Road & Water Assoc. (Davenport) Don Hess (Mason City)	AQ	400	

*On Payment Schedule

The following cases have been referred to the Attorney General:

NAME/LOCATION	PROGRAM	AMOUNT	DUE DATE
Shelter Shield (Buffalo Center) JTM Indust./MacDade/Leamer (Pleasant Valley) OK Lounge (Marion) Richard Davis (Albia) McCabe's Supper Club (Burr Oak) Wee Willy's (Quasqueton) Eagle Wrecking Co. (Pottawattamie Co.)	AQ SW WS SW WS WS	1,000 1,000 448	12-03-86 8-12-87 11-01-87 2-28-88 12-14-88 2-23-89 5-07-89 5-20-89
*Twelve Mile House (Bernard) *Lawrence Payne (Ottumwa) Stan Moser (Hudson)	WS SW SW	425 250	6-19-89 6-27-89

The following administrative penalties have been appealed:

NAME/LOCATION	PROGRAM	AMOUNT
AMOCO Oil Co. (Des Moines)	UT	1,000
Iowa City Regency MHP	WW	1,000
Thomas E. Lennon (Barnum)	FP	700
Great Rivers Coop (Atavia)	HC	1,000
lst Iowa State Bank (Albia)	SW	1,000
Cloyd Foland (Decatur)	FP	800
Land O' Lakes, Inc. (Ellsworth)	WW	1,000
City of Marcus	WS	1,000
Superior-Ideal, Inc. (Oskaloosa)	WW	1,000
IBP, inc. (Columbus Junction)	WW	600
William C. Augustine (Rose Hill)	$_{ m FP}$	1,000
Fred's 66 (Davenport)	HC	1,000
King's Terrace Mobile Home Court (Ames)	WW	1,000
King's Terrace Mobile Home Court (Ames)	WS	315
Premium Standard Farms, Inc. (Boone Co.)	WW/AQ	700
Mitchell Boars & Gilts (Madison Co.)	WW/FP	1,000
City of Des Moines	WW	1,000
Des Moines Metro Solid Waste Agency	SW	1,000
Amoco Oil Co. (West Des Moines)	UT	1,000
Paul Klorberdanz d/b/a The Mart (Danville)	UT	1,000
Circle Hill Farms, Ltd. (Ellsworth)	SW	600

The following administrative penalties were paid last month:

NAME/LOCATION PR	OGRAM	AMOUNT
Holden's Foundation Seeds, Inc. (Williamsburg) Holden's Foundation Seeds, Inc. (Williamsburg) Holden's Foundation Seeds, Inc. (Williamsburg) Wright County Area Landfill Authority (Dows) South Win Golf Club (Calmar) Grain Processing Corp. (Muscatine) Donnellson Water Supply Cindi's Chanti (Elgin) Floyd-Mitchell Solid Waste Agency (Charle City Austin Rumley (Leon)	WR WR SW WS AQ WS WS FP	1,000 1,000 1,000 600 100 500 200 100 600 636

TOTAL \$5,736

Penalties previously assessed to Cattlemen's Steak & Provisions (Belmond), and The Rose Garden (Maquoketa) have been rescinded.

^{*}On Payment Schedule

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION ATTORNEY GENERAL REFERRALS September, 1989

Aides Cerporation Ratardous Relates of Heart Composition Ratardous Relates Substances Actionic Cerporation Related Substances Actionic Cerporation Related Substances Actionic Cerporation Related Substances Referred to Actionic Centre Related Condition Referred to Actionic Centre Related Condition Actionic Centre Referred to Cooper	Name, Location and Region Number	New or Updated	Program	Alleged Violation	DNR Action	Status	Date
Among Cil 10. Indeptorous Problitted Discharge Referred to Referred Referred to Referre				Hazardous		EPA suit filed State intervention Motion to dismiss granted/denied Filed interlocutory appeal Decision in favor of govt.	12/16/8 2/26/8 3/05/8 2/26/8 3/11/8 4/04/8 7/19/8
ASPRO, Inc. Maker (1) Air Quality Excess Drissions Order Referred 2/2 Maker (2) Air Quality Excess Drissions Order Referred 2/2 Maker (2) Air Quality Excess Drissions Order Referred 2/2 Maker (3) Social Language 15/200 Referred to Air Country General 10/2 Referred 10/2 Referred 3/2 Social Language 10/2 Referred to Period Suit Filed Social Language 10/2 Referred to Period Suit Filed Social Language 10/2 Referred to Period Language 15/200 Social Language 10/2 Referred to Period Language 15/200 Social Language 15/200 Social Language 10/2 Referred to Period Language 15/200 Social Language 10/2 Referred to Period Language 15/200 Social Language 15/200 Referred to Period Social Language 15/200 Social L				Failure to Report	Referred to		6/21/8
Devis Fichard & Solid Meste Open Dumping Order Content Darce 1/2 Copen, Kenneth/Emiter Oll Minham (5) Solid Meste Open Dumping Order Content Darce 1/2 Copen, Kenneth/Emiter Oll Minham (5) Solid Meste Open Dumping Order Copen Referred 1/2 Copen, Kenneth/Emiter Oll Minham (5) Storage Tank Spill Cleamup Order Copen Referred 1/2 Copen, Kenneth/Emiter Oll Minham (5) Storage Tank Spill Cleamup Order Open Referred 1/2 Copen, Kenneth/Emiter Oll Minham (5) Storage Tank Spill Cleamup Order Copen Referred 1/2 Copen Lagrange Open Unpermitted Deferred Tank Spill Cleamup Order/Penalty Spill Minham (5) Solid Waste Open Dumping Attorney General Talk Minham (6) Solid Waste Open Dumping Order/Penalty Emitted Spill Minham (6) Solid Waste Open Dumping Order/Penalty Emitted Spill Minham (6) Solid Waste Open Dumping Order/Penalty Penalty Emit (7) Spill Minham (6) Solid Waste Open Dumping Order/Penalty Penalty Emit (7) Spill Minham (6) Solid Waste Open Dumping Order/Penalty Penalty Emit (7) Spill Minham (7) Spi			Air Quality	Excess Emissions			2/16/8
Clinton Pallet Co. Clinton (6) Solid Waste Onen Dumming Order Attorney General Referred to Clinton (6) Cooper, Remeth/Minter Oil Minhaum (5) Solid Waste Onen Dumming Attorney General Referred (6) Cooper, Remeth/Minter Oil Minhaum (5) Solid Waste Onen Dumming Order Referred (6) Solid Waste Onen Dumming Order Referred (6) Solid Waste Onen Dumming Order/Penalty Referred (6) Filed Motion to Deny Default Adjacement Filed Motion to Deny Default Open Unpermitted Dumming Order/Penalty Referred (6) Refe	Bozarth and Bell, Inc.					Default Judgment \$7500 Second Lawsuit Filed	2/20/8 6/22/8 8/07/8
Clinton (6) Coper, Kannath/Hunter Oil Hisham (5) Storage Tank Spill Cleanup Order Hunter Referred Spill Cleanup Referred Spill Cleanup Order Hunter Referred Spill Filed Hunter Referred Hunter Re			Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Order		8/23/88 11/01/88
Cooper Referred 10/			Solid Waste	Open Dumping		Referred	6/21/89
Davis, Richard & Sonia (5) Solid Waste Open Unpermitted Damoing Attorney General Filed Motion to Denv Default Attorney General Filed Motion to Denv Default Attorney General Filed Motion to Denv Default Attorney General Attorney General Filed Motion to Denv Default Attorney General Administrative Penalty Paid Administrative Penalty Consent Decree Administrative Order Referred Administrative Order Are Manufacturine, Inc. Vastewater Prohibited Discharge Administrative Order Referred Administrative Order Administrative Order Referred Administr	Cooper, Kenneth/Hunter 0il Minburn (5)		Storage Tank	Spill Cleanup	Order		10/27/87 8/17/88
Forthewettenie Co. (4) Solid Waste Open Dumping Order/Penalty Englangety Claim Filed 5/2 Elisworth, City of (1) New Wastewater MIP Order Referred 8/2 Elisworth, City of (2) Wastewater Discharge Limits Order Referred 4/1 Elisworth, City of (2) Wastewater Discharge Limits Order Referred 6/2 Elisworth, City of (2) Wastewater Discharge Limits Order Referred 7/2 Elisworth, City of (2) Wastewater Discharge Limits Order Referred 6/2 Elisworth, City of (2) Wastewater Discharge Limits Order Referred 7/2 Elisworth, City of (2) Wastewater NIP Order Penalty Paid 7/2 Elisworth, City of (2) Wastewater Prohibited Discharge Consent Decree Referred to Construction Wastewater NIP Order Referred 10/2 Consent Decree 9/15 Consent Decree 9/15 Consent Decree 9/15 Consent Decree 7/15 Consent Decree 7/15 Consent Decree 7/15 Consent Decree 7/15 Consent Decree 8/15 Consent Decree 9/15 Consent Decree 8/15 Consent Decree 8/15 Consent Decree 9/15 Consent Decree 8/15 Consent Decree 9/15 Consent Decree 8/15 Consent Decree 8/15 Consent Decree 8/15 Consent Decree 8/17 Consent Decree 9/17 Consent Decree 8/17 Consent Decree 8/17 Consent Decree 9/17 Consent Decree 9/17 Consent Decree 9/17 Consent Decree 8/17 Consent Decree 9/17 Consent Decree	Davis, Richard & Sonja (5)		Solid Waste			Suit Filed Default Judgement	6/22/88 8/11/88 4/21/89 6/14/89
Elisorth (ity of (1) New Wastevater MIP Order Referred 8/2 Elisorth (ity of (2) Wastevater Discharge Limits Order Referred 4/1 Henry Co. SLF Commission (1) Hinnerhold (6) Hodated Solid Waste Cover Violations Order/Penalty Penalty Paid 7/2 Hilltop Feeders (Jorgenson) Heferred (Jorgenson) Hilltop Feeders (Jorgenson) Heferred (Jorgenson) Hilltop Feeders (Jorgenson) Hilltop Feeders (Eagle Wrecking Co. Pottawattamie Co. (4)		Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Order/Penalty		6/21/89
Elleworth, City of (2) Wastewater Discharge Limits Order Referred 4/1 Referred 6/2 Referred 6/2 Referred 6/2 Referred 6/2 Referred 6/2 Referred 9/2 Referred 9/2 Referred 9/2 Referred 9/2 Suit Filed 3/2 Discovery Proceeding 3/2 Referred 5/2 Referred 6/2 Referred 10/2 Referred 6/2 Referred 10/2 Referred 1/2 Administrative Penalty Paid 2/2 Referred 1/2 Administrative Penalty Paid 3/1 Referred 6/2 Referred 6/2 Referred 6/2 Referred 7/16 Referred	Elgin, City of (1)	New	Wastewater	MIP			7/24/89 8/23/89
Henry Co. SIF Commission Hills, Pleasant (6) Hills, Pleasant (7) Herry Jansen Helless (5) Hopated Fish Kill Frohibited Discharge Referred to Grier Pleasity Central Tarko. Filed Griminal Info. Filed Freative Pleasant Hills,	Ellsworth, City of (2)		Wastewater	Discharge Limits	Order		4/18/89
Hilltop Feeders (Jorgenson) Hilltop Feeders		Updated	Solid Waste	Cover Violations	Order/Penalty	Referred	6/21/89 7/21/89
Common C	Hilltop Feeders (Jorgenson) Winneshiek (1)		Air Quality	Operation Without Permit	Order	Referred Suit Filed	12/15/87 3/24/88
Kinsinger, Vernon (alona (i) Solid Waste Air Quality Open Dumping Order/Fenalty Administrative Penalty Paid (2/2) Leamer, Delbert; JTM Ind. Referred Lili Suit Filed Suit Filed Consent Decree Referred to Attorney General Referred Attorney General Referred Attorney General Referred Leamer, Delbert; JTM Ind. Referred Linderground Tank Leamer, Delbert; JTM Ind. Referred Linderground Leamer, Delbert; JTM Ind. Referred Linderground Tank Leamer, Delbert; JTM Ind. Referred to Attorney General Referred Linderground Leamer, Delbert; JTM Ind. Referred to Attorney General Referred Linderground Referred Linderground Linderground Leamer, Referred to Attorney General Referred Referred to Attorney General Referred to Attorney Ge		Updated	Fish Kill	Prohibited Discharge		Criminal Info. Filed	10/20/88 3/20/89
Referred 11/1 Suit Filed 3/1: Cleasant Valley (6) Updated Solid Waste Open Dumping Order/Penalty Consent Decree 8/3: CGGegor, City of (1) Wastewater MIP Order Referred 4/16 Coser, Stan Solid Waste Open Dumping Referred to Attorney General Referred 7/16 Carr Manufacturing, Inc. Wastewater MIP, Sludge Disposal Consent Decree Referred 7/16 Carr Manufacturing, Inc. Wastewater Prohibited Discharge Order Referred 2/23 Consent Decree Referred 2/23 Consent Decree Referred 8/17 Consent Decree Referred 7/16 Carr Manufacturing, Inc. Wastewater Prohibited Discharge Order Referred 8/17 Consent Decree Referred 8/17 Consent Decree Referred 1/16 Consent Decree 1/16 Case Filed 6/19 Case Filed 6/19 Consent Decree 7/28 Case Filed 6/19 Consent Decree 7/28 Case Filed 6/19 Consent Decree 7/18 Consent Decree 7/18 Consent Decree 7/19 Consent De	Kinsinger, Vernon Kalona (1)				Order/Penalty	Referred	1/24/89 2/23/89
MIP Order Referred 4/18 Mastewater MIP Order Referred 4/18 Moser, Stan Solid Waste Open Dumping Referred to Attorney General Referred 7/19 Mastewater MIP, Sludge Disposal Consent Decree Referred 7/19 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Order Referred 2/23 Menslow, Donald Underground Tank Failure to Monitor Order Default Judgement 3/06 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Order Referred 8/17 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Order Referred 8/17 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Order Referred 12/23 Menslow, Donald Suit Filed 12/30 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred 5/12 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Order/Penalty Consent Decree 7/28 Main-Wash Corporation Inton (6) New Wastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred 4 MIP Order Referred 5/12 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred 6/19 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Attorney General Referred 7/19 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Attorney General Referred 7/19 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Macter Cover Violations Administrative Order Referred 6/21 Macter Cover Mastewater Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Macter Cover Mastewater Referred 6/21 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Referred 6/21 Mastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred to Referred	Leamer, Delbert; JTM Ind.	Updated	Solid Waste	Open Dumping	Order/Penalty	Referred 1	1/17/87 3/13/89 8/31/89
Solid Waste Open Dumping Attorney General Referred 7/12 Referred 2/23 Referred 8/17 Referred 8/17 Referred 8/17 Referred 8/17 Referred 8/17 Referred 9/2 Referred 10	McGregor, City of (1)	***************************************	Wastewater	MIP	Order		4/18/89
wastewater MIP, Sludge Disposal Consent Decree Referred 7/15 arr Manufacturing, Inc. Wastewater Prohibited Discharge Order Referred 2/23 enslow, Donald Underground Tank Failure to Monitor Order Default Judgement 3/06 umley, Austin and arrell, Leon (5) Updated Flood Plain Without Permit Order/Penalty Consent Decree 7/28 ani-Wash Corporation linton (6) New Wastewater Prohibited Discharge Referred Attorney General Referred 8/23 EMCO, et. al. Solid Waste Cover Violations Administrative Order Referred 7/19 Prohibited Discharge Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Enter City (2) Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21	Moser, Stan		Solid Waste	Open Dumping		Referred	` 7/19/89
enslow, Donald Underground Tank Failure to Monitor Order Default Judgement 3/06 walley, Austin and arrell, Leon (5) Updated Flood Plain Without Permit Order/Penalty Consent Decree 7/28 ani-Wash Corporation linton (6) New Wastewater Prohibited Discharge Attorney General Referred 8/23 ENCO, et. al. Solid Waste Cover Violations Administrative Order Referred 7/19 Prohibited Discharge Failure to Report Referred to Hazardous Condition Attorney General Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred 7/19 Prohibited Discharge Failure to Report Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred Tank Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred to Restrictly (2) Referred to Referred			Wastewater	MIP, Sludge Disposal	Consent Decree	Referred	7/19/89
onderground Tank Failure to Monitor Order Suit Filed 12/30 Tank Failure to Monitor Order Default Judgement 3/06 Tank Failure to Monitor Order Default Judgement 3/06 Tank Failure to Monitor Order Default Judgement 3/06 Referred 6/19 Construction Case Filed 6/19 Consent Decree 7/28 Administrative Order Referred 8/23 EMCO, et. al. Solid Waste Cover Violations Administrative Order Referred 7/19 Duchdown Co., et. al., Underground Tank Hazardous Condition Attorney General Referred 6/21 Tank Referred Company Referred 10 Hazardous Condition Attorney General Referred 6/21 Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21	arr Manufacturing, Inc.		Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Order	Referred	2/23/89
Case Filed 6/19 Construction Case Filed 6/19 Consent Decree 7/28 ani-Wash Corporation linton (6) New Wastewater Prohibited Discharge Attorney General Referred 8/23 EMCO, et. al. Solid Waste Cover Violations Administrative Order Referred 7/19 Prohibited Discharge Failure to Report Referred to Hazardous Condition Attorney General Referred 6/21 Prohibited Discharge Failure to Report Referred to Hazardous Condition Attorney General Referred 6/21 Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred 6/21 Referred to Referred	enslow, Donald rand Junction (4)		Underground Tank	Failure to Monitor	Order	Suit Filed 1	8/17/88 2/30/88 3/06/89
ani-Wash Corporation linton (6) New Wastewater Prohibited Discharge Attorney General Referred 8/23 EMCO, et. al. Solid Waste Cover Violations Administrative Order Referred 7/19 Prohibited Discharge Failure to Report Referred to Attorney General Referred 6/21 Prohibited Discharge Failure to Report Hazardous Condition Attorney General Referred 6/21 Courner, Ken L. Madison (6) Solid Waste Comp. Durming Referred to Refe	arrell, Leon (5)	Updated	Flood Plain		Order/Penalty	Referred Case Filed	5/22/89 6/19/89 7/28/89
DMCO, et. al. Solid Waste Cover Violations Administrative Order Referred 7/19 Prohibited Discharge Failure to Report Referred to Hazardous Condition Attorney General Referred 6/21 Tank Referred to Referred t	ani-Wash Corporation linton (6)	New	Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge			8/23/89
Prohibited Discharge Septem City (2) Underground Failure to Report Referred to Hazardous Condition Attorney General Referred 6/21 Underground Failure to Report Referred to Hazardous Condition Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred to Referred to	EMCO, et. al.		Solid Waste	Cover Violations	Administrative Order	D. C. 1	7/19/89
urner, Ken Referred to Solid Waste Open Durming Attached to	ouchdown Co., et. al., ebster City (2)			Failure to Report	Referred to Attorney General		5/21/89
5/64	urner, Ken Madison (6)		Solid Waste	Open Dumping			5/21/89
viversity Park, City of (5) Wastewater MIP Order/Penalty Suit Filed 11/1/4	iversity Park City of (5)		TTo add accord				9/28/88

September 1989

Environmental Protection Commission Minutes

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION ATTORNEY GENERAL REFERRALS September, 1989

Name, Location and Region Number	New or Updated	Program	Alleged Violation	DNR Action	Status	Date
Waterhouse, James & Berna Washington County (6)	Updated	Flood Plain	Channel Change	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Suit Filed Trial Set Summary Judgment Granted the State Consent Decree	3/16/87 5/13/87 5/13/88 9/30/88 8/28/89
Wee Willy's Ouasqueton (1)		Drinking Water	Monitoring/Reporting Bacteria & Nitrate	Order/Penalty	Referred Petition Filed	3/21/89 5/08/89
Wilton Steel Processing (6)	Updated	Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Referred to Attorney General	Referred Consent Decree	5/17/88 8/30/89
Winnebago Industries Forest City (2)		Air Quality	Failure to Obtain Permit	Referred to Attorney General	Referred	6/21/89
Wolleson, Robert C. Buena Vista and Cherokee Counties (3)	Updated	Wastewater	Prohibited Discharge	Order	Referred Consent Decree Contempt Finding Contempt Finding Contempt Finding Contempt Finding Contempt Finding Compliance Date Motion for Mittimus	11/24/84 4/25/85 7/02/85 9/25/86 8/24/87 11/14/88 7/01/89 8/29/89
Woolstock, City of	Updated	Wastewater	Monitoring; effluent violations	Order/Penalty	Referred Consent Decree	2/23/89 8/09/89
Yocum, Max Johnson (6)		Flood Plain	Prohibited Construction	Defending Referred to Attorney General	Suit Filed Referred Counter Claim Filed	12/18/84 7/12/85 10/85
					Trial Held Judgment for Department Court of Appeals Affirmed Judgment Further Review Denied Contempt Hearing Rescheduled	6/16/87 8/18/87 11/29/88 2/06/89 9/29/89

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION CONTESTED CASES September, 1989

DATE RECEIVED	NAME OF CASE	ACTION APPEALED	PROGRAM	ASSIGNED TO	STATUS
1-23-86	Oelwein Soil Service	Administrative Order	ww	Landa	Hearing continued.
6-12-86	ADM - Clinton	Administrative Order	Air	Landa	Hearing continued.
12-03-86	City of Waukee	Administrative Order	ws	Hansen	Amended Admin. Order issued.
5-12-87	Iowa City Regency MHP	Administrative Order	WW	Hansen	Hearing held 11-03-87.
6-11-87	Thomas Lennon	Administrative Order	FP	Clark	Appealed to District Court.
8-10-87	Great Rivers Co-op	Administrative Order	HC	Landa	Clean-up completed. Final report requested.
1-15-88	First Iowa State Bank	Administrative Order	SW	Kennedy	Continued. Settlement pending.
1-22-88	IBP, Fort Dodge	NPDES Permit	ww	Hansen	Negotiating before filing.
2-04-88	Beaverdale Heights, Woodsman; Westwood Hills	Administrative Order	SW	Landa	Compliance actions initiated.
2-05-88	Warren County Brenton Bank	Administrative Order	UT	Landa	Phase II submitted and approved.
3-01-88	Cloyd Foland	Administrative Order	FP	Clark	Appealed to District Court.
4-13-88	Land O'Lakes, Inc.	Administrative Order	WW	Murphy	Negotiating before filing.
5-16-88	Marcus, City of	Administrative Order	WS	Landa	Compliance actions completed.
6-22-88	Cindi's Chanti	Administrative Order	ws	Murphy	Settled.
7-01-88	Superior Ideal, Inc.	Administrative Order	WW	Hansen	Hearing continued pending settlement discussions.
7-25-88	Nishna Sanitary Service, Inc.	Permit Conditions	SW	Landa	Compliance initiated.
7-25-88	Aspro, Inc.	Operation Permit	ww	Landa	Dismissed.
8-03-88	Hardin County	Permit Conditions	SW	Landa	Compliance actions initiated.
10-03-88	IBP, Columbus Junction	Administrative Order	WW	Clark	Hearing continued.
10-20-88	Worth Co. Co-Op Oil Northwood Cooperative Elevator Sunray Refining and Marketing Co.	Administrative Order	нс	Landa	Hearing continued. Compliance initiated.
11-14-88	William C. Augustine	Administrative Order	FP	Clark	Negotiating before filing.
11-22-88	Lake Shore Drive, Inc.	Administrative Order	FP	Clark	Hearing continued.

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION CONTESTED CASES September, 1989

DATE	NAME OF CASE	ACTION APPEALED	PROGRAM	ASSIGNED TO	STATUS
12-02-88	Edward Cain	Permit Denial	FP	Clark	Hearing set for 9/27/89.
12-02-88	Davis Co. Board of Supervisors	Administrative Order	AQ	Landa	Hearing continued.
1-25-89	Amoco Oil Co.	Administrative Order	UT	Landa	Settlement proposed. Clean up progressing.
1-30-89	City of New Market	Permit Revision	WS	Hansen	Negotiating before filing.
2-10-89	Northwestern States Portland Cement Company	Site Registry	HW	Landa	Consent order drafted.
2-10-89	Baier/Mansheim/Hoyer	Site Registry	HW	Landa	Hearing-continued.
2-13-89	King's Terrace Mobile Home Court	Administrative Order	ww	Murphy	Negotiating before filing.
2-13-89	King's Terrace Mobile Home Court	Administrative Order	WS	Murphy	Negotiating before filing.
2-16-89	John Deere Co.	Site Registry	HW	Landa	Hearing continued/settlement proposed.
2-16-89	Premium Standard Farms	Administrative Order	WW/AQ	Murphy	Hearing continued.
3-14-89	Dannie R. Hoover and Bill Edwards	Flood Plain Permit Issuance	FP	Clark	Negotiating before filing.
4-13-89	Finlan Landfill	Permit Revocation	SW	Kennedy	Hearing set for 8-24-89.
4-17-89	City of Des Moines	Administrative Order	ww	Murphy	Settlement offer 7-13-89.
4-18-89	Star Coal Company	SWA Denial	SW	Landa	Hearing continued.
4-20-89	Des Moines Metro SLF	Administrative Order	SW	Kennedy	Settlement pending.
5-01-89	Amoco Oil Company	Administrative Order	ur	Landa	Negotiating before filing.
6-07-89	Paul Kloberdanz, d/b/a The Mart	Administrative Order	UI	Landa	Hearing scheduled for 9-12-89.
6-08-89	Shaver Road Investments	Site Registry	HW	Landa	Hearing continued/Discovery initiated.
6-08-89	Hawkeye Rubber Mfg. Co.	Site Registry	HW	Landa	Hearing continued/Discovery initiated.
6-08-89	Lehigh Portland Cement Co.	Site Registry	HW	Landa	Hearing continued/Discovery initiated.
6-08-89	John Deere Dubuque Works	Site Registry	HW	Landa	Appeal withdrawn.
6-08-89	Chicago & NW Transportation Co.	Administrative Order	HC	Landa	Hearing scheduled September 26-28, 1989.
6-08-89	Jay Winders	Permit Denial	FP	Clark	Negotiating before filing.
6-19-89	Grand Mound, City of	Administrative Order	WW	Hansen	Sent to DIA.
6-22-89	Chicago & Northwestern Transporta- tion Co. Hawkeye Land Co. Blue Chip Enterprises	Administrative Order	нс	Landa	Hearing scheduled September 26-28, 1989.
7-11-89	Circle Hill Farms, Ltd.	Administrative Order	SW	Kennedy	Negotiating before filing.
7-19-89	Lehigh Portland Cement Co.	Administrative Order	нс	Landa	Sent to DIA.

Mr. Stokes stated that at the Administrative Rules Review Committee, at their meeting last week, voted to request an economic impact statement on the Water Quality Standards rules. He added that staff will prepare the statement and it will require review and approval by the Commission before it is sent over.

This was an informational item; no action was required.

FINAL RULE--CHAPTER 91, CRITERIA FOR AWARD OF GRANTS

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The department recommends adoption of the rule proposed in the attached Notice of Intended Action.

The notice was approved by the Commission on July 18, 1989. A public hearing was held on August 30, 1989. Only one comment was received regarding the proposal. A representative of the City of Ames provided an informal oral commentat the hearing expressing the City's appreciation for the proposal since the city would benefit from additional funds provided for bonus grants to innovative and alternative projects.

The rule will increase the bonus grant reserve that is available for cities receiving grant funding for projects qualifying as innovative or alternative technology. The previously designated reserve was 4% of the state's allotment and was insufficient to provide the bonus (increasing the grant from 55% to 75%) to all projects qualifying. Some of these projects were small communities which would have suffered significant economic impact. The rule also provides that the small communities receive first consideration for the reserve funds.

(Rule is shown on the following page)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION [567] Adopted Rule

Pursuant to the authority of Iowa Code section 455B.105 and 455B.245, the Environmental Protection Commission adopts amendments to 567--Chapter 91, Criteria for Award of Grants," Iowa Administrative Code.

"Notice of Intended Action was published in Iowa Administrative Bulletin,

August 9, 1989, as ARC 100A.

Public hearing was held on August 30, 1989, and one oral comment supportive of the amendment was received.

This rule is identical to that published as Notice of Intended Action.

This rule will become effective on November 22, 1989.

These rules are intended to implement Iowa Code sections 455B.105 and 455B.241 to 455B.246.

Amend subrule 567--91.7(2)a as follows:

Innovative and alternative reserve -- The Federal Water Pollution Control Act requires that not less than 4 percent nor more than 7 1/2 percent of the state's annual allotment be set aside to increase the federal share of grant awards for projects which utilize innovative or alternative concepts. The state designates 4 7 1/2 percent of its annual allotment for this reserve. Of this amount, not less than 1/2 2 1/4 percent of the state's annual allotment must be used for projects utilizing innovative processes. These funds will be used to increase the basic grant amount by as much as 20 percent to a maximum limit of 85 percent. Innovative and alternative reserve projects receiving 55 percent grant will get a 20 percent increase and those projects which after October 1, 1984, continue to get 75 percent will get a grant increase of 10 percent to 85 percent grant funding. The state will obligate these funds first to projects funded with the small community alternative reserve, then to any projects on the fundable list on a first-come basis until this reserve is depleted or remaining funds are reallotted.

Adopted this _____ day of September, 1989.

Larry J. Wilson, Director

(A:EP91.MIN/pg/248A-89)

Motion was made by Nancylee Siebenmann to approve Final Rule--Chapter 91, Criteria for Award of Grants. Seconded by Mike Earley. Motion carried unanimously.

REFERRALS TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Mike Murphy, Bureau Chief, Legal Services Bureau, presented the following item.

The Director requests the referral of the following to the Attorney General for appropriate legal action. Litigation reports have been provided to the Commissioners and are confidential pursuant to Iowa Code section 22.7(4).

Lehigh Clay Products. et al. (Lehigh) - water pollution (tabled) Stickle Enterprises, Ltd., et al. (Cedar Rapids) - air pollution Gordon Sevig (Walford) - water pollution (tabled) Land O' Lakes, Inc. (Ellsworth) - water pollution Milo Chalfant, et al. (Webster City) - solid waste/penalty City of Graf - penalty collection
Bell Watchers, Inc. (Victor) - water pollution Albert Schultz/Iowa Iron Works, Inc. (Ely) - solid waste

Stickle Enterprises, Ltd.

Mike Murphy, Bureau Chief, Legal Services Bureau, stated that this matter involves violation of air pollution control laws against open burning. Hawkeye Land Company owns property near the City of Washington and in late June, through Stickle Enterprises, they were clearing this property. On June 21, a complaint was received by the department that trees, brush, used railroad ties, and telephone poles were being burned there. Apparently, there was confusion about what the city told Hawkeye they could burn. On June 21, DNR staff clarified what could be burned there and the burning continued for two days thereafter. Mr. Murphy stated that referral is recommended for injunctive relief, to collect appropriate civil penalties, and to require cleanup of the remaining waste.

APPOINTMENT - JOHN BIRDWELL (Stickle Enterprises)

John Birdwell, Attorney for Stickle Enterprises, Ltd. and Hawkeye Land Company, stated that this was a situation where the right hand did not know what the left hand was doing due to the unavailability of an employee. He then asked Mike Reeve to explain what happened.

APPOINTMENT - MIKE REEVE (Hawkeye Land Company)

Mike Reeve stated that he was notified by a representative who was cleaning the property that a DNR field agent stopped by and expressed concern about materials that were being burned. Mr. Reeve added that he understood it was okay to continue to burn as long as railroad ties, light poles, and a few other items were segregated. He noted that he instructed his operator to pull out those materials. He stated that when they continued to burn, those materials were not pulled out to the extent the DNR would have liked. He related that they did not purposely or knowingly want to violate the open burning statute.

Nancylee Siebenmann asked about a reference in the litigation report when DNR tried to get a response from Hawkeye and was unable to do so.

Reeve responded that he was on vacation and could not be reached that day and his staff did not want to comment in his absence.

Margaret Prahl asked about whose responsibility it was to get the appropriate permits and to check the regulations for activity.

Mr. Reeve replied that he is responsibile for doing so, and he checked with the City Attorney of Washington to coordinate what he thought were the necessary permissions to go ahead and open burn.

APPOINTMENT - CRAIG ARBUCKLE (City of Washington)

Craig Arbuckle, City Attorney for the City of Washington stated that Mr. Reeve contacted him after the city had asked Hawkeye Land to correct the problem of the abandoned stockyards. He related that in June, Mr. Reeve asked if there were any local provisions, ordinances, statutes or regulations on burning, and he informed Mr. Reeve that the city did not have any. Mr. Arbuckle stated that he did initiate an inquiry with the local DNR office as to their regulations, and DNR said that if anything substantial was to be burned, it could only be done by declaring it a practice fire exercise. He stated that the fire department could not help them with the fire exercise and that information was passed on to Mr. Reeve. Mr. Arbuckle noted that he also told Mr. Reeve that there were no local ordinances, and it was strictly a matter of State regulations.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl for referral to the Attorney General's Office. Seconded by Mike Earley. Motion carried unanimously.

CONSTRUCTION GRANT PRIORITY LIST - FY 90, APPROVAL

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The department recommends that the Commission adopt the proposed Fiscal Year 1990 Construction Grants State Project Priority List approved by the Commission at its July meeting for a public hearing.

A hearing was held on August 30, 1989. Ten people attended the hearing with four making comment presentations. One written comment was received. The comments have been addressed in a responsiveness summary which recommends that no changes be made to the proposal.

The priority list is developed pursuant to DNR rule Chapter 91. Should the Commission approve the list, it will be submitted to EPA for approval and used by the state to distribute fiscal 1990 federal grant funds when they are appropriated.

(List and comments shown on the following 32 pages)

PROPOSED

STATE OF IOWA

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

FISCAL YEAR 1990

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS FUNDING SUMMARY

The attached funding summary is condensed from the proposed Fiscal Year 1990 State Project Priority List. It includes, in priority order, projects anticipated to be funded with available federal allotments through Fiscal Year 1990. Projects from the Fiscal Year 1989 Fundable List which have not yet been awarded a grant are shown in the first column. Projects in the second column comprise the proposed Fiscal Year 1990 Fundable List. The Fiscal Year 1990 Fundable List is based on an allotment of \$12,629,800 for Fiscal Year 1990.

This assumed allotment is equivalent to the Fiscal Year 1989 allotment received from actual Congressional appropriations. It is emphasized that grant funding for the projects on the proposed Fiscal Year 1990 list is dependent on actual appropriations and allotments to Iowa. An allotment less than \$12,629,800 to Iowa may require the removal or grant adjustment of projects on the Fiscal Year 1990 Fundable List. Appropriation of the full authorization of the Clean Water Act would provide an estimated allotment of \$16.1 million to Iowa and may allow projects to be added to the list or grant amounts increased in some cases. The administration's budget proposal to appropriate one-third of authorized funding would likely require the removal of Laurel and Portsmouth and would reduce the grant available to Winterset substantially.

FY 1989 - FY 1990

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS FUNDING SUMMARY

			D EPA GRANT FANCE ***
STEP	PROJECT	FY 1989	FY 1990
_3	Des Moines ICA (segmented)	2,250,000	7,577,850
3	Ames		
3	Marshalltown .	2,365,000	
4	Nevada	1,056,000	
4	Iowa Falls		435,600
4	Winterset		985,600
4	West Chester */**		391,050
4	Harvey */**	336,870	
4	Laurel */**		458,200
4	Cumming */**	145,750	
4	St Marys */**	295,680	
4	Portsmouth */**		395,780
FIS	CAL YEAR FUNDING ESTIMATE	\$6,449,300	\$10,244,080

PROJECT STEP KEY

- 3 Construction
- 4 Combination grant for design and construction. Available only when the grant amount is less than \$3 million, the project has not been segmented, and the population is under 25,000.
- * Unsewered community
- ** Small community-alternative technology
- *** Grant amount shown is the basic 55% (or 75%) grant. A project may also qualify for innovative/alternative bonus funding.

FY 1990 SUMMARY OF FUNDS

I,	Estimated EPA Assistance Required				
	A. Estimated assistance for projects			;	\$16,693,380
	B. Designated reserve for grant increases				2,691,531
	C. Reserve for grant increases for alternative technology	FY 1989 FY 1990	221,022 663,065	**************************************	884.087
	D. Reserve for grant increases for innovative technology	FY 1988 FY 1989	89,862 284,170		
		FY 1990	284,170		658,202
	E. Reserve for state management assistance 205(g)	FY 1989 FY 1990	657,024 657,024		1,314,048
	F. Reserve for water quality management 205(j)(1)	FY 1989 FY 1990	126,298 126,298		252,596
	G. Reserve for non-point source management 205(j)(5)	FY 1988 FY 1989 FY 1990	\$ 210,850 126,298 126,298		463,446
	H. Reserve for advances of allowances (no need projected	FY 1989)			
	Total grant needs				\$22,957,290
II.	FY 90 Non-additive Set-Aside Reserve Funds				
	A. FY 1990 reserve for alternative systems for small comm	unities	\$	505,192	
	B. FY 1990 quota for unsewered communities		\$	631,490	
III.	Available Funds				
	A. FY 72-87 Carryover (5/01/89)			\$	6,827,711
	B. FY 1988 Allotment Balance (5/01/89)				987,312
	C. FY 1989 Allotment Balance (5/01/89)			u.	2,512,467
	D. FY 1990 Allotment Assumption				12,629,800
					\$22,957,290

D:FY90.C

STATE OF IOWA

IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

FISCAL YEAR 1990

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

The following list contains detailed information for fundable projects in Fiscal Year 1990. It also shows the priority rankings of all other projects which may be eligible for EPA grant funding but cannot be funded with available funds.

Pages 1 through 3 comprise the fundable list.

A summary of funds on Page 4 shows how available fund balances are proposed to be distributed.

Pages 5 through 6 list the subsequent steps or phases of projects which have been initiated with grants assistance.

Pages 7 through 9 show the relative rankings of all other projects which are not fundable.

COLUMN

DESCRIPTION

Priority Rank Priority Points

Priority Rank - This is a sequential order of priorities by project and step.

Priority Points - This is the point source rating according to the criteria contained in 91.10(455B).

Applicant Legal Name County Name Street Address City, Zip Code

Identification of the eligible applicant.

<u>Permit No.</u> Auth/Fac No. Permit No. - Iowa NPDES discharge permit most closely releated to the applicant's project. If the project does not have municipal wastewater treatment and collection facilities, "UNSEWERED" is entered.

Auth/Fac No. - An identifying number for the facility used in the national Needs Survey conducted by EPA. If multiple authorities exist, the word "MULTIPLES" is entered in place of the authority/facility number.

Grant No.
Parent
Project

This is the grant number of the predecessor step or project for this project.

Project Number

This is the grant number including a state assigned facility need number and sequence number. The sequence number is the last two digits and indicates the number of the grant award to the applicant under the assigned facility need number (O1 indicates first grant award, O2 second, etc.).

Project Step
Type

Project Step

3 - Construction

4 - Combination grant for design and construction

Type

N - New grant award (O1 sequence number)

C - Continuation grant award (other than 01 sequence number)

State Cert.

Date (year-month-day) by which State anticipates the grant application will be forwarded to EPA for grant award. A preceding F signifies an actual endorsement date, and P indicates a projected target date.

Proj. Desc.

Project Description

T - Wastewater treatment facility

IT - Interceptor sewer integral to the treatment works as well as a treatment facility

Rehab - Cost effective sewer system rehabilitation related to tratment works

I(T) - Interceptor sewer construction in lieu of, or an integral phase of treatment works construction, assigned a treatment priority ranking

EB - Equalization basin

Relief - Relief sewers to transport nonexcessive I/I to treatment facilities

Coll - Sanitary sewer collection system

Storm Sewer - Cost effective removal of inflow sources; reconnection to storm sewers

A:FY89.C

Sml Comm

Identification of a project as eligible for increased grant funding from the reserve set aside for small communities proposing alternative to conventional wastewater handling systems. R indicates an eligible community of 3,500 people or less. D indicates eligibility of a sparsely populated area of a larger municipality.

Innov Eliq Cost Altern Eliq Cost Innov Elig Cost - Projected portion of a project qualifying as innovative technology by EPA quidelines.

Altern Elig Cost - Projected portion of a project qualifying as alternative technology by EPA quidelines.

Total Eligible Cost

Projected costs eligible for EPA grant particiption.

Est EPA Assist

Estimated amount of EPA grant assistance required for the project.

Eliq Cost by Needs Cat

Category:

I - Secondary Treatment

II - More Stringent Treatment

IIIA - Infiltration/Inflow Correction

IIIB - Major Sewer System Rehabilitation

IVA - New Collectors and Appurtenances

IVB - New Interceptors and Appurtenances

V - Correction of Combined Sewer Overflows

Enf Req

Enforceable requirement to be satisfied by the project:

- A Project satisfies the conditions or limitations of a Section 402 or 404 permit which, if violated, would result in the issuance of a compliance order or initiation of a civil or criminal action under Section 309 of the Clean Water Act.
- B Permit has not been issued, but project satisfies a condition or limitation which would be included in the permit when issued.
- C Permit is not applicable but project satisfies a requirement anticipated to be necessary to meet applicable criteria for best practicable waste treatment technology.
- D Project does not meet an enforceable requirment of the Act.
- Y The project, in its entirety, satisfies the enforceable requirements of the Act for the condition stated in the preceding character position.
- P Portions of the project do not satisfy the enforceable requirement of the condition stated in the preceding character position.

FISCAL YEAR 1990

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

State: Iowa EPA Region: 07

6	Enf Reg	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY	AY
Page 1 of	Elig Cost by Needs Cat (YO-Y6)	IVB 3,000,000 AY	IVB 4,573,800 AY	IVB 2,390,000 AY	1 3,140,000		2,150,000 AY
	Est EPA Assist (19)	2,250,000 1	3,430,350 1	1,792,500 1	2,355,000	ţ	2,365,000 I
	Total Eligible Cost (29)	3,000,000	4,573,800	2,390,000	3,140,000		4,300,000
·	Innov Elig Cost (Y7) Altern Elig Cost (Y8)					3,605,000	
	Sn.1 Comm (33)					The second secon	
	Proj Desc (20)	I Phase 5 Seg 4D	I Phase 5 Seg 4A & 4B	I Northwest	T Phase 3	£.	[- 4
	St Cert (A5)	P 890831	P 891231	P 891231	P 900331	P 891031	P 890831
	Step (87) Type (04)	. က. ပ	ოს	ოს	ოυ	ကပ	ო ∪
	Project Number (02, 01, 54) 03 = 0	C190709 61	C190709 62	C190790 09	0190790 10	C190736 O6 Amend 5	C190746 04
	Grant Number Parent Project (B2)	IA7727001 C190709 10 MULTIPLES	IA7727001 C190709 10 MULTIPLES	IA7709001 C190709 10 MULTIPLES	MULTIPLES C190709 10 C190790 10	C190736 01 C190736 06 Amend 5	C190746 01 C190746 04
	Permit Number (C2) Auth/Fac. No. (32)	IA7727001 MULTIPLES	IA7727001 MULTIPLES	IA7709001 MULTIPLES	IA7709001 MULTIPLES	IA8503001 190023001	IA6469001 MULTIPLES
07	Applicant Legal Name Permit Rank (59) Street Address C2) Priority City, State Zip Code Auth/Fac. Points (H8) (12, 15, 51, 14, 13, 52) No. (32)	City of Des Moines Polk County East First & Locust Des Moines IA 50307	City of Des Moines Polk County East First & Locust Des Moines IA 50307	City of Ankeny Polk County 211 SW Walnut Ankeny IA 50021	City of Ankeny Polk County 211 SW Walnut Ankeny IA 50021	City of Ames Story County 621 Main Street Ames IA 50010	City of Marshalltown 24 N Center Street Marshalltown IA 50158
EPA Region:	Priority Rank (59) Priority Points (H8)	650.24	650.24	650.24	0080 650.24	0165	0190

FISCAL YEAR 1990

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

Iowa 07

State: EPA Region: Enf Reg

678,900 AY 113,100 AY 987,000 AY 200,000 AY 605,000 AY 291,500 BY 419,500 D 199,000 BY 413,500 D 383,200 BY 449,900 D 1,920,000 AY ų, ~ Elig Cost by Needs Page . (YO-Y6) Cat IIIIA IVB I IVA IVA I IVA 435,600 985,600 391,050 336,870 391,050 1,920,000 1,056,000 Est EPA Assist (13) 792,000 833,100 711,000 Eligible 612,500 1,792,000 Cost (29) Total Innov Elig Cost (Y7) Altern Elig 200,000 177,550 307,450 Cost (Y8) 441,500 Innov Collin (33) Sel ρ4 24 æ Proj Desc (20) IT Rehab 7 (6) 7 (9]] . 당 급 日 900630 900630 P 890831 P 900831 P 900630 P 890831 St Cert (A5) Ω, М (87) Type (04) 40 4 0 40 4 O 4 2 4 % C190753 02 (02, 01, 54) IA8562001 C190845 01 C190845 04 190603001 C190743 02 C191235 02 C191308 01 03 = 0 0191045 01 Project Number UNSEMERED C191235 01
NO NUMBER IA6171001 C190743 01 190934001 1A4260001 C190753 01 190410001 Project Parent Number Grant **(B2)** UNSEWERED 191029001 Auth/Fac. (12, 15, 51, 14, 13, 52) No. (32) UNSEMERED 190461001 Permit Number City, State Zip Code 52359 Applicant Legal Name County Name Owa Falls IA 50126 Winterset IA 50273 City of West Chester City of Iowa Falls 315 Stevens Street City of Winterset Washington County Earvey 1A 50119 IÀ City of Nevada 1209 6th Street Laurel IA 50141 levada IA 50201 Street Address 101 E Jefferson Marshall County Madison County Hardin County City of Harvey City of Laurel West Chester Marion County P 0 Box 126 Main Street Box 115 Points (H8) Rank (59) Priority Priority 0210 190.88 254.11 0220 147.27 0840 1325

FISCAL YEAR 1990

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

£ 9	Enf Reg	BY D	BY	BY
Page 3 of 9	Elig Cost by Needs Cat (YO-Y6)	78,000 BY 187,000 D	206,700 BY 330,900 D	178,900 BY 540,700 D
	EJ	I IVA	I IVA	IVA
	Est EPA Assist (19)	145,750	295,680	395,780
ere skossorisko oznave	Total Eligible Cost (29)	265,000	537,600	719,600
	Sml Cost (Y7) Comm Altern Elig	209,800	111,500	620,450
	Sm1 Comm (33)	p4	PK PK	æ
	Proj Desc (20)	C 60 11	Coll	T Coll
	St Cert (A5)	P 890831	P 890831	P 900831
	Step (87) Type (04)	4 X	7' X	4 O
	Project Step Number (87) (02, 01, 54) Type 03 = 0 (04)	C191273 O1	0191029 01	UNSEWERED C190994 01 C190994 02
	Grant Number Parent Project (B2)			C190994 01
	Permit Number (C2) Auth/Fac.	UNSEWERED 190198001	UNSEWERED 190768001	UNSEWERED 190604001
07	Priority County Name Permit	City of Cumming Warren County City Hall Cumming IA 50061	City of St Marys Warren County City Hall St Marys IA 50241	City of Portsmouth Shelby County Portsmouth IA 51565
EPA Region: 07	Priority Rank (59) Priority Points (H8)	1400	1410	1420

State: Iowa EPA Region: 07

Page 4 of	\$16,693,380	2,691,531	884,087	658,202	1,314,078	252,596	463,446	\$22,957,290					\$ 6,827,711 987,312 2,512,467 12,629,800 \$22,957,290
			\$ 221,022 \$ 663,065	\$ 89,862 \$ 284,170 \$ 284,170	s 657,024 s 657,024	s 126,298 s 126,298	\$ 210,850 \$ 126,298 \$ 126,298			\$ 505,192	\$ 631,490	T	
OF FUNDS			FY 1989 Allotment FY 1990 Allotment	FY 1988 Allotment FY 1989 Allotment FY 1990 Allotment	FY 1989 Allotment FY 1990 Allotment	FY 1988 Allotment FY 1990 Allotment	FY 1988 Allotment FY 1989 Allotment FY 1990 Allotment						
FY 1990 FUNDABLE LIST SUMMARY O	A. Estimated assistance for projects on fundable list	B. Designated reserve for grant increases	C. Reserve for grant increases for alternative technology	D. Reserve for grant increases for innovative technology	E. Reserve for state management assistance 205(g)	F. Reserve for water guality management 205(j)(1)	G. Reserve for non-point source management 205(j)(5)	H. Reserve for advances of allowances (no need projected for FY 1989) Total grant needs	II. FY 90 Non-additive Set-Aside Reserve Funds	A. Reserve for alternative systems for small communities	B. Quota for unsewered communities	III. Available Funds	A. FY 72-87 Carryover B FY 1988 Allotment Balance (5/01/89) C. FY 1989 Allotment D. FY 1990 Allotment Assumption

FISCAL YEAR 1990

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

ما

Enf

3,538,100 AY 3,741,400 AY 3,100,000 AY 2,200,000 AY 2,900,000 AY 2,038,000 AY 5 of Elig Cost by Needs Page (YO-Y6) Cat 3,538,100 2,653,570 IVB 3,741,400 2,806,050 IVB 2,900,000 2,175,000 IVB 2,038,000 1,528,500 IVB 2,200,000 1,650,000 IVB 3,100,000 2,325,000 Est EPA Assist (13) Eligible Cost Total (53) Comm Altern Elig (33) Cost (Y8) Innov Elig Cost (Y7) Smi Pleasant Phase 10 Segment 5 Phase 9 Phase 8 Westside M Br FM Phase 5 So Tier Fourmile Saylor Creek Phase 6 Phase 7 Beaver Proj Desc (20) Creek Hi11 St Cert (A5) Step (87) 17Pe (04) ကပ ကပ ကပ ကပ ကပ က်ပ (02, 01, 54) C190709 63 C190709 64 C190709 65 C190709 66 IA7727001 C190709 10 C190709 67 MULTIPLES C190709 68 03 = 0 Number Project IA7727001 C190709 10 IA7727001 C190709 10 IA7727001 C190709 10 MULTIPLES IA7727001 C190709 10 MULTIPLES IA7727001 C190709 10 MULTIPLES Number Parent Project (B₂) Grant MULTIPLES MULTIPLES Auth/Fac. No. (32) Number Permit (12, 15, 51, 14, 13, 52) City, State Zip Code Applicant Legal Name Des Moines IA 50307 East First & Locust East First[®]& Locust City of Des Moines Street Address County Name Polk County Polk County Polk County Polk County Polk County Polk County Points (H8) Rank (59) Priority Priority 80 650.24 0110 650.24 650.24 0120 650.24 0130 650.24 0140 650.24

Iowa 07

State: EPA Region:

FISCAL YEAR 1990

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

State: Iowa EPA Region: 07

6	Enf Reg	AY	AY
Page 6 of 9	Elig Cost by Needs Cat (YO-Y6)	2,200,000 1,650,000 IVB 2,200,000 AY	400,000 AY
	E .O.	IXB	IVB
	Est EPA Assist (19)	1,650,000	300,000 IVB
	Total Eligible Cost (29)	2,200,000	400,000
	Sml Cost (Y7) Comm Altern Elig (33) Cost (Y8)		
	Sm1 Conn (33)		
	Proj Desc (20)	I So Tier Phase 10 Seg 3,4	I HH/So Tier Phase 10 Seg 1,2B
	St Cert (A5)		
	Step (87) Type (04)	ოს	ონ
	Project Step Number (87) (02, 01, 54) Type 03 = 0 (04)	IA7727001 C190709 10 C190709 69	IA7727001 C190709 10 C190709 70 MULTIPLES
	Grant Number Parent Project (B2)	C190709 10	C190709 10
	Permit Number (C2) Auth/Fac. No. (32)	IA7727001 MULTIPLES	IA7727001 MULTIPLES
07	Priority County Name Number Rank (59) Street Address (C2) Priority City, State Zip Code Auth/Fac. Points (H8) (12, 15, 51, 14, 13, 52) No. (32)	City of Des Moines Polk County East First & Locust Des Moines IA 50307	City of Des Moines Polk County East First & Locust Des Moines IA 50307
EPA Region: 07	Priority Rank (59) Priority Points (H8)	0150	0160

FISCAL YEAR 1990

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST DISCEARGER RANKING

						Page 7 of 9
Points	Project	Points	Project	Points	Project	Points Project
		29.26	Sully	8.44	Lamoni	3.35 Ferguson *
		23.97	Stacyville	8.40	Jesup	3.25 Graettinger
136.09	Hampton	18.96	Victor	8.38	West Point	3.19 Clear Lake SSD
130.76	Еддемоод	18.80	Colfax	8.24	Danville	3.02 Bennett
123.58	Fort Dodge	18.73	Sunner	7.59	Blairstown	2.94 Anamosa
119.41	Albia	17.90	Corning	7.56	Anita	2.75 Low Moor
105.71	Washington	17.71	Dyersville	7.06	Dunlap	2.51 Preston
90.69	Oskaloosa	16.60	Dows	7.05	Dumont	2.50 Lake Park
55.63	Coralville	14.53	Conrad	6.72	Grinnell Bussey *	2.16 Fort Atkinson *
43.37	Adel	12.82	Wheatland	6.60	Marengo Deep River *	
41.26	Durant	12.09	Опажа	5.18	Wyoming	1.99 Milo
38.14	Cedar Falls	11.16	Goldfield	4.73	Denver	1.81 Decatur City *
36.36	Humboldt	10.92	Martensdale	4.05	Kiron	1.77 Fenton *
36.08	Waterloo	10.79	Moodbine	4.02	Oakland	1.75 Madrid
35.95	Gladbrook	95.6	Missouri Valley	3.93	Wellman	1.75 Joice *
30.23	Carlisle	9.78	Ely	3.60	Millersburg *	1.68 Haverhill *
11						

* Unsewered Community

FISCAL YEAR 1990

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST DISCHARGER RANKING

							Page 8 of	ما
Points	s Project	Points	Project	Points	Project	Points	Project	
1.65	Lone Rock *	.941	Colo	566	Lincoln	.321	Masonville *	Ι
1.58	Moorland *	.908	Scranton	545	Albion	.294	Adair	Γ
1.57	Monticello	.862	Lake View	541	Grand Junction	.278	Grand Mound	T
1.54	Luxemburg *	.847	Maysville *	513	Havelock *	.226	Spragueville	I
1.49	Calmar	.812	Van Horne	507	Russell	.224	Паукеуе	Ι
1.47	Williamsburg	.782	Promise City *	.464	Marne *	.217	Shambaugh	
1.42	Griswold	.769	St Anthony	.462	Rose Hill *	.215	Persia *	
1.37	Palmer *	 .724	Alta Vista	.452	Swaledale *	.198	Farnhamville	Ι
1.34	Evansdale	.714	Oran SSD *	.429	Hedrick	.195	Winthrop	<u> </u>
1.24	Marcus	.643	Riverton *	 .419	Le Grand	.187	Layton	Γ
1.16	Bouton *	 . 633	Webb *	.416	Peosta *	.168	Exline *	Ι
1.05	Numa *	.611	Center Point	.397	Essex			I
86.	Lucas * "	.610	Williamson *	 .391	Grant *	.150	Ossian	Ι
996.	Melrose *	.580	Graf *	390	East Peru *	.148	Gruver *	Γ
. 965	Earlham	.578	Larchwood	.368	Hastings *	.120	Spring Hill *	
. 953	Walnut	.574	State Center	.358	Ayrshire *	660.	Lehigh	1
						A-A]

* Unsewered Community

FISCAL YEAR 1990

CONSTRUCTION GRANTS STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST DISCEARGER RANKING

					Page 9 of 9
Project	Points	Project	Points	Project	Points Project
.098 Readlyn	.052 I	Larrabee	.018	Palo	.001 Eldon
.083 Stanhope	.052	Ollie *	910.	Farragut	.0003 Morley *
.078 Maple Heights SSD *	.045 I	Little Sioux *	.014	Pleasanton *	.0000 Mondamin
McCausland	.042	Clarence	.010	New Liberty *	.0000 Leland
.072 Donahue	3 880.	Shellsburg	.007	Kirkville *	.0000 Newhall
.066 Keota	.038 D	Dexter	.007	Bellevue	.0000 Whittier SSD *
.064 Millerton *	.035	Wapello	900.	Redfield	
.062 Lockridge *	.025 A	Atkins	900.	Alleman *	
Monroe	.025 I	reton	.005	Slater	
.055 Denmark SSD *	.022	Oyens	100.	Harpers Ferry *	
***************************************		<u> </u>			

* Unsewered Community

Responsiveness Summary to the Public Hearing On The Proposed Construction Grant Priority List for FY 1990

The public hearing on the proposed construction grants Priority List for Fiscal Year 1990, was held at 10:30 a.m., August 30, 1989.

The hearing was conducted by Wayne Farrand of DNR staff, who made an opening statement prior to accepting comments. The text of the statement is attached. Ten persons were in attendance excluding DNR personnel. Several comments were presented. One written comment was received prior to the hearing. All comments have been considered on their merit for impact on the priority list proposed by DNR. A summary of each comment, a discussion and a recommendation is included in this Responsiveness Summary.

Based on this summary, the department has concluded that the proposed Fundable List warrants no change and recommends it approval by the Environmental Protection Commission.

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES - OPENING
STATEMENT FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING ON T HE
PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR 1990 CONSTRUCTION GRANTS
STATE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST - PRESENTED BY
WAYNE FARRAND.

A HEARING IS SCHEDULED FOR 10:30 REGARDING A
PROPOSAL BY THE IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL
RESOURCES FOR THE EPA CONSTRUCTION GRANTS
PRIORITY LIST FOR FISCAL YEAR 1990. IT BEING
10:30, I DECLARE THIS HEARING OPEN. NOTICE OF
THIS HEARING WAS PUBLISHED AND DISTRIBUTED ON
OR BEFORE AUGUST 1, 1989, IN A SPECIAL
MAILING TO ALL CITIES, COUNTIES, CONSULTING
ENGINEERS, SANITARY DISTRICTS, COUNCILS OF
GOVERNMENT, AND AREAWIDE PLANNING AGENCIES.
THE NOTICE WAS ALSO PUBLISHED IN THE DES

MOINES SUNDAY REGISTER ON AUGUST 6, 1989.

THE PROPOSED LIST WAS DEVELOPED ACCORDING TO DNR RULE 567--91 WHICH DELINEATES HOW THIS LIST IS TO BE DEVELOPED AND ADMINISTERED.

THE PRIORIRTY LIST IDENTIFIES THE CITIES PROPOSED TO RECEIVE EPA FUNDS IN THE FISCAL YEAR SPECIFIED.

THIS HEARING IS A REQUIREMENT OF EPA TO ALLOW PUBLIC INPUT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE LIST.

IT HAS BEEN PROVIDED TO EPA FOR THEIR COMMENT AND IS SUBJECT TO THEIR APPROVAL. FISCAL YEAR 1990 BEGINS OCT 1, 1989. 1990 IS THE LAST YEAR FOR WCHICH THERE IS AN AUTHORIZATION FOR FUNDING BY CONGRESS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION GRANTS PROGRAM. THE PROPOSED LIST IS BASED ON AN ASSUMED APPROPRIATION THAT WOULD ALLOW AN ALLOTMENT TO IOWA EQUAL

TO THE ALLOTMENT OF 1989, WHICH WAS \$12.6M.

AS OUR NOTICE INDICATED, VARIANCE OF AN ACTUAL APPROPRIATION FROM THIS ASSUMPTION

COULD REQUIRE ALTERATION OF THE NAMES ON THE LIST. IF THIS OCCURS WE DO NOT ANTICIPATE

THE NEED TO HOLD ANOTHER HEARING AS THE RULE IN CHAPTER 91 GOVERNS HOW THE LIST WOULD BE ADMINISTERED. THE SUMMARY LIST SHOWED THE 1989 PROJECTS WHICH TO DATE HAVE NOT YET BEEN FUNDED. FUNDING FOR THESE IS ANTICIPATED SHORTLY BY EPA ACTION. WE WILL NOW ACCEPT COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED EPA CONSTRUCTION GRANTS PRIORITY LIST FOR FISCAL YEAR 1990.

COMMENT SUMMARY

1. <u>Commenter</u>: Randy Van Winkle of Van Winkle and Hart Engineering on behalf of the city of Laurel.

Comment: Submitted orally and in writing at the hearing. Laurel has submitted a grant application and is on the priority list. Laurel would like to amend its application to include in the project sewer service for the cities of Haverhill and Ferguson and provide a regional treatment system. They anticipate this is cost-effective for all three cities. This would require a larger grant based on a project cost of \$1.9 M (\$1.1 M grant) versus a grant of \$470,000 shown on the list. The city would like their amended application to be considered for inclusion on the list. (Comment letter is attached to this summary).

The facility plan for Laurel, which was on file by the Discussion: However, DNR April 1 deadline, did not include Ferguson and Haverhill. rules also state that EPA requirements must be met (cost-effective projects) and the state will approve for funding an entire cost-effective project, subject to available funds. Rules also state that where a joint system is proposed, the project will receive funding consideration at the combined priority ranking of the communities involved. A combined priority would total 4.256 which would place the project at a higher priority than West Chester. The department does not yet have a proposal or grant application for the joint project. The proposed fundable status of Laurel was based on it qualifying as an alternative technology project credited to a federally mandated reserve (4% of total grant funds) for funding small community alternative technology. West Chester Portsmouth are shown as fundable on the list also due to their qualifying The cost of the alternative project for Laurel could for this reserve. affect the fundable status of both West Chester and Portsmouth. With the joint project at the highest priority of the alternative reserve projects and based on the cost estimates in the comment, no other projects would be necessary to satisfy the reserve mandate. However, an actual grant project amendment (revised facility plan) has not been received. revision will need to pass the rigorous technical and eligibility review Only preliminary cost estimates have been to qualify for funding. Since this joint project would have a significant bearing on funding for two other projects, the advisability of a list revision at this time could be questioned. If the list is not adjusted now, but later and before a lower priority project is grant funded, it could eliminate funding for the lower priority project.

An option would be to adopt the list considering all projects on it to be fundable with final grant amounts to be determined. There is actually precedent for this as nearly all projects' grants vary at least somewhat from the priority list estimates after final reviews. Even if reserve funds are fully utilized, there are regular funds undesignated (generally considered for grant adjustments, and variance of initial grants from the amounts shown on the list) which will likely be available.

Recommendation: No change in the proposed list at this time. It should be adopted as the 1990 Fundable List. If a future Laurel project revision

supports funding for an expanded project, priority fundable projects should be continued with funding from any available reserve funds or undesignated regular funds.

2. Commenter: Thomas J. Vilsack, Mayor of Mt. Pleasant, Iowa.

<u>Comment</u>: Received in writing prior to public hearing. The city of Mt. Pleasant protests the list because:

- a. Allotting Des Moines ICA 75% of the funds is unfair to other cities.
- b. Proposed revisions in Water Quality Standards will impact many cities financially. Mt. Pleasant and many others have updated treatment without state and federal money and new requirements warrant placing these cities on the list to help meet the financial burden of revised standards. (Comment letter is attached to this summary).

Discussion:

- a. Previous deliberations in rule making established the cap of grant funds any one project could use at 60% of each year's fund. The ICA grant for FY 1990 is 60% of the anticipated FY 1990 allotment. The list also shows some FY 1989 funding which has not yet been granted. The FY 1989 grants will all be made soon.
- b. Water Quality Standards are not yet revised. Even after adoption, it will be some time before specific impacts will be known. A lengthy implementation period is likely if currently proposed or significant revisions prevail. This will likely extend beyond the allotment period of FY 1990 grant funds making consideration for equitable distribution for this purpose moot. The last year grant funds of FY 1990 are also insignificant compared to the needs that may result from any Water Quality Standards revisions.

Recommendation: No change in the proposed FY 1990 Construction Grants Priority List.

3. Commenter: Scott Fehseke, city of Keokuk.

Comment: Submitted orally and in writing at the public hearing. Keokuk initiated litigations through arbitrations against the designers of their grant funded project for \$6.5 M in damages. Only about \$250,000 was awarded while the city incurred litigation costs of approximately \$1 M. This city claimed grant eligibility of litigation costs and following a lengthy administrative process EPA finally allowed a grant amendment that provided the costs could be grant funded. The city contends that state decisions in allowing this funding have been arbitrary and without Placing the city on the bottom of the priority list is regulatory basis. not appropriate for grant amendments and would not provide the grant The conditional requirements for funding needed for Keokuk's amendment. eligibility set forth by EPA's grant amendment that allowed eligibility of these costs in the project description will be costly to meet by the city and even then, the state's position offers no assurance of reimbursement. The city requests the commission's review of the matter. (Mr. Fehseke

specifically requested that commissioners receive a copy of the city's letter, signed by W. Fred McLaughlin, Mayor of Keokuk. The mayor's letter was addressed to the commission and has been duly forwarded to all members. It is also attached to this summary).

<u>Discussion</u>: As commented, the issue of funding for arbitration costs has been long standing. EPA's grant amendment is based on a deviation request approved by EPA headquarters and allows a deviation from applicable regulations to allow grant funding for the requested costs. The grant amendment written by EPA merely includes litigation costs in the grant project description, as EPA is aware that any grant dollar increase must be endorsed by the state, and therefore the administrative process to consider grant adjustments is up to the state. DNR has established a position in this case.

If, as the city contends, placement on the list is not necessary for grant amendments (grants are commonly amended without "reappearing" on the priority list) their comment on the priority list is not necessary except to assure that all funds are not assigned to new projects to the extent that there is not enough in the grant increase reserve fund to meet their Although this was not mentioned it could be important should the issue be resolved favorably for the city. The city appeals to the commission for resolution. Their request could have occurred at any time, but is perhaps pertinent as DNR has indicated that Keokuk must appear on the fundable list to receive reimbursement. A newly calculated priority rating would presumably put Keokuk out of the fundable picture. this is appropriate action is at issue. DNR's position is based upon the fact that a 75% grant for a million dollar cost item is significant enough to warrant special consideration. Such an amendment to an original grant of 1975 vintage would use 1990 funds for reimbursing litigation costs for resolved damage issues in old projects rather than funding new projects. The city has noted that they are not shown on the proposed priority list. This was due to the fact that the priority would be too low for funding anyway and also the state has no assurance that the city could meet EPA's eligibility conditions if it were made fundable. The city claims that administrative delays in decisions on their issues have essentially ruled out grant availability at this point in the program. The chronology bears out that state decisions were timely and appropriate under applicable regulations. EPA decisions have been very delayed. This was unfortunate but not necessarily significant to the present issue of whether or not to increase Keokuk's grant by approximately \$750,000 to reimburse litigation costs. An earlier low priority assignment would not have had a bearing on fundability by Keokuk working its way up in priority as this is not effectively how the priority system (DNR rules) would apply. grant increase reserve is included on the proposed list which is based on With this reserve, the issue of allotments. appropriateness of a grant increase could take place outside the priority list process.

Recommendation: No change in the proposed priority list is recommended at this time.

4. Commenter: Bill Eftink of Probe, Iowa, landowner near St. Marys, Iowa.

<u>Comment</u>: Concern was expressed that information he had on project changes to the St Marys project could affect the city's priority. The site of the treatment facility has changed. All homework has not been done and perhaps the priority should be lowered until it is. The original proposal is not an accurate description of the project. Costs do not reflect the current project. Commissioners should be aware of these things when considering priorities.

<u>Discussion</u>: Priorities are not normally based on the solution to a problem but the water quality impact of the current situation according to DNR's priority system rules. A fundable priority is not assigned without assurance of a project proposal to correct a problem. Project changes such as site adjustments for a facility do not have a direct bearing on priority. Projects normally go through minor adjustments as they are finalized through facility plan review. The St. Mary's project is an FY 1989 project as yet unfunded (and therefore still appearing on the fundable list). There were siting difficulties involved and DNR must assure planning/siting requirements are met. The St. Marys' project has been endorsed for federal grant funding and should receive its grant soon.

5. Commenter: Bob Howell, Mayor of Winterset.

<u>Comment</u>: Presented orally at hearing. City of Winterset is undertaking a 3 phase improvement plan. The city recognizes its needs and requests consideration for all funds possible. The city is on the fundable list.

<u>Discussion</u>: Winterset is scheduled for funding based on the anticipated allotment equivalent to that for FY 1989. Should actual allotments be less, Winterset could get less funds than presently shown on the list.

Recommendation: No change in the list at this time.

bkp/WWPQ248P01.01 -.05



1701 S. Riverside Drive lowa City, lowa 52240 319-338-4939

August 29, 1989

Mr. Wayne Farrand
Iowa Department of Natural Resources
Henry A. Wallace Building
900 E. Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

Dear Mr. Farrand:

City of Laurel has submitted a facility plan in March 1989, and was placed on the planning list for an EPA Construction Grant. The City has since completed most of the planning process has submitted an application for an EPA Grant in the amount and \$470,367.00. On behalf of the Cities of Haverhill, and Ferguson the City of Laurel would like to amend their grant application to include wastewater collection systems in both Haverhill and Ferguson the required force mains to transport wastewater to a centrally located wastewater treatment lagoon and a larger aerated lagoon capable of treating the wastewater from all three cities. The amended grant application to provide wastewater collection and treatment for all three towns will request that the amount o f the grant bе increased This number is an approximate number and is based \$1,138,160.00. on preliminary cost estimates only. The total project serve all three communities will be approximately \$1,903,320.00.

We believe that serving all three communities with one centrally located wastewater treatment facility is a more cost effective solution than providing collection and treatment facilities individually for each town. Ιt would cost approximately \$2,100,000.00 for individual collection and treatment facilities for all three towns. We will save approximately \$200,000.00 of construction cost by utilizing a single treatment facility. In addition we expect to realize significant savings annually to each of the cities for operation and maintenance of a single wastewater treatment lagoon as opposed to three separate aerated lagoons.

The cost of each individual city's collection system as well as the treatment facilities is tabulated on the attached sheet. costs have been broken down into conventional technology construction costs, and alternative technology construction costs in accordance with IDNR guidelines. The alternative technology items are the following: In the City of Laurel there will be 6 pump stations with small diameter force mains serving several residences which are either on the fringes of the system at elevations which will not enable them to be served by gravity. The cities of Haverhill and Ferguson will have collection systems utilizing septic tanks to remove solids and small diameter gravity sewer mains. The treatment system will be an aerated facultative lagoon with wetland seepage ponds.

Mr. Farrand August 29, 1989 Page 2

We believe that the force mains should be considered alternative treatment technology due to the fact that we will be sharing a single wastewater treatment facility and the force mains are required to provide for this sharing. We have not however, the cost of the force main construction as an included alternative treatment technology because this has not yet been approved by the Department of, Natural Resources. meeting with IDNR personnel to discuss this further in the near future. The remainder of the City of Laurel collection system will be conventional gravity sewers. The table enclosed shows the alternative and conventional costs for each phase of the project as well as cost which will not be eligible which include the land purchase, easements and construction interest. admittedly does not detail the cost estimate or give any further information of the breakdown of the cost, but is intended primarily to request the additional funding due to the grant amendment. Detailed cost estimated will be included with the formal grant amendment when it is submitted.

The cities of Laurel, Haverhill, and Ferguson respectfully request your consideration of this grant amendment as we feel that it is the most cost effective way of providing wastewater treatment and collection facilities for three currently unsewered communities, utilizing alternative construction technology . If you have any questions concerning our proposed grant amendment please direct them to myself at Van Winkle & Hart Engineering. Our phone number is (319) 338-4939.

Randy Wan Winkle, P.E.

Chrismitter At a special meeting of the Laurel

Boy Hamm City Council last night the Council indicated

that they support the grant amendment
in theory but will not approve amending
the grant application until the details of the
agreement are all settled and in writing. We
are proceeding on preparing this agreement.

Convent	ional Innova	tive/Alternative	Not Elidgible
Laurel Collection System	257,039	21,688	65,955
Haverhill Collection System	44,000	205,600	9,700
Ferguson Collection System	_44,000 .	205,600	_9,700
Collection System Construction Totals	345,039	432,888	85,355
Transmission Lines	326,725		
Lagoon		247,750	22,250
Total Project Construction	671,764	680,638	107,605
Design 73,426	87,246		
Legal 64,769	76,860		
Inspection 32,500	55,000		
Interest			53,514
Eligible Total \$842,459	\$899,744		

CITY OF MT. PLEASANT, IOWA

Two Twenty West Monroe Mt. Pleasant, Iowa 52641



The Iris City

August 28, 1989

Wayne Farrand
D.N.R. Henry Wallace Building
900 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0034

Dear Sir:

The City of Mt. Pleasant would like to go on record in protest to the 1990 E.P.A. Construction Grants Project Priority List, for the following reasons:

- 1. To allot Des Moines ICA project approximately 75% of the funds is unfair to the tax payers and sewer users of other cities in the state.
- 2. The proposed Revisions to the Iowa Water Quality Standards will probably impact every city in the state financially, Many of these cities including Mt. Pleasant have already up-dated their treatment facilities without the use of state and federal money, and it now would be equitable to place these cities on the priority list. In order for them to meet the financial burden that may be imposed by these revised standards.

Yours very truly,

Thomas J. Vilsack

Mayor

W. FRED McLAUGHLIN - MAYOR

P. O. BOX 261 KEOKUK, IA. 1882

CHARLES S. ABELL — AT LARGE GARY COLLINS — AT LARGE JAMES SMITH — 1ST WARD

GARY COLLINS — AT LARGE
JAMES SMITH — 1ST WARD
JEFFREY JEFFERS — 2ND WARD
ROBERT GALLOWAY — 3RD WARD

ALDERMEN

E DAVID HARMON — 4TH WARD

JANET FIFE — 5TH WARD

GORDON GUSTAVSON — 6TH WARD

BEN RICHARDSON — 7TH WARD

J. A. FINERTY — CITY CLERK TELEPHONE 319 - 524-2050

August 28, 1989

Iowa Department of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Commission Henry A. Wallace Building Des Moines, IA 50319

Dear Commissioners:

In 1982 the City of Keokuk, in accordance with directions S. EPA and the then Iowa Department of Environmental Quality, initiated a litigation process against Sverdrup Parcel & Associates and Monsanto and Enviro-Chem Systems, the designers of the EPA grant-funded secondary treatment system at the municipal waste water treatment plant. The took place through arbitration proceedings held in late 1983 and early 1984. The arbitration results were very negative for More than \$6.5 million of damages had been claimed, only \$249,862 were awarded in the arbitrators' decision which was rendered in May, 1984. The professional costs which City incurred throughout the litigation process amounted\$992,374.

litigation process, the City made numerous Throughout the for a determination as to the eligibility requests to EPA professional costs and advised EPA and the State throughout litigation process of all costs being incurred. the arbitration decision, the City again requested a amendment from EPA and the State to reimburse the litigation was the beginning of a very long and expensive administrative process for the City, which finally execution of a grant amendment on December 2, 1988 that allowed original the professional costs as part of the process chronology summarizing the major events of this The City contends presented later in this letter. that State's decisions have been arbitrary and not properly upon applicable regulations. Additionally, at no time this appeal process, would the State's representatives attend hearing at EPA, and thus, the City was denied its right to cross examine the government's witnesses--a right which is guaranteed under the applicable regulations.

In a March 31, 1989 letter from Alan Stokes, the City was advised that the State would endorse the grant increase of the

Iowa Department of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Commission August 28, 1989 Page 2 of 5

grant amendment but would do so by placing the City on priority list--at the bottom of the list. We contend that not the proper administration procedure of this amendment since amendments to projects come before projects that have not yet been funded. The State's intention to use sounding cooperative, has the type of process, although effect as the State's past decisions and procedures of handling this matter with the City of Keokuk. In effect, the City Keokuk will receive no funds, since the EPA construction grant Even if this were the proper funding is coming to an end. the City has to have been handled, for this grant amendment receive funding effectively lost its ability to inordinate delays in the administrative process as you will note the chronology presented in this by review of Furthermore, even if the starting at the bottom of the priority list was the proper method of handling this amendment, the not been listed on the "fiscal year of Keokuk has construction grants State project priority list" which is the subject of your August 30, 1989, public hearing.

The chronology that follows summarizes other pertinent activities relative to this dispute.

- In May, 1984 the arbitration decision was received and was substantially unfavorable to the City.
- By letter dated October 12, 1984 the City again requested grant amendment from EPA and the State to reimburse litigation costs.
- By letter of December 17, 1984 EPA Region VII directed the City to request reimbursement of legal cost to the State of Iowa.
- By letter of January 25, 1985, the State denied grant eligibility of the cost.
- By letter dated February 21, 1985 the City appealed the State's January 25, 1985 denial for reimbursement to the State.
- By letter of April 5, 1985 the State denied the appeal by final decision of the Executive Director of the Iowa Department of Environmental Quality (now Iowa Department of Natural Resources--Iowa DNR).

Iowa Department of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Commission August 28, 1989 Page 3 of 5

- \$h
 - By letter of April 18, 1985 the City requested review by the EPA Regional Administrator, Region VII of the State's final decision. An informal conference was held on June 25, 1985 at which the City presented its request for a formal grant amendment.
 - By letter of February 2, 1987 the City objected to the extreme delay in receiving any response from EPA Region VII and submitted supplemental information.
 - By letter of February 20, 1987 the City was advised by EPA Region VII that a decision was projected for issuance by March 31, 1987.
- X
- On October 1, 1987 the EPA Region VII dispute decision official's (DDO) decision was finally rendered pursuant to 40 CFR Part 30, Subpart L, affirming the State's denial of the City's professional cost, but stated that the circumstances demonstrated in the record of this matter might allow a grant deviation and that EPA Region VII was preparing a request to EPA Grants Administration Division for such a deviation.
- By letter of October 28, 1987 the City appealed the EPA Region VII DDO's decision while expressing encouragement by the Region's willingness to recommend a grant deviation. A hearing concerning the City's appeal was deferred while awaiting a decision from EPA concerning the grant deviation.
- By June 1988 still no decision concerning the grant deviation had been received and an informal conference was held on June 28, 1988 concerning the City's appeal of the DDO's decision.
- By memorandum dated October 4, 1988 from Harvey G. Pippin, Jr., Director of EPA Grants Administration Division to EPA Region VII, the grant deviation was allowed and on December 2, 1988 the City executed the Amendment No. 8 and forwarded it to EPA Region VII. The City is working towards preparing the information stated in the grant amendment.
- On January 13, 1989 City representatives met with Iowa Department of Natural Resources (Iowa DNR) and EPA Region VII representatives to determine the practical effect to the City of receiving the grant amendment. Further follow-up to this meeting was sent to Iowa DNR in a March 13, 1989 letter from the City.

Iowa Department of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Commission August 28, 1989 Page 4 of 5

- By letter of March 20, 1989, the City received the Regional Administrator's review affirming the DDO's decision. It is this final action by the Regional Administrator that is now the subject of this current appeal.
- By letter of March 31, 1989 the State advised the City that it will endorse the grant increase of the grant amendment by placing the City on its priority list--at the bottom of the list.

The purpose of this letter has not been to set forth legal arguments, but rather to inform you, the Commissioners, of the difficulties encountered by the City of Keokuk in this The City is a small unit of local government, that, due having personnel, is and changing limited resources difficulty maintaining the staying power to pursue this process with the State and EPA. Completely fulfilling the requirements of the grant amendment will be a costly item for City, and still only offers the prospect of further prolonged administrative processes without the hope of receiving The City acted in good faith in 1982 when it initiated We regret this process under directions from EPA and the State. position with the Iowa Department of being in this a cooperative relationship in en.joy Resources with which we other areas. We do request your sincere review of this and appeal to you in developing a solution to the satisfaction of the City, DNR, and EPA without having to pursue further legal and administrative procedures.

Very truly yours,

W. Fred MoLaughlin, Mayor

de:DW4/DOC/IDNR-E

Iowa Department of Natural Resources Environmental Protection Commission August 28, 1989 Page 5 of 5

CC: Regional Administrator
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region VII
726 Minnesota Avenue
Kansas City, KS 66101

Wayne Farrand, P. E.

Iowa Department of Natural Resources
Henry A. Wallace Building
900 East Grand
Des Moines, IA 50319

Carl McMurray, Esquire City Attorney P. O. Box 906 Keokuk, IA 52632

Scott Fehseke, P. E. City of Keokuk P. O. Box 268 Keokuk, IA 52632

Donald G. Gavin, Esquire Wickwire, Gavin & Biggs, P. C. Suite 400, 8230 Boone Boulevard Vienna, VA 22180-2669

Mr. Stokes explained the funding for these grants.

Discussion took place regarding regional type treatment; the Keokuk project and litigation cost funding; the Grant Increase Reserve Fund and how it works; and the Laurel project.

Motion was made by William Ehm to approve the Construction Grant Priority List for FY 90. Seconded by Mike Earley. Motion carried unanimously.

Mike Earley requested that staff present a briefing at the October meeting in regards to funding costs on the Keokuk project.

Mr. Stokes stated that it would be appropriate to invite representatives of EPA to explain their position on past actions on the Keokuk case as well.

REFERRALS TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL (Continued)

Gordon Sevig

Mike Murphy noted that at last month's meeting the Commission chose to delay this case for 30 days. Mr. Murphy circulated photos showing where the swine waste from a storage lagoon went directly through a hose into a tile line and discharged into a An inspector with DNR observed solids in the stream at the location of the tile outlet. Samples were taken upstream and downstream documenting the pollution of the stream. He pointed out that there are either civil or criminal sanctions available for this type of violation. Mr. Murphy stated that Mr. Sevig owns this property in Benton County and his son-in-law, Mr. Shrader, lives there. He stated that staff feel this is a serious violation when the discharge went directly into the stream.

APPOINTMENT - JANE MC ALLISTER (Gordon Sevig Referral)

Jane McAllister, Attorney for Gordon Sevig, addressed Commission stating that Mr. Sevig is here today because he understands the serious nature of this case. Mrs. McAllister explained that since she has been informed by the Attorney General's Office that a criminal investigation is already ongoing in this matter, she has advised Mr. Sevig not to make a statement today. She stated that, basically, the one issue here is that someone took an action to put a hose from a sewage lagoon into a tile line, and the question is - who did it? She noted that Mr. Sevig owns the land and part of the hogs that are confined in the area, but he does not operate the facility. His full time operator and manager of the facility is Mr. Shrader, who is Mr. Sevig's son-in-law. Mrs. McAllister stated that Mr. Sevig had no knowledge that the hose had been connected to discharge into the tile line. She added that Mr. Sevig is on the property several times a week, but is not in the area of the lagoon and would have no reason to have seen the hose connected or operating. She noted that after the inspection Mr. Sevig personally disconnected the line. She expanded on factual information regarding pipe size and the type of discharge coming from the pipe. She pointed that in the area where the samples were taken there is another piece of property where the creek flows through and hogs (owned by neighbors) are allowed to roam freely. Mrs. McAllister also discussed policy issues relating to the purpose of criminal law. She asked the Commission not to refer this case.

William Ehm asked if Mr. Sevig has a written agreement with Mr. Shrader for management of the facility.

Mrs. McAllister replied that it is a verbal working relationship involving only the livestock on the farm. The hogs are jointly owned by Mr. Sevig and Mr. Shrader, with Mr. Sevig owning two-thirds of them.

Mr. Murphy commented that there appears to be factual disputes in this case and possibly some legal questions about who is liable, but as far as referral of the matter there is no question, and these disputes can be resolved by the Attorney General's Office. He added that the department was only recently made aware of Mr. Sevig's contention that Mr. Shrader was responsible and up until it appeared to staff that Mr. Sevig was accepting responsibility.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl for referral to the Attorney General's Office with the stipulation that the son-in-law's name be added to the alleged violations in the referral. Seconded by Gary Priebe. Motion carried unanimously.

Albert Schultz/Iowa Iron Works, Inc.

Mike Murphy stated that staff investigated an open dump complaint from the Linn County sanitarian in May 1989. The complaint was in regards to open dumping on the Schultz property which is owned by Albert Schultz and his wife. The investigation disclosed that Iowa Iron Works had been disposing of foundry sand at this location, which was an old gravel pit. Additionally, Iowa Iron Works had used some of their equipment to help cover the waste with its foundry sand. Mr. Murphy stated that the magnitude of this dump is mind-boggling. He noted that the inspector tried to talk to Mr. Schultz as well as to serve an investigation report to him, and he would not accept it. He also would not accept mail or personal delivery and the department therefore anticipated there would be no cooperation in getting the area cleaned up. Mr. Murphy stated that referral is being sought for

appropriate legal proceedings. Mr. Murphy commented that Iowa Iron Works has expressed a measure of cooperation so far, but referral is sought to insure that cooperation continues, rather than for punitive action.

APPOINTMENT - KEVIN SHEA (Albert Schultz/Iowa Iron Works, Inc.)

Shea, Attorney representing Albert Schultz, gave a Kevin background on Mr. Schlutz stating that he is 81 years old, lives alone, and has always had a concern for the area and the environment. Mr. Schultz had wanted to fill in the hole for a long time, and he let people dump there but he had set rules that would be put in the hole that would damage the environment. Mr. Shea stated that because of Mr. Schultz age he could not police the area and locals have been dumping all kinds of things there. Mr. Shea noted that Mr. Schultz has been working with Iowa Iron Works to get the area cleaned up. Mr. Shea noted that Mr. Schultz is not a man of great means and referral would be counterproductive.

Nancylee Siebenmann asked why Mr. Schultz returned the letters marked "refused."

Mr. Shea responded that he would not try to explain Mr. Schultz attitudes and opinions, but that he feels Mr. Schultz attitude is changing and he is realizing everyones concern that it is not a case of trying to single him out. In conclusion, Mr. Shea stated that he feels the department can work with Mr. Schultz and he should be given further opportunity to try to work the matter out under the administrative law.

Rozanne King asked if the hole was already on the land when Mr. Schultz purchased the land.

Mr. Schultz daughter stated that after her dad purchased the land, Martin-Marietta agreed to pay him a certain amount per load then they sold it to the county. sand, and Martin-Marietta never paid Mr. Schultz for the sand so her dad told them they could not take anymore sand. She related that her dad had a contract with Martin-Marietta in which they agreed to fill in the hole after removing the sand. She added that Martin-Marietta would not fill in the hole since her dad told them they could not take anymore sand out. She stated that subsequently, Iowa Steel said that they would fill up the hole and cover it with topsoil and they never did it either. She related that there was a written contract but her dad does not know where it is.

Mike Murphy stated that there may be some liability with Martin-Marietta. Also, a number of individuals have been dumping there and if anyone can be identified they would also be included in liability.

Mr. Murphy stated that in relating back to the cooperation issue, the only correspondence accepted by Mr. Schultz was through the sheriff's office with personal delivery. Mr. Murphy pointed out that referral to the Attorney General does not preclude the possibility of negotiated settlement. The Attorney General's Office can develop more facts about this case and the responsibilities of other parties.

Motion was made by Mike Earley for referral to the Attorney General's Office. Seconded by Rozanne King. Motion carried unanimously.

Lehigh Clay Products, et al.

Mr. Murphy briefed the Commission on the history of this case. He stated that this case was brought before the Commission two months ago, and the Commission delayed the case for 60 days to allow Mr. McHose time to buy some equipment so he could do the required work. Mr. Murphy stated that Mark Landa of the DNR's legal staff spoke with Mr. McHose three weeks ago and reminded him of the meeting today, and since then staff has not heard from him.

Motion was made by Gary Priebe for referral to the Attorney General's Office. Seconded by Margaret Prahl. Motion carried unanimously.

Land O'Lakes

Mr. Murphy briefed the Commission on the history of this case.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl for referral to the Attorney General's Office. Seconded by Rozanne King. Motion carried unanimously.

Milo Chalfant, et al.

Mr. Murphy briefed the Commission on the history of this case. He stated that referral is sought to collect penalties and require cleanup of the dump.

Motion was made by Margaret Prahl for referral to the Attorney General's Office. Seconded by Mike Earley. Motion carried unanimously.

City of Graf

Mr. Murphy stated that the city has paid a settlement amount, therefore the referral is withdrawn.

Bell Watchers, Inc.

Mr. Murphy briefed the Commission on the history of this case involving inadequate wastewater treatment and monitoring of the system. He noted that there had been two Administrative Orders issued in the past and it appeared for awhile that compliance was being achieved. Problems later developed and effluent quality is exceptionally poor at this time, and they have failed to follow through with the necessary improvements.

Motion was made by William Ehm for referral to the Attorney General's Office. Seconded by Margaret Prahl. Motion carried unanimously.

PROPOSED RULE--CHAPTER 39 REVISIONS, REQUIREMENTS FOR PROPERLY PLUGGING ABANDONED WELLS

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The Commission is requested to approve publication of the Notice of Intended Action for hearings to be held December 11, 12, and 13, 1989 at Cedar Rapids, Denison and Des Moines. The proposed rules describe the method for plugging abandoned wells and implement Senate File 441 that passed earlier this year. The proposed changes are;

- There shall be Class 1, Class 2 and Class 3 wells, as defined in the bill. The original categories of wells based on safety, contamination and all other wells are eliminated.
- The owner may plug any type of well subject to review and confirmation by a designated agent of the county or a registered well contractor. The owner must submit a notarized affidavit that the well has been properly plugged. The affidavit must be recorded with the county recorder and a copy sent to the Department.
- Well plugging deadlines proposed to be adopted are July 1, 1995 for Class 1 wells; July 1, 2000 for Class 2 and Class 3 wells; and July 1, 1993 for wells located within given distances from sources of contamination.

The proposed rules contain information on requirements for sealing materials, filling materials and procedures for properly plugging various types of wells. Requirements for standby wells are presented, along with provisions for variances from the rules.

(Rule is shown on the following 7 pages)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION [567] Notice of Intended Action

Pursuant to the authority of 1989 Iowa Code section 455B.190, as amended by 1989 Iowa Acts, Senate File 441, the Environmental Protection Commission intends to rescind and replace Chapter 39, "Requirements for Properly Plugging Abandoned Wells."

Existing Chapter 39 was designed to implement Iowa Code section 455B.190, which requires that all abandoned wells be properly plugged in accordance with a closure program implemented by the Department of Natural Resources. However, due to substantial legislative amendments to this code section by 1989 Iowa Acts, Senate File 441, it is necessary to promulgate a new Chapter 39.

Any interested person may file written comments or suggestions on the proposed rules through _______. Such written materials should be directed to Wayne Reed, Iowa Department of Natural Resources, Wallace State Office Building, 900 East Grand, Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0034. Persons are also invited to present oral or written comments at public hearings which will be held on ______ at 10:00 a.m. at the Kirkwood Community College, in the Auto/Collision Building, Cedar Rapids, Iowa; on ______ at 10:00 a.m. in the Denison Community Room, 111 North Main Street (adjacent to City Hall), Denison, Iowa; and on ______ at 10:00 a.m. at the Wallace State Office Building in the fifth floor east conference room, 900 East Grand Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa.

Copies of the proposed rules may be obtained from the Records Section, Iowa Department of Natural Resources, Wallace State Office Building, 900 East Grand, Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0034.

In accordance with Iowa Code section 17A.31, notice is hereby given that these rules may have an impact on small business.

These rules are intended to implement Iowa Code section 455B.190, as amended by 1989 Iowa Acts, Senate File 441.

ITEM 1. Rescind 567-Chapter 39 and replace with the following: Chapter 39

Requirements For Properly Plugging Abandoned Wells

567--39.1(455B) Purpose. The purpose of this chapter is to implement Iowa Code section 455B.190 by providing a schedule and required procedures for the proper plugging of abandoned wells to protect the groundwater by permanently sealing off contamination to individual aquifers.

567--39.2(455B) Applicability. These rules govern the proper plugging of all abandoned wells. For additional guidance and background information, refer to "Guidelines for Plugging Abandoned Water Wells," Technical Information Series 15, Geological Survey Bureau, Iowa Department of Natural Resources, 1987.

567--39.3(455B) Definitions.

"Abandoned well" means a water well which is no longer in use or which is in such a state of disrepair that continued use for the purpose of accessing water is unsafe or impractical.

"Agricultural lime" means all calcium and magnesium products sold for agricultural purposes in the oxide, hydrate, or carbonate form.

"Approved" means accepted or acceptable under an applicable specification stated or cited in these rules.

"Aquifer" means a water-bearing geologic formation capable of yielding a usable quantity of water to a well or spring.

"Bentonite" means a naturally occurring highly plastic, colloidal clay composed largely of the mineral montmorillonite which expands upon wetting.

"Bentonite grout (or slurry)" means a mixture of 10 percent processed bentonite (by weight) and water which is free of contaminants, turbidity and settleable solids.

"Bentonite pellets" means a form of processed bentonite which can be used

directly for sealing applications in well plugging operations.

"Bentonite products" means the forms of bentonite which can be used for sealing materials in wells, including graded bentonite, bentonite pellets and bentonite grout.

"Casing" means a tubular retaining structure installed in an excavated hole

to maintain the well opening.

"Class 1 well" means a well one hundred feet or less in depth and eighteen inches or more in diameter.

"Class 2 well" means a well more than one hundred feet in depth or less than eighteen inches in diameter or a bedrock well. Bedrock wells include: wells completed in a single confined aquifer; (b) wells completed in a single unconfined aquifer; and (c) wells completed in multiple aquifers.

"Class 3 well" means a sandpoint well or a well fifty feet or less in depth constructed by joining a screened drive point with lengths of pipe and driving

the assembly into a shallow sand and gravel aquifer.

"Concrete" means a mixture of one sack (94 pounds) of portland cement, an equal amount by volume of sand and gravel or crushed stone and not more than six gallons of water which is free of contaminants, turbidity and settleable solids.

"Confined aquifer" means an aquifer in which the groundwater is under pressure greater than atmospheric pressure. The static water level in a well tapping a confined aquifer rises to a level above the top of the aquifer.

'Crushed stone" means Class A road stone (predominantly limestone) well

graded with six to sixteen percent fines which will pass a 200 sieve.

"Department" means the department of natural resources created under Iowa Code section 455A.2.

"Designated agent" means a person other than the state, designated by a county board of supervisors to review and confirm that a well has been properly plugged.

"Director" means the director of the department.

"Filling materials" means agricultural lime, soil, sand, gravel, crushed stone and pea gravel used to occupy space between and below sealing materials in abandoned wells being plugged.

"Frost pit" means a sunken area located directly over or within four feet of a well and used to house the equipment for discharging water from a well into

the water system.

"Graded bentonite" means bentonite which is crushed and sized for pouring and easy handling. Like processed bentonite, it swells when hydrated with water and will form a plastic, essentially impermeable mass.

"Gravel" means Class B stone screened from river sand or quarried, and of such size as will pass a two and one-half inch screen 100 percent and be retained 100 percent on a three-quarter inch screen.

"Groundwater" means any water beneath the surface of the earth.

"Grout" means, for the purposes of this chapter, a fluid mixture of cement and water (neat cement); sand, cement and water (sand cement grout); or bentonite and water (bentonite grout or slurry) of a consistency that can be forced through a pipe and placed as required.

"Limestone" means sedimentary rock which contains greater than 50 percent

calcium carbonate and has a strong reaction with hydrochloric acid (HC1).

"Neat cement" means a mixture of one sack (94 pounds) of portland cement to not more than six gallons of water which is free from contaminants, turbidity or settleable solids. Bentonite up to 2 percent by weight of cement may be added to reduce shrinkage.

"Owner" means the titleholder of the land where an abandoned well is located.

"Pea gravel" means gravel sized from one-eighth inch to three-eighths inch in diameter.

"Plug" means the closure of an abandoned well with plugging materials by procedures which will permanently seal the well from contamination by surface drainage and permanently seal off the well from contamination into an aquifer. This involves the proper application of filling and sealing materials.

"Processed bentonite" means bentonite which has been kiln dried and processed into pellets for direct use in well sealing applications or into powder or coarse granules for use in bentonite grout for sealing.

"Registered water well contractor" means a water well contractor registered

with the department in accordance with section 567--Chapter 37.

"Sand" means clean, medium-textured quartz (concrete sand) and shall be at least 25 percent with diameters between 2.0 and 0.25 mm, less than 35 percent with diameters between 0.25 and 0.05 mm and less than five percent with diameters between 0.002 and .05 mm.

"Sandpoint well" means a small diameter water well constructed by joining a screened drive point with lengths of pipe and driving the assembly into a shallow sand and gravel aquifer.

"Sand cement grout" means a mixture of one sack (94 pounds) of portland cement, an equal amount by volume of sand and not more than six gallons of water which is free from contaminants, turbidity and settleable solids.

"Sealing" means the proper placement of sealing materials into an abandoned well to seal off flow into, out of or between aquifers.

"Sealing materials" means bentonite products. Sealing materials may also include neat cement, sand cement grout and concrete.

"Standby well" means a water well which is temporarily taken out of service with the expectation of being returned to service at a future date.

"Static water level" means the water level in a water well or aquifer when the well is not flowing or being pumped; sometimes referred to as the water line.

"Tremie pipe" means a device, usually a small diameter pipe, that carries grouting materials to the bottom of the hole and which allows pressure grouting from the bottom up without introduction of air pockets.

"Unconfined aquifer" means an aquifer in which the static water level does not rise above the top of the aquifer, i.e., the pressure of the water in the

aquifer is approximately equal to that of the atmosphere.

"Water well" means an excavation that is drilled, cored, bored, augered, washed, driven, dug, jetted or otherwise constructed for accessing groundwater.

567--39.4(455B) Forms. The following form is currently in use: Certification of Well Plugging. 542-1226.

- 567--39.5(455B) Abandoned well plugging schedule.
- 39.5(1) Class 1 wells. Wells abandoned prior to the effective date of this rule must be properly plugged by July 1, 1995.
- 39.5(2) Class 2 wells. Wells abandoned prior to the effective date of this rule must be properly plugged by July 1, 2000.
- 39.5(3) Class 3 wells. Wells abandoned prior to the effective date of this rule must be properly plugged by July 1, 2000.
- 39.5(4) Contamination sources. All classes of wells abandoned prior to the effective date of this rule and located less than 200 feet from an active well supplying potable water or located less than 660 feet from a point source of potential contamination which may include but is not limited to industrial waste sites; uncontrolled hazardous waste sites; petroleum storage areas; hazardous waste treatment, storage, or disposal areas; agricultural chemical storage areas; animal feedlots; and wastewater treatment facilities, must be properly plugged by July 1, 1995.
- 39.5(5) Wells abandoned after the effective date of the rule. All classes of wells which are abandoned on or after the effective date of this rule must be properly plugged within 90 days of the date of abandonment.
- 567--39.6(455B) Abandoned well owner responsibilities.
- 39.6(1) Plugging requirements. The owner is responsible for insuring the abandoned well is plugged pursuant to this chapter.
- 39.6(2) Certification. It is the responsibility of the owner to certify, on DNR Form 542-1226 "Certification of Well Plugging", that an abandoned well has been plugged in accordance with the requirements and time schedule contained in this chapter. This certification includes but is not limited to the review and confirmation by the designated agent for the county or a registered water well contractor. DNR form number 542-1226 shall be completed and submitted to the department within 30 calendar days of the date the plugging was completed.
- 567--39.7(455B) Abandoned well plugging materials.
- 39.7(1) Sealing materials. Approved sealing materials are bentonite products (graded bentonite, bentonite pellets and bentonite grout), neat cement, sand cement grout and concrete.
- 39.7(2) Filling materials. Approved filling materials include agricultural lime, soil, sand, pea gravel, gravel and crushed stone. The filling materials shall be free of debris, foreign matter and any toxic or agricultural chemical residue. Filling materials are not required for well plugging.
- 567--39.8(455B) Abandoned well plugging procedures.
- 39.8(1) Freedom from obstructions. Abandoned wells must be checked before they are plugged in order to ensure there are no obstructions that may interfere with plugging operations. Drop pipes, check valves, pumps, and other obstructions shall be removed if practical.
- 39.8(2) Class 1 wells. These wells may be plugged by pouring filling and sealing materials from the top of the well or by using tremie pipes, except for sand cement grout or concrete placed below the static water level, which must be placed by tremie pipe or dump bailer.

Filling materials of sand, gravel, crushed stone, pea gravel or agricultural lime shall be placed up to one foot below the static water level; soils are not permitted below the static water level due to naturally occurring bacteriological, organic and inorganic contaminants. A minimum of one foot of bentonite pellets, graded bentonite or neat cement shall be placed on top of

the filling material up to the static water level as a seal. Sand cement grout or concrete applied with a tremie pipe or dump bailer also may be used on top of the filling material up to the static water level and in standing water above the static water level to act as a seal. Filling material may then be added up to four feet below the ground surface.

It is preferable that the filling materials be omitted and that sealing materials be used to fill the entire well up to four feet below the ground surface. Sand cement grout or concrete shall be placed with a tremie pipe or dump bailer when used below the static water level.

The casing pipe and any curbing, frost pit or pump house structure shall be removed to a depth of four feet below the ground surface and shall be capped by a minimum of one foot of bentonite pellets, graded bentonite, neat cement, sand cement grout or concrete. The cap shall extend six or more inches beyond the outside diameter of the top of the remaining well casing and shall terminate three feet below the ground surface. The remaining three feet (below the ground surface) shall then be backfilled with soil and graded so that surface water is directed away from the abandoned well location.

Class 2 wells other than bedrock wells. Filling material consisting of sand, gravel, crushed stone or pea gravel shall be placed in the bottom of the well up to four feet below the static water level. A minimum of four feet of sealing materials consisting of any bentonite products or neat cement shall be added above the filling material up to the original static If bentonite grout or neat cement is used, it shall be placed by If graded bentonite or bentonite pellets are used, they may be added by pouring in place and agitating to avoid bridging. Sealing materials shall be added above the static water level up to four feet below the ground If bentonite grout is used from the static water level to the top of the well, it should be capped by neat cement, sand cement grout or concrete terminating four feet below the ground surface. It is preferable that the filling materials be omitted and that sealing materials be used to fill the entire well up to four feet below the ground surface.

Casing pipe and any curbing, frost pit or pump house structure shall be removed to a depth of four feet below the ground surface. The remaining four feet shall then be backfilled with soil and graded so that surface water is directed away from the abandoned well location.

39.8(4) Class 2 bedrock wells. If the details of well construction are unknown, the well shall be tremied full of neat cement or bentonite grout up to four feet below the ground surface. If bentonite grout is used from the static water level to the top of the well, it should be capped by neat cement, sand cement grout or concrete terminating four feet below the ground surface.

The casing pipe and any curbing, frost pit or pump house structure shall be removed to a depth of four feet below the ground surface. The remaining four feet shall then be backfilled with soil and the surface shall then be graded to divert water away from the abandoned well location.

a. Bedrock wells completed in a single confined aquifer. Before proceeding to plug the well, a bridge plug or packer shall be placed at or below the bottom of the casing to stop the flow of water where the pressure in the confined aquifer causes the water to flow from the well to the surface. In such cases, filling materials shall be placed in the lower portion of the well before the bridge plug or packer is set.

Filling material consisting of pea gravel, crushed stone or gravel shall be placed from the bottom of the well up to ten feet below the bottom of the casing or confining layer, whichever is lower. Sealing materials consisting of any bentonite products, sand cement grout or neat cement shall be placed

from the top of the filling material to at least ten feet above the bottom of the casing or confining layer or to the static water level, whichever is higher. If bentonite grout, neat cement or sand cement grout is used, it shall be placed by tremie pipe. If bentonite pellets or graded bentonite are used, they shall be added by pouring in place and agitating to avoid bridging. The casing shall then be filled up to four feet below the ground surface with sealing materials. If bentonite grout is used from the static water level to the top of the well, it should be capped by neat cement, sand cement grout or concrete terminating four feet below the ground surface.

It is preferable that the filling materials be omitted and that approved sealing materials be used to fill the entire well up to four feet below the ground surface.

The casing pipe and any curbing, frost pit or pump house structure shall be removed to a depth of four feet below the ground surface. The remaining four feet shall then be backfilled with soil and graded so that surface water is directed away from the abandoned well location.

- b. Bedrock wells completed in a single unconfined aquifer. The plugging procedure for these wells is the same as for bedrock wells completed in a single confined aquifer except that a bridge plug or packer is not required to stop the flow of water since this problem will not exist in this type of well.
- c. Bedrock wells completed in multiple aquifers. For the lowest aquifer, filling material consisting of pea gravel, crushed stone or gravel shall be placed from the bottom of the well up to ten feet below the bottom of the casing or confining layer, whichever is lower. Neat cement tremied in place shall then be placed as a sealing material on top of the fill and extend upward at least twenty feet. Sealing materials shall then be placed in at least the top ten feet of each subsequent aquifer and extend at least ten feet into the confining layer or casing above. The same type of filling materials and sealing procedures shall apply for each subsequent aquifer. Filling material may be placed from the top of the uppermost aquifer seal up to the static water level of the well. The casing shall then be filled with approved sealing or filling materials to four feet below the ground surface. If bentonite grout is used from the static water level to the top of the well, it should be capped by neat cement, sand cement grout, or concrete terminating four feet below the ground surface.

It is preferable that the filling materials be omitted and approved sealing materials be used to fill the entire well up to four feet below the ground surface. Sand cement grout or concrete shall be applied with a tremie line or dump bailer when applied below the static water level.

The casing pipe and any curbing, frost pit or pump house structure shall be removed to a depth of four feet below the ground surface. The remaining four feet shall then be backfilled with soil and graded so that surface water is directed away from the abandoned well location.

39.8(5) Class 3 wells. The preferred method of plugging a sandpoint well is to pull the casing and sandpoint out of the ground, allowing the hole to collapse and fill. If the sandpoint and casing cannot be extracted, they shall be tremied full of neat cement or completely sealed with bentonite products.

The casing pipe and any curbing, frost pit or pump house structure shall be removed to a depth of four feet below the ground surface. The remaining four feet shall then be backfilled with soil and graded so that surface water is directed away from the abandoned well location.

- 6 -

567--39.9(455B) Designated agent. A county's board of supervisors shall appoint an individual to be responsible to review and confirm an abandoned well to be properly plugged as required by 567--39.7 and authorized by 455B.190.5. The designation is effective upon notification to the department by the chairperson of the board of supervisors. This notification will include the identity of the designated agent and the length of appointment. Changes in a designated agent will require new notification by the chairperson to the department.

567--39.10(455B) Designation of standby wells.

39.10(1) Standby wells. A standby well must be disinfected prior to being taken out of use for a long period of time and must be disinfected and, as a minimum, checked for bacteria and nitrates when placed back in service. Disinfection of standby wells shall be done in accordance with AWWA (American Water Works Association) Standard A100. The well must not be subject to contamination by surface drainage or from other causes, and the well casing must be provided with an air-tight cover when the well is not in use.

39.10(2) Caveat. Nothing in these rules shall be construed as exempting public water supply wells from requirements set forth in the environmental

protection commission rules, 567--Iowa Administrative Code.

567--39.11(455B) Variances. In accordance with Iowa Code section 455B.181, a variance to these rules may be granted by the department provided sufficient information is submitted in writing to the department to substantiate the need for a variance and to assure the protection of all aquifers penetrated by the affected well. When satisfactory justification has been submitted to the director substantially demonstrating that a variance to these rules will result in equivalent effectiveness or improved effectiveness, a variance to these rules may be granted by the director. A denial of a variance may be appealed to the environmental protection commission pursuant to 567--Chapter 7.

These rules are intended to implement Iowa Code section 455B.190, as amended by 1989 Iowa Acts, Senate File 441.

Date				
Larry	J.	Wilson,	Director	

(A: EP39. MIN/243-89)

Stokes explained the proposed rules and pointed out the changes required by law.

Mike Earley asked if the rules require a formal recording that a well has been plugged at the time it is done.

Stokes replied that it is not included, but staff can build that requirement into the rules.

Margaret Prahl stated that in regards to 39.5(4), the deadline date of July 1, 1995 seems like a long time if there is an infraction to the environment. She added that she would like to see that date changed to July 1, 1993.

Margaret Prahl commented that she is concerned about the kind of discretion the variance language on page 7, 567--39.11(455B), gives the department. Discussion followed regarding the language covering variances under 455B.

Mr. Stokes stated that a discussion item will be brought before the Commission to review the pros and cons of the variance language.

This was an informational item; no action was required.

PROPOSED RULE--CHAPTER 22, UPDATE IN AIR QUALITY RULES

Allan Stokes, Division Administrator, Environmental Protection Division, presented the following item.

The Commission is requested to approve the attached rule for public hearing.

The current air quality rules specify the EPA procedures document which applicants are to follow in performing the dispersion modeling which they must submit to demonstrate that their proposed emissions do not cause environmental problems.

This rulemaking will update the reference to conform with current EPA procedures.

(Rule is shown on the following page)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION Notice of Intended Action

Pursuant to Iowa Code Section 455B.133, the Environmental Protection Commission gives Notice of Intended Action to amend Chapter 22, "Controlling Pollution", Iowa Administrative Code.

This amendment pertains to the adoption of the most recent revisions to the EPA technical document "Guideline on Air Quality Models". This rule pertains to the procedures for modeling the effects of air emissions from major stationary sources proposed to be constructed or modified which are located in areas designated attainment or unclassified.

Any person interested in receiving a copy of the federal guidelines proposed to be adopted by reference, may contact the Department of Natural Resources. Copies are available upon request from the Department for the cost of reproduction.

Any interested party may file a written statement of position on the subject covered by the proposed rule no later than , 1989. These written statements should be directed to the Director of the Department of Natural Resources, 900 East Grand Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0034.

Persons or organizations are also invited to present oral or written comments at public hearings on this proposed amendment which will be held at the following times and places:

0:00 AM, _______, 1989, Wallace State Office Building, Fourth Floor Conference Room, 900 East Grand Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa;
0:00 AM ______, 1989, Auditorium, University of Iowa, Oakdale Campus, Oakdale Hall, Oakdale, Iowa, (Exit 240, I-80 to Hiway 965);
0:00 AM ______, 1989, Atlantic Municipal Utilities
Bldg, Conference Room, 15 West Third Street, Atlantic, Iowa
These rules are intended to implement Iowa Code section 455B.133.

Amend subrule 567--22.4(1) to read as follows:

567--22.4(1) Federal rules 40 C.F.R. 52.21(a) (Plan Approval), 52.21(q) (Public Participation), 52.21(s) (Environmental Impact Statement), and 52.21(u) (Delegation of Authority), are not adopted by reference. Also, for the purposes of 40 C.F.R. 52.21(1), the department adopts the 1986 edition of EPA's document "Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised) (EPA Publication 450/2-78-027R)" as amended by "Supplement A to the Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised) (EPA Document EPA-450/2-78-027R, Supplement A, July 1987)".

Larry	J.	Wilson,	Director
-			
Date			

Mr. Stokes explained the proposed update in the Air Quality Rules.

This was an informational item; no action was required.

PROPOSED RULE--CHAPTER 101 AMENDMENT, SOLID WASTE RULES ON FARMER EXEMPTIONS

The Commission will be provided draft copies of proposed amendments to state solid waste regulations, which would recognize certain exemptions for on-farm disposal of selected wastes. The Commission will be asked to approve a notice of intended action to solicit public comment on these proposed amendments at their October meeting.

(Rule is shown on the following 2 pages)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMISSION [567] Notice of Intended Action

Pursuant to Iowa Code section 455B.304, the Environmental Protection Commission proposes to adopt amendments to 567--Chapter 101, "General Requirements Relating to Solid Waste Disposal." The Commission proposes to adopt rules authorizing on-site disposal of certain farm wastes without a specific permit from the Department. Conditions for such disposal are prescribed. The proposed amendments also conform rule 101.3 with statutory amendments to Iowa Code Section 455B.307 in the last two years.

Any interested person may file with the director written comments on the proposed amendments through

. Interested persons may also provide oral comments at public hearings to be held in ______

The following amendments are proposed:

ITEM 1. Amend rule 101.3(455B) is amended as follows:

567--101.3(455B). General conditions of solid waste disposal. Except as provided otherwise in Chapter 100 through 121, a private or public agency shall not dump or deposit or permit the dumping or depositing of any solid waste at any place other than a sanitary disposal project approved by the director, or pursuant to a permit granted by the department on land owned or leased by the agency. Any-solid-waste-which-may-be-disposed-at-a-site-other than-a-sanitary-disposal-project-pursuant-to-fowa-Gode-Ghapter-455B-shall-be disposed-of-as-provided-in-this-rule:

101.3(1) General prohibitions.

a. Open dumping is prohibited except for rubble.

101-3(2). b. A public or private agency dumping or depositing solid waste shall do so in a manner that creates no public health hazard, nuisance or degradation of surface water or aquifers that are in actual or demand to be of potential use as a water resource.

ITEM 2. Further amend rule 101.3(455B), by adding a new subrule as follows: 101.3(2) Special requirements for farm waste, farm buildings, and dead

animals. For the purposes of this subrule:

"Farm waste" means machinery, vehicles and equipment used in conjunction with crop production, livestock or poultry raising and feeding operations, but specifically does not include agricultural chemicals, fertilizers or manures, or domestic household wastes;

"Farm buildings" means barns, machine sheds, storage cribs, animal confinement buildings, and homes located on the premises and used in conjunction with crop production, livestock or poultry raising and feeding operations; and

"Farm animals" means cattle, swine, sheep or lambs, horses, turkeys and chickens.

- a. A private agency may dispose of farm waste and farm buildings without first having obtained a sanitary disposal project permit, in accordance with paragraph "c", provided that:
- (1) The farm waste was owned by the private agency and was used on the premises where disposal occurs.
- (2) Prior to disposal of vehicles, machinery, and equipment all fluids must be drained, including motor oils, motor fuels, lubricating fluids, coolants

and solvents, agricultural chemicals and all batteries and rubber tires have been removed.

- (3) Prior to disposal of storage or feeding equipment it shall be emptied of all contents.
- (4) Farm buildings have been emptied of their contents and are buried on the premises where they were located.
- (5) All materials drained or removed from farm waste or farm buildings prior to disposal shall be recycled, reused or disposed of in accordance with Iowa Code Chapter 455B and rules thereunder.
- b. A private agency may dispose of dead farm animals without first having obtained a sanitary disposal project permit, provided that the disposal is in accordance with paragraph "c", rules of the Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship, and:
- (1) The dead animals result from operations located on the premises where disposal occurs.
- (2) Only the carcasses of two of each animal species, including poultry, are disposed of each month, except that animals which die within two months of birth may be disposed of without regard to number.
- c. Farm waste, farm buildings, and dead farm animals, must be disposed of in accordance with the following separation distances:
- (1) At least 1,000 feet from any well which is being used or would be used without major renovation for domestic purposes.
 - (2) At least 50 feet from adjacent property line.
 - (3) At least 500 feet from existing habitable residence.
- (4) More than 100 feet from any body of surface water such as a stream, lake, pond, or intermittent stream.
 - (5) Outside of the boundaries of a flood plain, wetland, or shoreline area.
- (6) The waste is deposited at least five feet above the highest known water table and is immediately covered with a minimum of two feet of compacted soil and an additional two feet of soil for vegetation.

Date			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Date				
Larry	J.	Wilson.	Director	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

(A:EP101A.MIN/258-89)

Mr. Stokes explained the proposed rule. He stated this is an attempt to try to address the on-farm issue of waste disposal and to define farm waste, farm buildings, and farm animals.

This was an informational item; no action was required.

GENERAL DISCUSSION ITEMS

The Commission discussed future meeting times and dates. It was decided that the next meeting will be held in Iowa Falls, so that the Commission can tour a recycling facility in the area.

Beginning with the February 1990 meeting and continuing through the May 1990 meeting, the Commission will begin meetings at 10:00 a.m. with the Groundwater Cleanup Guidelines Work Plan sessions at the beginning of the meeting. The meeting will continue as late in the evening as the Commission desires, with the completion of the meeting late Monday evening or early Tuesday morning. The Commission will again review meeting times and dates at the June 1990 meeting.

Discussion took place regarding the possibility of incorporating the RCRA program into the legislation package. It was decided not to incorporate this into the legislation package, but to send an accompanying statement explaining why the Commission feel they do not want to request funding for this program.

In response to Nancylee Siebenmann's request to review the Landfill Alternative Grants program in regards to the hierarchy, James Combs distributed a copy of the code relating to same.

Director Wilson stated that to change the hierarchy criteria it would take a code change.

After discussion of the issue, it was decided to have Teresa Hay present a discussion item on this at the October meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to come before the Environmental Protection Commission, Chairperson Mohr adjourned the meeting at 12:55 p.m., Tuesday, September 19, 1989.

INDEX

Α Cherryholmes, Dr. Keith Proposed Legislation, Inert Adoption of Agenda E89Sep-1 Ingredients Adoption of Minutes E89Sep-1 Disclosure E89Sep-92 Air Quality Rules Update, Proposed City of Graf Rule--Chapter 22 E89Sep-154 Referrals To The Attorney Appointment General E89Sep-142 Craig Arbuckle (City of Construction Grant Priority List -Washington) E89Sep-107 FY 90, Approval E89Sep-109 Dennis Keeney (Leopold Criteria For Award of Grants, Final Center) E89Sep-68 Rule--Chapter 91 E89Sep-105 Dr. Warren (Marty) Strauss (Monsanto) E89Sep-64 Gene Parkin (CHEEC) E89Sep-65 D Gwen Wesley (Monsanto) E89Sep-64 Jane McAllister - (Gordon Sevig Director's Report E89Sep-2 Referral) E89Sep-142 John Birdwell (Stickle Enterprises Referral) E89Sep-107 Kevin Shea (Albert Schultz Final Rule--Chapter 91, Criteria For Iowa Iron Works, Inc. Award of Grants E89Sep-105 Referral) E89Sep-144 Mike Reeve (Hawkeye Land Company) E89Sep-107 Arbuckle, Craig - Appointment (City of Washington) E89Sep-107 General Discussion Items E89Sep-159 Groundwater Cleanup Guidelines Work Plan E89Sep-62 В Balvanz, Don H Public Participation E89Sep-64 Bell Watchers, Inc. Hatch, Representative Referrals To The Attorney Jack E89Sep-94 General E89Sep-142 Birdwell, John - Appointment (Stickle Enterprises K Referral) E89Sep-107 Budget Request, FY91 and FY92 Keeney, Dennis - Leopold Center Preliminary Approval E89Sep-3 Budget Discussion E89Sep-68 С L Chalfant, Milo, et al. Land O'Lakes, Inc. Referrals To The Attorney Referrals To The Attorney General E89Sep-142 General E89Sep-142 CHEEC Budget Discussion - Gene Legislation Package -Parkin E89Sep-65 1990 E89Sep-68

Lehigh Clay Products
Referrals To The Attorney
General E89Sep-142
Leopold Center Budget Discussion Dennis Keeney E89Sep-68
Luchtel, Keith
Proposed Legislation, Inert
Ingredients
Disclosure E89Sep-92

М

McAllister, Jane - Appointment (Gordon Sevig Referral) E89Sep-142 Members Absent E89Sep-1 Members Present E89Sep-1 Monthly Reports E89Sep-96

0

Orientation E89Sep-3

Р

Parkin, Gene - CHEEC Budget
Discussion E89Sep-65
Proposed Rule--Chapter 101
Amendment, Solid Waste Rules on
Farmer Exemptions E89Sep-156
Proposed Rule--Chapter 22, Update In
Air Quality Rules E89Sep-154
Proposed Rule--Chapter 39 Revisions,
Requirements for Properly Plugging
Abandoned Wells E89Sep-146
Public Participation
Don Balvanz E89Sep-64

R

Recess E89Sep-94

Reeve, Mike - Appointment (Hawkeye Land Company) E89Sep-107

Referrals To The Attorney General Stickle Enterprises,
Ltd. E89Sep-107

Referrals To The Attorney General
(Continued)
Albert Schultz - Iowa Iron Works,
Inc. E89Sep-142
Bell Watchers, Inc. E89Sep-142
City of Graf E89Sep-142
Gordon Sevig E89Sep-142
Land O'Lakes, Inc. E89Sep-142
Lehigh Clay Products E89Sep-142
Milo Chalfant, et al. E89Sep-142
Requirements for Properly Plugging
Abandoned Wells, Proposed
Rule--Chapter 39
Revisions E89Sep-146

S

Schultz, Albert - Iowa Iron Works, Referrals To The Attorney General E89Sep-142 September 1989 COMMISSION MEETING E89Sep-1 Sevig, Gordon Referrals To The Attorney General E89Sep-142 Shea, Kevin - Appointment (Albert Schultz Iowa Iron Works, Inc.) E89Sep-144 Soener, Jack Proposed Legislation, Air Quality Operation Permits E89Sep-91 Solid Waste Rules on Farmer Exemptions--Chapter 101 Amendment, Proposed Rule E89Sep-156 Stickle Enterprises, Ltd. Referrals To The Attorney General E89Sep-107 Strauss, Dr. Warren - Appointment (Monsanto) E89Sep-64

W

Wesley, Gwen - Appointment (Monsanto) E89Sep-64